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## HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

FORTH was created by Mr. Charles H. Moore in 1969 at the National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville, VA. It was created out of dissatisfaction with available programming tools, especially for observatory automation.

Mr. Moore and several associates formed FORTH, Inc. in 1973 fo the purpose of licensing and support of the FORTH Operating System and Programming Language, and to supply application programming to meet customers' unique requirements.

The Forth Interest Group is centered in Northern California. Our membership is over 2,400 worldwide. It was formed in 1978 by FORTH programmers to encourage use of the language by the interchange of ideas through seminars and publications.

1981 is behind us and as I look back, I am pleased to see how much has been accomplished for FORTH, FIG and FORTH DIMENSIONS.

I really appreciate all the help and support I have received from our readers. I have not done everything right and some of the best help has been your disagreement. Intelligent, constructive criticism is as welcome as earned praise.

1982 will be a year of continued growth. You can look forward to continuing responsiveness. It is my plan to contact every FIG chapter by telephone at least quarterly to get feedback and encourage reader contributions.

FORTH DIMENSIONS will also be awarding AUTHOR'S CERTIFICATES for outstanding articles that contribute to the growth and understanding of the language. While we are not yet in a position to give you cash for your contributions, we at least will give you credit.

Starting in this issue will be a policy of putting in tutorial articles designed to help our entry level readers. This, however, will not be done at the expense of our more seasoned FIGGERS who will find an expanded base of challenging articles and applications.

In closing, I want to say that the writer's kits have finally come off the presses and I will be glad to send one to anyone who wants to contribute. Please send in applications and utilities, philosophy, questions and problems -- in the final analysis, FORTH DIMENSIONS is what you make it.

## C. J. Street

## PUBLISHER'S COLUMN

1981 has been a great year for FORTH, the FORTH Interest Group and for me, personally. FORTH has spread around the world and is being used on thousands of computer and microprocessor-based products. It is being taught extensively in schools, companies and by FORTH programmers. FIG has just completed its most successful national convention with almost 500 attendees, over 20 exhibitors and multiple sessions. (Thanks to Bob Reiling, Conference Chairman and Gary Feterbach, Program Chairman.) The FORML conference was well attended and the Proceedings are now available--see order form.

My deepest thanks to the FORTH community for "THE FIGGY", Man of Year Award. It was a fantastic thrill and a surprise. I stand in good company.

Roy C. Martens

## LETTERS TO THE EDITORS

## Dear Fig:

I have developed a process-simulation program that occupies very little memory space and yet has many of the capabilities of commercial simulation packages.

I have been heavily involved in modeling and simulation of automated manufacturing systems for over six years. My ultimate objective for this work is to develop a microprocessor-based simulation capability which incorporates process control structures far beyond those of currently available languages. However, the relatively extensive modeling power of the current code would seem to offer interesting market potential in its own right.

If you can provide information on marketing such a product, please contact me by mail or by phone (home (317) 447 9206, office (317) 749-2946).

> Joseph Talavage, Ph.D. 3907 Prange Dr. Lafayette, IN 47905

Hope printing your letter helps.--ed.

## Dear Fig:

I am puzzled as to why I have not seen mention in your New Products announcements of fullFORTH+ for PET, available also, I believe for Apple. It is published by IDPC, Co., PO Box 11594, Bethlehem Pike, Colmar, PA 18915 at $\$ 65$. It is advertised as "A full-featured FORTH with extensions conforming to Forth Interest Group standards. Includes assembler, string processing capabilities, disk virtual memory, multiple dimensioned arrays, floating point and integer processing." Surely, fullFORTH+ is worth a mention, if not a comprehensive review:

## Francis T. Chambers <br> ROCK HOUSE <br> Ballyoroy, Westport <br> Co. Mayo, Ireland

Thank you for your interest. This was reviewed in Vol. III, 非3.--ed.

## Dear Fig:

This is our response to Chuck's (Moore's) cute letter.

Arthur Goldberg
Spencer SooHoo
CEDARS SINAI MEDICAL CTR.
9700 Beverly Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90048

DEA - CHU--
WE CHA-~---- YOU TO CON ----- THE CON---- OF THI- CON-..-- LET--.. WE CLA-- THA- THE CON --- OF A WOR-IN
 - TO OUR ABI---- TO DEC----- IT FRO-THR-- LET---- AND THE LEN--.

HOW----, IN COM----- PRO----- THE CON---- IS LES- CON--.-- (COM-----, WOR-- CAN BE SWA---- WIT---- CHA --.-- THE SEM-----). CON----...-- IT CON-------- CON--------- LES- HEL- IN IDE-------- THE DEF ---.--- OF A WOR-. IN FAC, AS THI- LET--- DEM---.-.-., THR--LET---- AND A LEN--- CAN LET---EVE- A CAR---- REA--- OF COM--ENG----.

(TRANSLATION)
Dear Chuck:
WE CHALLENGE YOU TO CONSIDER THE CONTENTS OF THIS CONFUSING LETTER. WE CLAIM THAT THE CONTEXT OF A WORD IN COMMON ENGLISH CONTRIBUTES CONSIDERABLY TO OUR ABILITY TO DECIPHER IT FROM THREE LETTERS AND THE LENGTH.

HOWEVER, IN COMPUTER PROGRAMS THE CONTEXT IS LESS CONFINING (COMMONLY, WORDS CAN BE SWAPPED WITHOUT CHANGING THE SEMANTICS). CONSEQUENTLY IT CONTRIBUTES CONSIDERABLY LESS HELP IN IDENTIFYING THE DEFINITION OF A WORD. IN FACT, AS THIS LETTER DEMONSTRATES, THREE LETTERS AND A LENGTH CAN LETDOWN EVEN A CAREFUL READER OF COMMON ENGLISH.

## SINCEREL.Y YOURS, <br> ARTHUR GOLDBERG <br> SPENCER SOOHOO

Your letter and its "translation" certainly make the point!--ed.

## Dear Fig:

Right now I am trying to put together a local Danish FIG, and I would therefore like you to update me with the names and addresses of the Danish FIG members and possible make a note in FORTH DIMENSIONS about my intentions.

As the communication lines are rather long and since our magazine is only bimonthly, please inform me on your next deadline as soon as possible.

Niels Oesten<br>Brostykkeveh 189<br>DK-2650 Hvidovre<br>Denmark

Thanks Niels. Good luck on establishing a local Danish FIG Group. Anyone interested, please contact Niels as listed above. Regarding deadines: Copy must be in our hands 6 weeks prior to publication, i.e., $4 / 15$ is the deadline for May/June edition, etc.--ed.

## Dear Fig:

I just wanted to write to tell you how much I enjoy FORTH DIMENSIONS. Every issue has several things of interest to me, and I appreciate your work in seeing that it gets done (often a thankless task). Here in New Hampshire, Rob Mooce of SNAC (Southern New-Hampshire Apple Corps) is doing most of the work in implementing and refining a version of fig-FORTH for the Apple II. We have takeri as much as possible from page zero so that we can use the many subroutines available from the Applesoft ROM. I have been working with our version for some time now and am doing a high-resolution graphics game using FORTH and Applesoft hi-res routines.

## Gregg Williams

BYTE Publications
PO Box 372
Hancock, NH 03449

Thanks Gregg. Glad you enjoy and appreciate our efforts.--ed.

## Dear Fig:

Regarding the 8080 Renovation Project's requests for bug fixes, I would like to counter with a request that they provide a status report in FORTH DIMENSIONS that includes those bugs already reported along with any solutions proposed or implemented. It would also be of interest to find out what the goals are for the 8080 Renovation Project and how local FIG chapters can help.

There is what I consider a bug in that the message routine uses an absolute value of screen 4 and 5 for getting error message information. This is fine where offset is zero but when an offset other than zero is used and the disk has other information on absolute screens 4 and 5 , things don't look too good.

Robert I. Demrow
P. O. Box 158 BluSta

Andover, MA 01810
Thanks for the input. Your request has
been forwarded to the 8080 Renovation Project people as well as printed here.
--ed.

## Dear Fig:

After receiving my installation guide, I spent a week keying in the requisite sev-enty-five pages of 6502 assembler code. Now, I am a confirmed figger. I have written my own 6502 FORTH assembler, a small wordprocessor (with which I am writing this letter), and an APPLE II graphics utility resembling LOGO. I like FORTH:

## Bob Wiseman

118 St. Andrews Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45245
Your last name says it all! How about some articles for FD-publication?--ed.

## Dear Fig:

I am spreading the FORTH gospel here in Taiwan. I have written about 20 lectures and numerous demonstrations to various universities and institutions in this area and have generated quite a bit of local interest.

From ground zero, I can now count about 10 FORTH systems installed already. Many of them, from the FIG Installation Manual and source listing, I brought with me.

An informal FORTH discussion group has already been formed, and our last meeting on October 25 th attracted 20 enthusiasts. I am having fun too!

My home phone in Taipei is 393-1554. If any of you happen to be heading this way, be sure to let me know.

> Dr. Chen-hanson Ting
> National Yang Ming Medical College
> Taipei, Taiwan, 112 R.O.C.
> (02) $831-2301$

Glad to hear you are doing so well. We all miss you here.--ed.

## Dear Fig:

I want to extend my apologies to you, your readers and the FORTH Interest Group. I sent in an announcement about FORTHROMS for the TRS-80, MOD I several months ago. Unfortunately, circumstances beyond my control now force me to revise their avabilability schedule. I will spare everyone further embarrassment by waiting to send in another announcement until I have the first chip set in hand.

> Martin Schaaf
> P. O. Box 1001
> Daly City, CA 94017

Thanks for the update, Martin. This points up why we have our policy of not announcing unreleased products.--ed.

## Dear Fig:

In the packet of materials I got when I joined FIG was a copy of FORTH DIMENSIONS, Volume III, 非1 with a product review of Timin-FORTH. The review interested me in two respects. One was the benchmark tests that it contained; the other were the comments on the alleged lack of superiority of the Z-80 compared to the 8080 .

Those benchmarks gave me a chance to compare my machine with my version of FORTH--the results of which surprised me since my machine only runs at 2 mhz and the machine used in the review runs at 6 mhz. I expected my machine would take three times longer but in all tests, it ran comparable or even faster. It would seem my implementation is faster for involved arithmetic operations.

The editors of FORTH DIMENSIONS are right, I believe, in being wary of timing benchmarks for it is easy to draw invalid conclusions from them. In fact, the editors themselves drew the wrong conclusions!

The tests do not show the $\mathrm{Z}-80$ runs benchmarks slower than the 8080--the Z80 was used for both tests. The correct conclusion is that some FORTH implementations are more efficient than others and that some versions on the market are terribly slow.

I am sure you get a flood of letters following a benchmark; but I just had to write to say that the speed of FORTH is not necessarily just a function of processor speed as you have often claimed.

Everett Carter
Harvard University
Division of Applied Sciences
Cambridge, MA 02138
Thank you for your well thought out con-tribution.--ed.

## Dear Fig:

A comment about your publication FORTH DIMENSIONS. The information is certainly useful (especially the applications), however, much of the material assumes a complete understanding of FORTH'S inner workings. I don't really understand how the compiler works and what all those cryptic words (CFA, PFA,

SMUDGE, IMMEDIATE, etc.) do, but I would like to learn. I am sure there are others out there like me, so how about some tutorial articles on some of these FORTH-uniquee featureŝ.

Thomas Kastner
7918 207th St. SE
Snohomish, WA 98290
Entry-level tutorial articles are an area I have been exploring for the past year. Check this issue and you will find the first in a series of articles contributed by Henry Laxen of LAXEN AND HARRIS, INC., a firm that specializes in FORTH instruction.--ed.

## Dear Fig:

I don't doubt FORTH would be more useful if my machine had all of the features described in "An Open Response" (Volume II, 非6) but consider what I have gained without them:

1. An understanding of how FORTH works.
2. A demonstration that a workable subset of FORTH can be implemented on a very small system.
3. Hours of enjoyment and appreciation of FORTH's virtues.
4. A useable language faster and better than Tiny Basic and more convenient than machine language.
5. The ability to install and interactively test a larger version of FORTH when I expand my machine.

I feel the article does users of small systems a disservice. Instead of discouraging users of small systems, FIG should encourage development of standardized subsets for use on small machines.

Roger L. Cole
395 Elm Park Avenue
Elmhurst, IL 60126
FORTH DIMENSIONS publishes articles to encourage communications, thought and growth of the FORTH world. Far from discouraging users of small systems, the FIG leadership is composed almost exclusively of members with small systems. FIG has been a leader in encouraging the development and use of FORTH on small systems. In fact, it is probably safe to say that if there were no FIG, there would be no FORTH, for small users today. Most of the vendors and systems now in use have been derived from FIG listings provided at cost. The source data for these listings, FORML research, standards, etc., which so many take for granted, have been derived from the labor and cash contribu-
tions of volunteers serving without reimbursement. The FORTH DIMENSIONS editorial staff supports FIG efforts to keep FORTH intact and resist the temptation to obtain mere popularity and in the process, fail in their mission to provide and support the finest software concepts and tools available today. This has not been an easy task (and all too often, a thankless one) but it is hoped that if others will least try to understand, the efforts and contributions of these volunteers will continue to benefit us all.--ed.

## Dear Fig:

Congratulations to all the people who produce FORTH DIMENSIONS on its quality and improvement. Please send me a writer's kit so I can make some of my applications presentable for publication.

Bob Royce
Box 57 Michiana
New Buffalo, MI 49117
Your kit is on the way! Anyone else?
--ed.

Dear Fig:
Glen Haydon's nice article in FORTH DIMENSIONS III/2, page 47 talks about an algorithm he would like to have to determine the Julian day. With the background that FORTH has in astronomy, I'm sure there must be several, but this is the nicest I know. It comes from the U. S. Naval Observatory via an article in the Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, Vol. 41 No. 3 Nov. 1979 pp 391-2.

```
( JULIAN DATE)
: JD >R SWAP
    DUP 9 + 12 /R + 7*4 / MINUS
    OVER 9-7/R + 100/1+3*4/-
    SWAP 275 9*/ + :
    + S-> D 1.721029 D+
    367 R> M* D+ ;
```

Example: 3201982 JD D.
2445049 OK
If you are only concerned with dates between $3 / 1 / 1900$ and $2 / 28 / 2000$, then you can omit line 3 entirely.

On another subject, there is another correction I noticed in the dump of the fig-FORTH 6502 Assembly Source - at location OC32, 80 1A should be D7 OB.

Peter B. Dunckel
52 Seventh Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94118
Really slick! But the algorithm would be hard to explain to most people.--ed.

# FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING AND FORTH 

Harvey Glass<br>University of South Florida<br>College of Engineering<br>Department of Computer Science Tampa, FL 33620

The distinguished computer scientist, John Backus, in his 1977 Turing Award lecture (1) describes the shortcomings of conventional programming languages and suggests a new approach to programming in a style described as functional programming (FP). We will summarize the faults that Backus finds in conventional languages, briefly describe the functional programming style, and lastly show that FORTH meets the spirit of this style of programming.

## Conventional Lanquages

An underlying problem of conventional programming languages is that they tend to be high level descriptions of the Von Neumann computer. The assignment statement is the principal construct of these languages. A program becomes a series of these assignment statements, each of which requires the modification of a single cell. We may think of the Von Neumann computer as a set of storage cells, a separate processor, and a channel connecting the two. If assignment statements imitate the store operation, then branch statements imitate jump and test while variables imitate storage cells. The high level languages provide sophisticated constructs to directly model the underlying Von Neumann design. Conventional languages in the "word at a time" flow described above require large data transfers through this small channel connecting main storage and the CPU. Backus calls this the Von Neumann bottleneck. It is not merely a physical bottleneck but, more importantly, it is a bottleneck to our thinking about computer languages. Backus refers to it as an "intellectual bottleneck." He characterizes conventional languages as both fat and weak since increases in the size and complexity of these languages have provided only small increases in power. The typical programming language requires a large fixed set of constructs, is inflexible, and is not extensible. The problem has been eased by approaches such as top-down design and structured programming, but these have not provided a solution to the underlying difficulty. Backus suggests that we need a new way of thinking about computing. He describes a new style which he calls functional programming.

## Functional Programming

This new style of programming has the following characteristics:

- A function (program) is constructed from a set of previously defined
functions using a set of functional forms that combine these existing functions to form new ones.
- The most fundamental functional form is called composition. If the composition operator is denoted by o, then in Backus' notation "fog" is the function where $g$ is first applied and then $f$.
- The functions incorporate no data and do not name their conventions nor substitution rules.
- A function is hierarchical; i.e., built from simpler functions.

Backus points out that, "FP (Functional Programming) systems are so minimal that some readers may find it difficult to view them as programming languages." We have a set of predefined functions in a library (dictionary) and may define new functions in terms of these predefined functions.

Functional forms are constructs denoting functions which take functions as parameters. For example, the construct "if-else-then", and the construct "do while" are functional forms. As indicated above, composition is also a functional form.

FORTH of course has predefined constructs which serve as the functional forms of FP systems. In fact, FORTH provides facilities for adding new functional forms. An example would be a "case" construct to provide a more flexible and clear decision structure than that of a set of nested "if-else-then"'s. The capability of language to add new functional forms is not inherent in FP systems. Backus defines a language with this capability as a formal functional programming (FFP) language.

## An Example of Functional Programming: The Factorial Function

An example of a program written in the style of functional programming is as follows:
def $!. \equiv \mathrm{eq0} 0 \rightarrow \overline{1}$; * o [id, .. subl], where the notation $o, \equiv$, and [ ] denote functional forms. As we have seen, o denotes composition. The notation $\left[\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{2}\right]$ denotes construction where $\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right]$ applied to an argument $x$ yields the sequence $<f_{1}(x)$,$f_{2}(x)>$. The notation $p \rightarrow f ; g$ applied to an argument $x$ indicates that the value $p(x)$ is to be examined and if $p(x)$ is true the expression yields $f(x)$ else it yields $g(x)$.

Other definitions used in the above are:
eqO applied to $x$ yields a value true if $x$ is 0 , and yields false otherwise.
$\overline{1}$ is the literal value 1 and yields the
value 1 , regardless of the argument.

* is the multiplication operator, and applied to a sequence $\langle x, y\rangle$ yields $x * y$.
id is the identity operator. id applied to $x$ yields $x$.
subl applied to an argument $\times$ yields $\times$ 1.

Following the logic of the above function we see that !. applied to an argument $n$ yields $l$ if $n$ is zero. If $n$ is not zero we generate $n *(n-1)$ !

Clearly then for $n>0$ this is a definition of the factorial function. In FORTH (if the language were recursive) we would write:

```
: !
    DUP 0= IF l+
    ELSE DUP 1 - .. *
    THEN ;
```

The syntaxes of the two examples are different. The composition rule is applied right to left in the first example and left to right in FORTH. The rules for dropping arguments are different. Construction is not used in FORTH.* That the rules of syntax are different should not be surprising. The operations were defined by different people at different times. What is most important is that on close examination it is apparent that the style is essentially the same. We have "words" which denote functions which are evaluated following very similar rules.

FORTH as a Lanquage with Characteristics of Functional Programming

Consider the FORTH (outer) interpreter. Literally all that the interpreter recognizes are functions; or to be precise, words that denote functions.** The fundamental combining form is composition where in FORTH "fog" would be expressed as g f. Functions need not incorporate data, do not name their arguments, and require no substitution rules for parameter passing. There are no assignment statements and a new function is built from simpler previously defined functions. It is this style of programming in FORTH--so different than that of conventional lang-uages--that provides a power and flexibility that has sparked the enthusiasm of so many of us.

## Summary

This very short summary of the article by John Backus does not begin to do justice to either the scope or depth of the paper.

The "new" type of programming has generated considerable interest within the computing community and most particu-
larly among those interested in innovative approaches to computer architectures. It is this author's contention that FORTH is a functional programming language which closely resembles the approach suggested by John Backus in his definitive paper. It will be interesting to see if, as a result of this paper, languages which have attributes similar to FORTH begin to appear in academic circles.

* The author has recently implemented such an operator in FORTH.
** The way that literals are handled can be viewed as merely a question of implementation and efficiency.


## References

1. J. Backus, "Can Programming be Liberated from the Von Neumann Style?" CACM, Vol. 21, No. 8, August 1978, p. 613.

## FORTH AND ARTIFICIAL LINGUISTICS

Raymond Weisling<br>Surakarta, Jawa Tengah<br>Republik Indonesia

There has not been much said about the linguistic nature of computer languages, principally because so few of them permit the development of syntax structures that apporach human language, and hence foster linguistic observation. FORTH and its other threaded-code relatives allow for such structures to be developed, principally because of the larger body of words that arise from its extensibility and hierarchal function of operators.

The point I wish to address here is the syntactical limitations of the language we are building, an artificial language based in part on a human language (English) that is widely used wherever technology has developed. But there is a fundamental weakness in this English which I think we must be aware of, since it runs counter to the philosophy of FORTH. This is the syn-tax-sensitivity of word forms, especially nouns and verbs, which in English are commonly spelled and pronounced exactly the same. We rely on the structure (wordorder, partly) to distinguish these often unrelated words.

A few examples are in order. Consider the possible function of these FORTH words, both with respect to their current use (some are nouns while others are verbs), but also in their opposite hypothetical use: BUFFER , FENCE , KEY , LIMIT , LOOP , SPACE , TYPE , etc. Others which a programmer might wish to use in developing applications might include: OFFSET , SPAN , INSERT ,

FILE, CATALOG , OUTPUT . Since the action of these words is not known from the word itself, but only from either previous agreement or syntax, and since syntax sensitivity is not a common part of FORTH (i.e., where a syntactical form does not alter the way in which a word is compiled), some degree of confusion can result.

Furthermore, use of a word in only one form rules out its use in another form, except where it can reside in a different vocabulary. Thus words like KEY , LOOP , BLANK, and TYPE (all FORTH verbs) cannot function as nouns despite our temptation to use them that way for their inherent (English language-based) clarity. The same is true of some of the FORTH nouns like BLOCK , BUFFER , STATE , LIMIT , and BASE .

Thus it is not possible to know the nature of the word from its name alone. Would prefixes for verbs unnecessarily clutter the language? Would some prefix or suffix to differentiate constants from variables be useful? Or should we leave it alone. The TO and FROM words help clarify things but are not without problems, whereas ! and @ are perfectly uniform in function. Could a FORTH-like language be built that allows the word-type to become part of the header, with the compiler choosing which form of the samenamed word to use based on its syntactical position, like nouns (variables, constants, arrays) being objects of TO and FROM ? Or does this push us back into the horrible mess of artificial syntax forms such as algebraic notation (something we are perhaps proud to have departed from)?

I offer no solution per se. I only wish to point out a weakness that we all should be sensitive to when we assign names to our words. Since FORTH is still in evolution, this is yet another aspect to consider when standards are defined. I wish to disclaim any implication that 1 am a linguist of any sort other than Armchair Linguist. My sensitivity to this is a result of living in a different culture where I am learning a human language that permits far greater fluidity of structure due to the inherent differences in nouns and verbs, shown by a well codified system of prefixes and suffixes (morphemological differentiators). Those here who learn English struggle with the structural differentiation of all the parts of speech while our morpheme differentiators are used for relatively useless things like verb conjugation, plurality, cases, and tenses (which are all essentially absent in this part of the world). As technology spreads, an artificial lanquage for man-machine manipulation (a two way street) should be more universally based, at least with respect to linguistic modeling. As FORTH is already in use in many parts of the world, the channel for feedback is already open.

## FORTH STANDARDS CORNER <br> Robert L. Smith

## More Words on WORD

In my last column, I discussed WORD. I neglected to mention an important topic relating to the implementations of WORD which may influence transportability. Prior to the 79-Standard, the execution of WORD caused the string from the input medium to be moved to the dictionary area, starting at HERE with the character count. Some implementers would be tempted to define the 79-Standard WORD from the older WORD in a manner somewhat like this:

## : WORD WORD HERE ;

Other implementers would probably put the string elsewhere. Now suppose that the user wished to reverse the character string and emplace the modified string in the dictionary. The result from the former implementer's system will not be as expected, and will not result in "equivalent execution" on the later implementer's system. A similar but much less serious problem occurs with PAD. PAD is conventionally offset from HERE by a fixed amount ( 68 bytes in fig-FORTH). There are at least three different solutions:
(1) Implementations which place the string at HERE could be considered non-standard, and the problem goes away.
(2) A clarification could be added to the Standard indicating either that the string will always be at HERE, or that it may be at HERE.
(3) The problem could be forced upon users by requiring that the characters from WORD be stored in a user-defined area prior to their movement to the final destination.

Let Me Number the Ways
In many areas the 79-Standard defines limits and formats in painful detail. There is an important area in which very little is said, namely the format for single and double precision numbers in the input stream. In the section "interpreter, text" it is clear that "numbers" are allowed in the input text stream and may either be compiled or placed on the parameter stack. A definition of the format of a number should include at a minimum the distinction between double and single precision, the sign of the number, and the set of allowed characters from which the number is constructed. In keeping with the spirit of the rest of the Standard, I would like to propose a few definitions which should be fairly easy to implement and which appear to be compatible with most current implementations (including
fig-FORTH). First, we define a digit:

## digit

A digit is any one of a set of ASCII characters which represent numeric values in the range from 0 to base-1. For bases greater than decimal 10 , the set of characters is 0 ... 9 A B C ... where the ascending ASCII sequence is used for A and above.

Next, we add to the original definition of number as follows:
number
A number is represented in the input stream as a word composed of a sequence of one or more digits with a leading ASCII minus ( - ) if the number is negative and a trailing ASCII dot (.) if the value is to be considered double precision.

I recommend that implementers allow the above format, and that authors of transportable programs adhere to the same format. In any case, when the Standards Team meets again, they should certainly clarify this area.

## Under the Spreading FIG-TREE

As many of you are aware, there is a Computer Conference Tree (now nicknamed the FIG-TREE) which contains items of interest to the FORTH community. I would like to encourage all persons interested in the 79-Standard to read and contribute to the branch of the FIG-TREE called 79-STANDARD. All you need is a terminal (110 or 300 baud), a modem, and a telephone. The number is (4.15) 538-3580. See back issues of FORTH DIMENSIONS for further information, or just call up and send a few carriage returns until the system responds.

## CORRECTIONS

Add to: FD III/4, pg. 102 the following:
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Change: FDIII/4, pg. 118, para 3 to: The TO concept was developed by Dr. Paul Bartholdi ${ }^{2}$ as an alternative to constants and variables.

## EDITOR'S NOTE:

Peter Bengtson of DATATRONIC AB in Stockholm, Sweden sent us a copy of the September, 1981 edition of Electronics And Computing Monthly. Feature article was FORTH, "The Language of the Eighties" in which FIG is mentioned prominently. More confirmation we are all riding the crest!

## TECHNOTES, BUGS AND FIXES

## I have three questions about FORTH:

Q: I know of two CP/M FORTHs that have their own way of dealing with the BIOS and BDOS and as a result cannot read each other's screens. What I'm leading to is this: CP/M and fig-FORTH are both supposed to be machine independent systems but cannot read each other's source code files. CP/M figgers ought to get together on this one.
A. Differences between disk organizations are sector skewing and location. It is easy to add definitions to a FORTH which uses BIOS so it can read other organizations; it is not possible the other direction.
2. When selecting a new drive, you need to do a COLD start or you'll remain on the last drive--this is only true if you are accessing the same screen number. If you leave an empty line between two definitions on the screen, a LOAD will stop loading at the empty line. Are these FORTH conventions I haven't heard about yet or are they peculiar to my Timin FORTH?
A. Both of these are bugs--demand fixes from Timin.
3. Somehow(?), I've been leaving a lot of control characters behind when using the editor. They don't show up on a screen list but they sure ruin any attempt at loading the screen. I am not sure if this is a common problem but I have enclosed a short routine to replace control charaters with spaces for anyone else who has this problem.

```
SCREEN: }9
( HUNT FOR CONTROL CHARACTERS)
: HUNT (SCREEN # --- )
    BLOCK
    1024 0 DO DUP C@ DUP 32<
        IF CR ."个" 64 + EMIT
    ." (a):" DUP U. ELSE DROP
        ENDIF 1+ LOOP DROP ;
: FIXSCREEN ( SCREEN 非 --- )
    BLOCK
    1024 0 DO DUP C@ 32 < IF
        DUP }32\mathrm{ SWAP C! ENDIF
        1+ LOOP DROP ;
( ACTUALLY HUNT AND FIXSCREEN
    ARE QUITE SIMILAR, HUNT JUST
SHOWS UP ANY GUIL_TY CHARACTERS
    AND FIXSCREEN REPLACES THEM)
```

A. Don't know. May be an editor bug or the way you are using it. If you add a line with \#P followed immediately by a carriage return in the fig editor, a null is introduced into the line which stops compiling. (editor fix should be supplied)

## THAT MYSTERIOUS fig-FORTH AMNESIA

Many fig-FORTH users have probably noticed the curious phenomenon I refer to as "amnesia" in their computers, and those who understand the method of the fig-FORTH dictionary search, no doubt understand it as well. It is an amusing, often perplexing, but usually useful property peculiar to fig-FORTH dictionaries.

Because names in fig-FORTH may have variable length, the distance between the start of the name and the link to the next name in the dictionary is also variable. Because the width (number of characters saved) is also allowed to be less than the actual number of characters in the name, one cannot rely on the count to provide the address of the link-field, given the address of the name-field. This is why the fig-FORTH compiler automatically sets the most significant bit of the first character and the last character in every name. By this device, one can scan a name forward or backward by looking for this bit.

In a dictionary search, the address in the link-field is followed to the beginning of the name-field of the previous word. If it is not a match to the key you are looking for, we scan forward in memory until the most significant bit tells us we have found the link-field to the next word. When a dictionary link is "broken" by clobbering RAM, an erroneous address is followed, and the system is said to "crash".

However, in fig-FORTH, the system does not always "die". In many cases, it is merely "wounded", displaying a strange kind of amnesia in which it has no recollection of recent definitions, but remembers with clarity its "childhood". What happens is this: the broken link sends the dictionary search off to a totally random part of memory (if you do not have 64 K , it may address RAM where there are no boards!). Since it is not likely to find a match at this address, it scans forward for the most significant bit that marks the end of the "name". The odds are that it will eventually find one, mistake the next two bytes for a link, and follow another wild address somewhere else.

Now, depending on how much of your memory is filled with dictionary, and depending on what is in your unused RAM, the odds are not bad that after bouncing aimlessly around for awhile, the search may land in the middle of a valid name. One does not expect a match to compare with the middle of a name, but the search then scans for the most significant bit, finds a valid link, and gets back into the dictionary. What the "amnesia" has actually forgotten, then, is everything between the broken link and the point where the search re-enters the dictionary.

If your used RAM is large in comparison to FORTH, you are likely to find most of FORTH still available as a kind of crippled monitor to help you find out what went wrong without re-booting the system (which destroys the damage). Furthermore, since you now know the cause of this illness, you can exploit it to your advantage. Simply modify your boot-up RAM-check routine so that it leaves a pattern in your unused RAM, such that no matter how it is viewed, it will appear to be an address somewhere in the middle of a name-field, somewhere near the top of your basic FORTH and utilities. You will now find, to your delight, that when you "crash", you usually have your most powerful tools still at your disposal.

Users of FORTH, Inc. Micro-FORTH are not likely to observe this phenomenon. Because names are always exactly four characters long, the link field does not have to be scanned for; instead, it is found by simple arithmetic. In order to re-enter the dictionary, one must land by chance on the exact beginning of a name-field. Much more likely than this, is that the search will enter a loop in which it goes again to an address it has already visited, and get caught forever. Remember that the addresses found are by no means random. All you have to do is cover the most common ones.

Steve Munson
8071 E. 7 th Street, \#14
Buena Park, CA 90621

## TRANSIENT DEFINITIONS

These utiliites allow you to have temporary definition (such as compiler words: CASE, OF ENDOF, ENDCASE, GODO, etc.) in the dictionary during compilation and then remove them after compilation. The word TRANSIENT moves the dictionary pointer to the "transient area" which must be above the end of the current dictionary. The temporary definitions are then compiled into this area. Next, the word PERMANENT restores the dictionary to its normal location. Now the application program is compiled and the temporary definitions are removed with the word DISPOSE. DISPOSE will take a few seconds because it goes through every link (including vocabulary links) and patches them to bypass all words above the dictionary pointer.

NOTE: These words are written in MicroMotion's FORTH-79 but some non-79-Standard words are used. The non-Standard words have the figFORTH definitions.

Philip Wasson

# MORE WORDS ABOUT WORD 

Robert D. Villwock<br>Microsystems, Inc.

In analyzing or proposing changes to any Standard definition, it is very important to concentrate on the details of the needed function and to avoid any preconceived notion of internal implementation details, unless, of course, the two are inseparable. If this is not done, we can severely and unnecessarily constrain future implementors from doing their best possible job, or, worse yet, find them avoiding the Standard entirely.

A good case in point is the word WORD. Since most FORTH implementors have favored using the "free space" above the dictionary to store tokens extracted by WORD, and further since their experience seems to be centered around small to medium sized application programs, it is tacitly assumed that this free space is arbitrarily large. In addition to storing tokens at HERE, PAD is usually also defined to float above the dictionary in this "unbounded" free space. Therefore, whether WORD handles tokens of length 128, 256 or even 1024 bytes is innocently discussed with the idea that the only issue involved is the length descriptor preceding the string!

However, whether this token buffer and PAD float above HERE or are fixed location buffers or some different scheme is devised, they consume real memory and are not really "free space". To illustrate, suppose we assume the traditional implementation for a moment and use HERE as the start of the token buffer used by WORD. The PAD is then usually floated at a location equal to HERE plus some constant. If WORD must handle tokens as long as 255 bytes, then PAD must be floated at least 256 bytes above HERE to prevent token extraction from corrupting the contents of PAD. The 79-STANDARD requires that PAD be able to hold at least 64 bytes, so now we're at HERE + 320 bytes.

If one is compiling a large application program, the dictionary will grow until eventually HERE + 320 hits the peg (whether it is a fixed boundary or the PSTACK bottom or whatever). When it does, no more compilation can take place (even though there is at least 320 bytes of unused dictionary left) without violating the Standard. If you permit further compilation, the size of PAD begins to drop below the minimum 64, which is not allowed. Even if you start automatically reducing the PAD offset so that it remains fixed in size, the token buffer begins shrinking and can no longer satisfy the 256 byte string requirement.

I'm trying to illustrate that "free space" is only "free" as long as all of
memory isn't needed. When memory fills, these "free space" buffers prevent code from being compiled into their space. The floating buffer concept seems to obscure this fact more than if the token buffer and the PAD were given fixed, dedicated areas of memory.

If the token buffer must handle 1024 byte strings, the situation is even worse. We then have to stop compiling when the dictionary has over IK bytes of space left: Since most of the time the tokens extracted by WORD are very short (31 characters or less), we pay a dear price to be able to handle the occasional long string, given that WORD must handle it, and WORD is defined as at present.

If you discard the notion that a more or less unbounded "free space" exists somewhere in memory, the approach to WORD's definition takes on a new facet. At Microsystems, we have developed several large applications using FORTH, which resulted in target compiled code in the range of 32 K to 48 K bytes, exclusive of the dictionary headers and the FORTH operating sysem software. When applications become that large, there isn't even room to hold all the names in memory at one time (even if constrained to 3 characters and length), let alone room to burn for large "free space" buffers! Our implementation, which is called proFORTH ${ }^{\top M}$, handles this problem by means of multiple dictionaries and ROM/RAM segment control with selective symbol purging. Names are classified as to their needed lifetimes during compilation. When the names are no longer needed, they are purged and their memory space is reclaimed. This allows much of the memory devoted to dictionary headers to be reused many times during compilation, thereby enabling very large applications to be compiled.

The foregoing is not a commercial for proFORTH, but rather is intended to illustrate that the scope of usage to which FORTH can be applied is very broad. In a situation where you have multiple dictionaries and are fighting for every byte of memory available, thinking in terms of storing unbounded tokens at HERE and floating PADs of arbitrary length becomes very incongruous. Admittedly, I've described a somewhat extreme situation, but it is not as rare as you may think. Microprocessor applications are getting more ambitious every day and sooner or later you will have a crowded memory condition. I think FORTH should be able to handle these situations gracefully, without having to deviate from the Standard.

When defining WORD, then, one objective should be to enable users to extract arbitrarily long tokens from the text stream but not force the implementor to provide an arbitrarily long memory
buffer to accomplish it. While this may sound a little like trying to "have your cake and eat it too", a rather simple factoring of WORD can easily accomplish it. To illustrate my point, suppose we devise a more basic WORD called (WORD) and define it as follows:

```
:(wORD) (c-- a n) BLK ? ?NUP
    IF BLOCK
    FLSE TIB e
    THLN >IN @ + SWAP ENC1OOSL
    \IN +! OVER - -ROT + SWAP ;
```

where ENCLOSE is defined as in the FIG glossary and -ROT is equivalent to ROT ROT.

This new (WORD) extracts the next token from the text stream, delimited by c , and leaves its address and length on the stack. Actually, the token is merely left in the input buffer (keyboard or disk) and a pointer to it is given. Thus, no additional or temporary buffer is needed. The user may now do anything he (she) wants with the string, including moving it to HERE if desired (and if it will fit).

For example, if you want to compile the token as a "dot-quote" string, a definition such as WORD, can be used.

```
: KORD, (c -- )
    (WORD) HERE OVER \(1^{+}\)NLT.OT SWAP OVER C:
        COUNT CMOVE ;
```

If you want a blank-filled line put in PAD, the following could be used:

```
: TEXT ( c -- ) PAD C/L 2+ BLANKS
    (WORD) C/L MIN PAD C! PAD
        COUNT CMOVF ;
```

For the routine compiler/interpreter job of extracting small ( 31 characters or less) tokens from the text stream, the following could be used:

```
: WORD ( c -- a )
    (WORD) WDSZ MIN WBFR C: WBFR COUNT 1+
        CMOVE WBER ;
```

where WBFR is a "small" word buffer limited to WDSZ + 2. Note that except possibly for the self-imposed size limitation*, the last definition satisfies the 79-STANDARD definition of WORD.

If you will carefully examine these constructs, you can quickly discover that given (WORD) as the elementary form, the user can extract tokens of any size, put them wherever he wants, and format them with or without the trailing delimiter, or for that matter, the leading count byte (or 16 bit word if you prefer:. In other words, the user ought to be able to do essentially anything that he may desire, but, the implementor need not provide any special, temporary buffers or arbitrary size just to
satisfy the Standard.
Using (WORD) as the fundamental token extractor allows implementors to compile dot quote strings, for example, without the need for any transitional buffers (see WORD,). On the other hand, if dot quote strings are acquired by the present form of WORD in the Standard, then the token buffer must be at least as large as the longest dot quote string, which is presently specified to be 127 characters.

One might argue that if the buffer is at HERE, there is no penalty since that is where the string must go anyway, and if it won't fit it can't be compiled. However, this line of reasoning is again limited by a parochial view that all FORTH implementations must be alike. If a system like proFORTH is being used, the target definition body can optionally be compiled "in place" separate from the dictionary header. There may be room for the string in the target segment of memory but not enough in the dictionary.

In conclusion, let me say that if there is sufficient memory, the user may declare all the buffers he wants, but we should not require that these buffers be preallocated by the implementor in order to satisfy the Standard. Therefore, I submit that my definition of (WORD) is a more fundamentally valuable function than WORD (as currently defined in the 79-STANDARD,) from which all others can be built without burning sometimes precious memory space. There are already enough buffers and such required (directly or indirectly) by the Standard. Let's not arbitrarily insist on more by accidently defining words in such a way as to force an implementor to provide them.

* I emphasize "possibly" because fortunately the Standard is not explicit as to the length of tokens that must be handled by WORD.


## CORRECTION TO FEDIT

Sorry you had trouble with FEDIT. The listing was retyped at FIG and several typos creeped in. They are:

1. SCR 64 Line 10: compile should be COMPILE
2. SCR 65 Line 23: $1+/ \mathrm{MOD}$ should be $1+$ $16 / \mathrm{MOD}$
3. SCR 67 Line 48: B/BUD should be B/BUF
4. SCR 67 Line 49: :e should be : . E
5. SCR 67 Line 50: + ALIN should be +ALIN

You are perfectly right that source text should be loadable. I talked to some
of the people at FIG about this and they were acutely aware of the problem but they are simply not set up to directly reproduce listings into FD at the present time. They do the best job they can with the resources available to them, and they work darn hard at it. I can't fault them.

REPL is a pseudonym for the FigFORTH line editor definition, R. I used the pseudonym because FEDIT was the first program I wrote in FORTH and I really wasn't familiar enough with Vocabularies to comfortably use a word that was already used in the FORTH vocabulary.

Let me know how it works for you. If you would like a machine produced listing, I could run one for you from my current version.

Edgar H. Fey, Jr.
18 Calendar Court
La Grange, IL 60525

## A HELPFUL UTILITY

Here's a short FORTH word of great utility that I use heavily in my screens. I hope you like it. Its name is CVD, which stands for "convert to decimal".

## DECIMAL

: CVD
BASE @ SWAP
OVER /MOD
ROT /MOD
10 *
10 * +
;
I like to work in hexidecimal, but often make mistakes when using the words LOAD, LIST, and many of the FORTH screen editor words because I'm thinking in decimal when the system's in hex. If I do the following:

## : LIST CVD LIST ;

then 130 LIST lists screen 130 whether I'm in decimal or hex. It also works for any other base, as long as that base accepts the number.

As to how it works, a little work will show that CVD splits a three-digit number into its respective digits (IE, 130 becomes 1,3 , and 0 ) and reassembles the digits into the number that is, in decimal, the same as the keys pressed by the user.

Gregg Williams<br>BYTE Publications<br>PO Box 372<br>Hancock, NH 03449

## CALL FOR PAPERS

## 1982 Rochester FORTH Conference on <br> Data Bases and Process Control

May 17 through May 21, 1982
University of Rochester
Rochester, New York
The second annual Rochester FORTH Conference will be held in May, and will be hosted by the University of Rochester's Laboratory for Laser Energetics. This year's topics complement and extend the work described at the 1981 FORML Conference and the previous Rochester Conference. We believe that the areas of data bases and process control can be uniquely dealt with using FORTH.

There is a call for papers on the following topics:

1. Data Bases, including, but not limited to: hierarchical, network and relational models; scientific use; process control; and commercial systems.
2. Process Control, including, but not limited to: multitasking, metacompilation, data acquisition and real time systems; video games.
3. Related concepts of: implementation, speed/space tradeoffs; user interactions; designer tools; and graphics.

Papers will be handled in either oral sessions or poster sessions, although oral papers will be refereed in accordance with conference direction, paper quality and topic. Please submit a 200 word abstract by March 15, 1982. The oral papers deadline is April 15, 1982, and the poster papers deadline is May 1, 1982. Send abstracts and papers to the conference chairman, Lawrence Forsley, by those dates. Please keep papers to a maximum of 10 printed pages. If this restriction causes a serious problem, contact us.

For more information, please contact the conference chairman at:

Lawrence P. Forsley
Laboratory for Laser Energetics
University of Rochester
250 East River Road
Rochester, New York 14623

## A FORTH ASSEMBLER FOR THE 6502

by William F. Ragsdale

## INTRODUCTION

This article should further polarize the attitudes of those outside the growing community of FORTH users. Some will be fascinated by a label-less, macroassembler whose source code is only 96 lines long! Others will be repelled by reverse Polish syntax and the absence of labels.

The author immodestly claims that this is the best FORTH assembler ever distributed. It is the only such assembler that detects all errors in op-code generation and conditional structuring. It is released to the public domain as a defense mechanism. Three good 6502 assemblers were submitted to the FORTH Interest Group but each had some lack. Rather than merge and edit for publication, I chose to publish mine with all the submitted features plus several more.

Imagine having an assembler in 1300 bytes of object code with:

1. User macros (like IF, UNTIL,) definable at any time.
2. Literal values expressed in any numeric base, alterable at any time.
3. Expressions using any resident computation capability.
4. Nested control structures without labels, with error control.
5. Assembler source itself in a portable high level language.

## OVERVIEW

Forth is provided with a machine language assembler to create execution procedures that would be time inefficient, if written as colon-definitions. It is intended that "code" be written similarly to high level, for clarity of expression. Functions may be written first in high-level, tested, and then re-coded into assembly, with a minimum of restructuring.

## THE ASSEMBLY PROCESS

Code assembly just consists of interpreting with the ASSEMBLER vocabulary as CONTEXT. Thus, each word in the input stream will be matched according the Forth practice of searching CONTEXT first then CURRENT.

ASSEMBLER (now CONTEXT)
FORTH (chained to ASSEMBLER)
user's (CURRENT if one exits)
FORTH (chained to user's vocab)
try for literal number
else, do error abort
The above sequence is the usual action of Forth's text interpreter, which remains in control during assembly.

During assembly of CODE definitions, Forth continues interpretation of each word encountered in the input stream (not in the compile mode). These assembler words specify operands, address modes, and op-codes. At the conclusion of the CODE definition a final error check verifies correct completion by "unsmudging" the definition's name, to make it available for dictionary searches.

## RUN-TIME, ASSEMBLY-TIME

One must be careful to understand at what time a particular word definition executes. During assembly, each assembler word interpreted executes. Its function at that instant is called 'assembling' or 'assembly-time'. This function may involve op-code generation, address calculation, mode selection, etc.

The later execution of the generated code is called 'run-time'. This distinction is particulary important with the conditionals. At assembly time each such word (i.e., IF, UNTIL, BEGIN, etc.) itself 'runs' to produce machine code which will later execute at what is labeled 'run-time' when its named code definition is used.

## AN EXAMPLE

As a practical example, here's a simple call to the system monitor, via the NMI address vector (using the BRK opcode).

CODE MON ( exit to monitor ) BRK, NEXT JMP, END-CODE

The word CODE is first encountered, and executed by Forth. CODE builds the following name "MON" into a dictionary header and calls ASSEMBLER as the CONTEXT vocabularly.

The "(" is next found in FORTH and executed to skip til ")". This method skips over comments. Note that the name after CODE and the ")" after "(" must be on the same text line.

## OP-CODES

BRK, is next found in the assembler as the op-code. When BRK, executes, it assembles the byte value 00 into the dictionary as the op-code for "break to monitor via "NMI".

Many assembler words names end in ",". The significance of this is:

1. The comma shows the conclusion of a logical grouping that would be one line of classical assembly source code.
2. "," compiles into the dictionary; thus a comma implies the point at which code is generated.
3. The "," distinguishes op-codes from possible hex numbers ADC and $A D D$.

## NEXT

Forth executes your word definitions under control of the address interpreter, named NEXT. This short code routine moves execution from one definition, to the next. At the end of your code definition, you must return control to NEXT or else to code which returns to NEXT.

## RETURN OF CONTROL

Most 6502 systems can resume execution after a break, since the monitor saves the CPU register contents. Therefore, we must return control to Forth after a return from the monitor. NEXT is a constant that specifies the machine address of Forth's address interpreter (say \$0242). Here it is the operand for JMP,. As JMP, executes, it assembles a machine code jump to the address of NEXT from the assembly time stack value.

## SECURITY

Numerous tests are made within the assembler for user errors:

1. All parameters used in CODE definitions must be removed.
2. Conditionals must be properly nested and paired.
3. Address modes and operands must be allowable for the op-codes

These tests are accomplished by checking the stack position (in CSP) at the creation of the definition name and comparing it with the position at ENDCODE. Legality of address modes and operands is insured by means of a bit mask associated with each operand.

Remember that if an error occurs during assembly, END-CODE never executes. The result is that the "smudged" condition of the definition name remains in the "smudged" condition and will not be found during dictionary searches.

The user should be aware that one error not trapped is referencing a definition in the wrong vocabulary:

[^0]（Editor＇s note：the listing assumes that the figFORTH error messages are already available in the system，as follows：
？CSP issues the error message＂DEFI－ NITION NOT FINISHED＂if the stack position differs from the value saved in the user variable CSP，which is set at the creation of teh definition name．
？PAIRS issues the error message ＂CONDITIONALS NOT IMPAIRED＂if its two arguments do not match

3 ERROR prints the error message ＂HAS INCORRECT ADDRESS MODE＂．）

## SUMMARY

The object code of our example is：

305983 4D 4F CE
305D 4D 30
305F 6130
306100
3062 4C 4202

CODE MON link field code field BRK JMP NEXT

OP－CODES，revisited

The bulk of the assembler consists of dictionary entries for each op－code．The 6502 one mode op－codes are：

| BRK， | CLC， | CLD， | CLI， |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEX， | DEV， | INX， | INY， |
| PHOP， |  |  |  |
| RTA， | PHP， | PLA， | PLP， |
| REC， | SED， |  |  |
| TAY， | TSX， | TXS， | TXI， |

When any of these are executed，the corresponding op－code byte is assembled into the dictionary．

The multi－mode op－codes are：

| ADC， | AND， | CMP，EOR， | LDA， |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ORA， | SBC， | STA， | ASL， |
| INEC， |  |  |  |
| INC， | LSR，ROL，ROR， | STX， |  |
| CPX， | CPY， | LDX，LDY， | STY， |
| JSR， | JMP，BIT， |  |  |

These usually take an operand，which must already be on the stack．An address mode may also be specified．If none is given，the op－code uses z－page or absolute addressing．The address modes are deter－ mined by


## EXAMPLES

Here are examples of Forth vs．con－ ventional assembler．Note that the oper－ and comes first，followed by any mode modifier，and then the op－code mnemonic．This makes best use of the stack at assembly time．Also，each as－ sembler word is set off by blanks，as is required for all Forth source text．

```
            .A ROL, ROL A
            1 非 LDY, LDY 非
        DATA ,X STA, STA DATA,X
        DATA,Y CMP, CMP DATA,Y
            6 X) ADC, ADC (06,X)
        POINT )Y STA, STA (POINT),Y
VECTOR ) JMP, JMP (VECTOR)
```

（．A distinguishes from hex number 0 A ）
The words DATA and VECTOR specify machine addresses．In the case of＂6 ）X ADC，＂the operand memory address \＄0006 was given directly．This is occasionally done if the usage of a value doesn＇t justify devoting the dictionary space to a symbol－ ic value．

## 6502 CONVENTIONS

## Stack Addressing

The data stack is located in z－page， usually addressed by＂Z－PAGE，X＂．The stack starts near $\$ 009 E$ and grows down－ ward．The $X$ index register is the data stack pointer．Thus，incrementing $X$ by two removes a data stack value；decre－ menting $X$ twice makes room for one new data stack value．

Sixteen bit values are placed on the stack according to the 6502 convention； the low byte is at low memory，with the high byte following．This allows＂indexed， indirect $X^{\prime \prime}$ directly off a stack value．

The bottom and second stack values are referenced often enough that the sup－ port words BOT and SEC are included． Using

BOT LDA，assembles LDA $(0, X)$ and SEC ADC，assembles $\operatorname{ADC}(2, \times)$

BOT leaves 0 on the stack and sets the address mode to,$X$ ．SEC leaves 2 on the stack also setting the address mode to,$\times$ ．

Here is a pictorial representation of the stack in z－page．


Here is an examples of code to＂or＂to the accumulator four bytes on the stack：

| BOT LDA， | LDA $(0, X)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| BOT 1＋ORA， | ORA $(1, x)$ |
| SEC ORA， | ORA $2, \times)$ |
| SEC 1＋ORA， | ORA $(3, X)$ |

To obtain the 14－th byte on the stack： BOT 13 ＋LDA，

## RETURN STACK

The Forth Return Stack is located in the 6502 machine stack in Page 1．It starts at \＄O1FE and builds downward．No lower bound is set or checked as Page l has sufficient capacity for all（non－－ recursive）applications．

By 6502 convention the CPU＇s register points to the next free byte below the bot－ tom of the Return Stack．The byte order follows the convention of low significance byte at the lower address．

Return stack values may be obtained by：PLA，PLA，which will pull the low byte，then the high byte from the return stack．To operate on aribitrary bytes，the method is：

1）save $X$ in $X S A V E$
2）execute $T S X$ ，to bring the $S$ register to $X$ ．

3）use RP）to address the lowest byte of the return stack．Offset the value to address higher bytes．（Address mode is automatically set to ， X 。）

4）Restore $\times$ from $\times S A V E$ ．

As an example，this definition non－ destructively tests that the second item on the return stack（also the machine stack）is zero．

| CODE IS－IT XSAVE S return st RP）2＋LD （ or 2 nd together） | （ zero ？） <br> TX，TS×，（se ack） <br> DA，RP） 3 ＋ item＇s two bytes | ORA, es |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0=\mathrm{IF}$ ，INY，THEN，（ if zeru，bump Y to one） |  |  |
| TYA，PHA，XSAVE LDX，（save low byte，rstore data stack） |  |  |
| PR）$=\$ 0101, \times-->$ | Return Stack |  |
|  | hi byte lo byte | second item |
|  | hi byte lo byte | bottom item |
| S－－＞ | free byte |  | XSAVE STX，TSX，（setup for return stack）

RP）2＋LDA，RP） 3 ＋ORA， （ or 2nd item＇s two bytes ogether）
$0=$ IF，INY，THEN，（ if zeru，burnp

TYA，PHA，XSAVE LDX，（save low byte，rstore data stack） PUSH JMP，END－CODE（ push boolean）

## FORTHREGISTERS

Several Forth registers are available only at the assembly level and have been given names that return their memory addresses. These are:

IP address of the Interpretive Pointer, specifying the next Forth address which will be interpreted by NEXT.

W address of the pointer to the code field of the dictionary definition just interpreted by NEXT. W-1 contains \$6C, the op-code for indirect jump. Therefore, jumping to $\mathrm{W}-1$ will indirectly jump via W to the machine code for the definition.

UP User Pointer containing address of the base of the user area.

N a utility area in z-page from $\mathrm{N}-1$ thru $\mathrm{N}+7$.

## CPU Registers

When Forth execution leaves NEXT to execute a CODE definition, the following conventions apply:

1. The $Y$ index register is zero. It may be freely used.
2. The $X$ index register defines the low byte of the bottom data stack item relative to machine address $\$ 0000$.
3. The CPU stack pointer $S$ points one byte below the low byte of the bottom return stack item. Executing PLA, will pull this byte to the accumulator.
4. The accumulator may be freely used.
5. The processor is in the binary mode and must be returned in that mode.

## XSAVE

XSAVE is a byte buffer in z-page, for temporary storage of the $X$ register. Typical usage, with a call which will change $X$, is:

CODE DEMO
XSAVE STX, USER'S JSR,
( which will change $X$ )
XSAVE LDX, NEXT JMP, END-CODE
$N$ Area
When absolute memory registers are required, use the ' N Area' in the base page. These registers may be used as
pointers for indexed/indirect addressing or for temporary values. As an example of use, see CMOVVE in the system source code.

The assembler word $N$ returns the base address (usually $\$ 0001$ ). The $N$ Area spans 9 bytes, from N-1 thru $N+7$. Conventionaily, $\mathrm{N}-1$ holds one byte and N , $N+2, N+4, N+6$ are pairs which may hold 16-bit values. See SETUP for help on moving values to the $N$ Area.

It is very important to note that many Forth procedures use N . Thus, N may only be used within a single code definition. Never expect that a value will remain there, outside a single definition?

CODE DEMO HEX
6 \# LDA, N 1 - STA, (setup a counter)

BEGIN, 8001 BIT,
(tickle a port)
N 1 - DEC,
(diecrement the counter)
$0=$ LNTIL, NEXT JMP, END-CODE
(loop till negative)

## SETUP

Often we wish to move stack values to the N area. The sub-routine SETUP has been provided for this purpose. Upon entering SETUP the accumulator specifies the quantity of 16 -bit stack values to be moved to the N area. That is, A may be $1,2,3$, or 4 only:

3 \# LDA, SETUP JSR,


## CONTROL FLOW

Forth discards the usual convention of assembler labels. Instead, two replacements are used. First, each Forth definition name is permanently included in the dictionary. This allows procedures to be located and executed by name at any time as well as be compiled within other definitions.

Secondly, within a code definition, execution flow is controlled by label-less branching according to "structured programming". This method is identical to the form used in colon-definitions. Branch calculations are done at assembly time by temporary stack values placed by the con-
trol words:
BEGIN, UNTIL, IF, ELSE,
THEN,

Here again, the assembler words end with a comma, to indicate that code is being produced and to clearly differentiate from the high-level form.

One major difference occurs! Highlevel flow is controlled by run-time boolean values on the data stack. Assembly flow is instead controlled by processor status bits. The programmer must indicate which status bit to test, just before a conditional branching word (IF, and UNTIL,).

## Examples are:

PORT LDA, $0=$ IF , 〈a> THEN, (read port, if equal to zero do $\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle$ )

PORT LDA, $0=$ NOT IF, 〈a> THEN, (read port, if not equal to zero do $\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle$ )

The conditional specifiers for 6502 are:

| CS | test carry set <br> processor <br> status | $\mathrm{C}=1$ in |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | byte less than zero | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| $0<$ | equal to zero | $\mathrm{Z}=1$ |
| $0=$ | $\mathrm{C}=0$ |  |
| CS NOT test carry clear | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |  |
| $0<$ NOT | test positive | $\mathrm{Z}=0$ |

The overflow status bit is so rarely used, that it is not included. If it is desired, compile:

## ASSEMBLER DEFINITIONS HEX

 50 CONSTANT VS (test overflow set)
## CONDITIONAL LOOPING

A conditional loop is formed at assembler level by placing the portion to be repeated between BEGIN, and UNTIL,:

6 \# LDA, N STA,
(define loop counter in N )
BEGIN, PORT DEC,
(repeated action)
NDEC, $0=$ UNTIL,
( $N$ reaches zero)
First, the byte at address $N$ is loaded with the value 6 . The beginning of the loop is marked (at assembly time) by BEGIN,. Memory at PORT is decremented, then the loop counter in N is decremented. Of course, the CPU updates its status register as $N$ is decremented. Finally, a test for $\mathrm{Z}=1$ is made; if N hasn't reached zero, execution returns to BEGIN,. When $N$ reaches zero (after executing PORT DEC, 6 times) execution continues ahead after UNTIL,. Note that

BEGIN, generates no machine code, but is only an assembly time locator.

## CONDITIONAL EXECUTION

Paths of execution may be chosen at assembly in a similar fashion and done in colon-definitions. In this case, the branch is chosen based on a processor status condition code.

PORT LDA, $O=I F$, (for zero set) THEN, (continuing code)

In this example, the accumulator is loaded from PORT. The zero status is tested if set $(Z=1)$. If so, the code (for zero set) is executed. Whether the zero status is set or not, execution will resume at THEN,.

The conditional branching also allows a specific action for the false case. Here we see the addition of the ELSE, part.

```
PORT LDA, 0= IF, < for zero set>
    ELSE, <for zero clear>
    THEN, <continuing code>
```

The test of PORT will select one of two execution paths, before resuming execution after THEN,. The next example increments N based on bit D7 of a port:

```
PORT LDA, (fetch one byte )
O< IF, N DEC, (if D7=1, decrement
    N
ELSE, N INC, ( if D7=0, increment
                N)
THEN, ( continue ahead )
```


## CONDITIONAL NESTING

Conditionals may be nested, according to the conventions of structured programming. That is, each conditional sequence begun (IF, BEGIN,) must be terminated (THEN, UNTIL,) before the next earlier conditional is terminated. An ELSE, must pair with the immediately preceding IF,.

```
BEGIN, < code always executed>
    CS IF, <code if carry set>
        ELSE, <code if carry clear>
        THEN,
    0= NOT UNTIL, ( loop till condition
                        flag is non-zero)
```

        <code that continues onward>
    Next is an error that the assembler security will reveal.

```
BEGIN, PORT LDA,
    0= IF, BOT INC,
        0= UNTIL, ENDIF,
```

The UNTIL, will not complete the pending BEGIN, since the immediately preceding IF, is not completed. An error trap will occur at UNTIL, saying "conditionals not paired".

## RETURN OF CONTROL, revisited

When concluding a code definition, several common stack manipulations often are needed. These functions are already in the nucleus, so we may share their use just by knowing their return points. Each of these returns control to NEXT.

POP POPTWO remove one 16-bit stack values.
POPTWO remove two 16-bit stack

PUSH values.
add two bytes to the data stack.
write two bytes to the data stack, over the present bottom of the stack.

Our next example complements a byte in memory. The bytes' address is on the stack when INVERT is executed.

```
CODE INVERT ( a memory byte ) HEX
    BOT X) LDA, (fetch byte addressed
                                    by stack)
FF # EOR, (complement accumu-
        lator)
BOT X) STA, ( replace in memory )
POP JMP, END-CODE ( discard
                pointer from stack,
                        return to NEXT )
```

A new stack value may result from a code definition. We could program placing it on the stack by:

CODE ONE ( put 1 on the stack)
DEX, DEX, ( make room on the data stack)
1 非 LDA, BOT STA, (store low byte)
BOT 1+ STY, ( hi byte stored from Y since $=$ zero)
NEXT JMP, END-CODE
A simpler version could use PUSH:
CODE ONE
1 非 LDA, PHA, ( push low byte to machine stack)
TYA, PUSH JMP, ( high byte to accumulator, push to data stack) END-CODE

The convention for PUSH and PUT is: l. push the low byte onto the machine stack.
2. leave the high byte in the accumulator.
3. jump to PUSH or PUT.

PUSH will place the two bytes as the new bottom of the data stack. PUT will over-write the present bottom of the stack with the two bytes. Failure to push exactly one byte on the machine stack will disrupt execution upon usage!

## FOOLING SECURITY

Occasionally we wish to generate unstructured code. To accomplish this, we can control the assembly time security checks, to our purpose. First, we must note the parameters utilized by the control structures at assembly time. The notation below is taken from the assembler glossary. The --- indicates assembly time execution, and separate input stack values from the output stack values of the words execution.


The address values indicate the machine location of the corresponding ' B 'EGIN, 'I'F, or 'E'LSE,. cc represents the condition code to select the processor status bit referenced. The digit 1 or 2 is tested for conditional pairing.

The general method of security control is to drop off the check digit and manipulate the addresses at assembly time. The security against errors is less, but the programmer is usually paying intense attention to detail during this effort.

To generate the equivalent of the high level:

BEGIN <a> WHILE < $b$ > REPEAT
we write in assembly:
BEGIN, DROP ( the check digit l, leaving addrB)

CS IF, (leaves addrI and digit 2)
<b>
ROT (bring addrB to bottom)
JMP, ( to addrB of BEGIN, )
ENDIF, ( complete false forward branch from IF, )

It is essential to write the assembly time stack on paper, and run through the assembly steps, to be sure that the check digits are dropped and re-inserted at the correct points and addresses are correctly available.

## ASSEMBLER GLOSSARY

\# Specify 'immediate' addressing mode for the next op-code generated.
) $Y$ Specify 'indirect indexed $Y^{\prime}$ addressing mode for the next opcode generated.
, $X \quad$ Specify 'indexed $\quad X$ ' addressing mode for the next op-code generated.
, $Y$ Specify 'indexed $Y$ ' addressing mode for the next op-code generated.
.A Specify accumulator addressing mode for the next op-code generated.
$0<\quad$--- cc (assembling) Specify that the immediately following conditional will branch based on the processor status bit being negative ( $Z=1$ ), i.e., less than zero. The flag cc is left at assembly time; there is no run-time effect on the stack.
$0=\quad$-.. cc (assembling) Specify that the immediately following conditional will branch based on the processor status bit being equal to zero ( $Z=1$ ). The flag cc is left at assembly time; there is no run-time effect on the stack.
;CODE Used to conclude a colon-definition in the form:
: <name>... ;CODE <assembly code> END-CODE Stop compilation and terminate a new defining word <name>. Set the CONTEXT vocabulary to ASSEMBLER, assembling to machine code the following nmenonics. An existing defining word must exist in name prior to ;CODE.

When <name> later executes in the form:
<name> <namex>
the definition <namex> will be created with its execution procedure given by the machine code following <name>. That is, when <namex> is executed, the address interpreter jumps to the code following ;CODE in <name>.

| ASSEMBLER in FORTH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Make ASSEMBLER the CON- |  |
|  | TEXT vocabulary. It will be |  |
|  | searched first when the input |  |
|  | stream in interpreted. |  |
| BEGIN, | --- addr 1 (assembling) | ELSE, |
|  | -- (run-time) |  |
|  | Occurs in a CODE definition in the form: |  |
|  | BEGIN, . . . cc UNTIL, |  |
|  | At run-time, BEGIN, marks the |  |
|  | start of an assembly sequence re- |  |
|  | peatedly executed. It serves as |  |
|  | the return point for the corres- |  |
|  | ponding UNTIL,. When reaching |  |
|  | UNTIL, a branch to BEGIN, will |  |
|  | occur if the processor status bit |  |
|  | given by cc is false; otherwise |  |

Make ASSEMBLER the CONvocabulary. it will be searched first when the inpu -

BEGIN, --- addr $1 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { (assembling) } \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ Occurs in a CODE definition in BEGIN, At run-time, BEGIN, marks the peatedly executed it serves a the return point for the corresUNTIL a branch to BEGIN, will occur if the processor status bit given by cc is false; otherwise
execution continues ahead.
At assembly time, BEGIN, leaves the dictionary pointer address addr and the value 1 for later testing of conditionary pairing by UNTIL,.

BOT --- n (assembling)
Used during code assembly in the form:

BOT LDA, or BOT $1+X$ ) STA,
Addresses the bottom of the data stack (containing the low byte) by selecting the,$\times$ mode and leaving $\mathrm{n}=0$, at assembly time. This value of $n$ may be modified to another byte offset into the data stack. Must be followed by a multi-mode op-code mnemonic.

CODE A defining word used in the form:
CODE <name>. . . . END-CODE
to create a dictionary entry for <name> in the CURRENT vocabulary. Name's code field contains the address of its parameter field. When <name> is later executed, the machine code in this parameter field will execute. The CONTEXT vocabulary is made ASEMBLER, to make available the op-code mnemonics.

CPU $\quad \mathrm{n}$--- (compiling assembler) An assembler defining word used to crate assembler mnemonics that have only one addressing mode:

## EA CPU NOP,

CPU creates the work NOP, with its op-code EA as a parameter. When NOP, later executes, it assembles EA as a one byte opcode.

## --- cc (assembling)

Specify that the immediately following conditional will branch based on the processor carry is set ( $C=1$ ). The flag $c c$ is left at assembly time; there is no run-time effect on the stack.
$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { addrl } & 2 & -- \text { (run-time) } \\ & & \begin{array}{c}\text { addr2 2 } \\ \text { (assembling) }\end{array}\end{array}$
Occurs within a code definition in the form:
cc IF, <true part> ELSE, <false part> THEN,
At run-time, if the condition code specified by cc is false, execution will skip to the machine code following ELSE,. At assembly time ELSE, assembles a forward jump to just after THEN, and re-
solves a pending forward branch from IF. The values 2 are used for error checking of conditional pairing.

## END-CODE

An error check word marking the end of a CODE definition. Successful execution to and including END-CODE will unsmudge the most recent CURRENT vocabulary definition, making it available for execution. END-CODE also exits the ASSEMBLER making CONTEXT the same as CURRENT. This word previously was named C;

IF, cc -- addr 2 (assembly time)
time)
Occurs within a code definition in the form:
cc IF, <true part> ELSE, false part THEN,
At run time, IF, branches based on the condition code cc, ( $0<$ or $0=$ or CS). If the specified processor status is true, execution continues ahead, otherwise branching occurs to just after ELSE, (or THEN, when ELSE, is not present). At ELSE, execution resumes at the corresponding THEN,.

When assembling, IF, creates an unresolved forward branch based on the condition code cc , and leaves addr and 2 for resolution of the branch by the corresponding ELSE, or THEN,. Conditionals may be nested.

INDEX --- addr (assembling)
An array used within the assembler, which holds bit patterns of allowable addressing modes.

IP --- addr (assembling)
Used in a code definition in the form:
IP STA, or IP )Y LDA,

A constant which leaves at assembly time the address of the pointer to the next FORTH execution address in a colon-definition to be interpreted.

At run-time, NEXT moves IP ahead within a colon-definition. Therefore, IP points just after the execution address being interpreted. If an in-line data structure has been compiled (i.e., a character string', indexing ahead by IP can access this data:

IP STA, or IP )Y LDA,
loads the third byte ahead in the colon-definition being interpreted.

M/CPU $\mathrm{nl} \mathrm{n2} \mathrm{---} \mathrm{(compiling} \mathrm{assembler)}$ An assembler defining word used to create assembler mnemonics that have multiple address modes:

1C6E $60 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{CU}$ ADC,
M/CPU creates the word ADC, with two parameters. When ADC, later executes, it uses these parameters, along with stack values and the contents of MODE to calculate and assemble the correct op-code and operand.

MEM Used within the assembler to set MODE to the default value for direct memory addressing, z-page.

MODE
--- addr
A variable used within the assembler, which holds a flag indicating the addressing mode of the op-code being generated.
--- addr (assembling) Used in a code definition in the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { N1 - STA, or N2+ )Y } \\
& \text { ADC, }
\end{aligned}
$$

A constant which leaves the address of a 9 byte workspace in z page. Within a single code definition, free use may be made over the range $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}$ thru $\mathrm{N}+7$. See SETUP.

NEXT
--- addr (assembling)
A constant which leaves the machine address of the Forth address interpreter. All code definitions must return execution to NEXT, or code that returns to NEXT (i.e., PUSH, PUT, POP, POPTWO).
ccl --- ccl (assembly-time) When assembling, reverse the condition code for the following conditional. For example:
$0=$ NOT IF, <true part> THEN,
will branch based on 'not equal to zero'.

POP --- addr (assembling)

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
--- & \text { addr } \quad \text { (assembling) } \\
\text { n (run-time) }
\end{array}
$$

A constant which leaves (during assembly) the machine address of the return point which, at runtime, will pop a 16 -bit value from the data stack and continue interpretation.

POPTWO

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& --- \text { addr } \\
\mathrm{n} 1 \mathrm{n} 2 & \text { (assembling) } \\
\text { (run-time) }
\end{array}
$$

A constant which leaves (during assembly) the machine address of the return point which, at run-time, will pop two 16 -bit values from the data stack and continue interpretation.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
-\cdots \text { addr } & \text { (assembling) } \\
\cdots & \text { (run-time) }
\end{array}
$$

A constant which leaves (during assembly) the machine address of the return point which, at run-time, will add the accumulator (as high-byte) and the bottom machine stack byte (as low-byte) to the data stack.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} 
& --- & \text { addr (assemblin } \\
\mathrm{n} 1 & ---\mathrm{n} 2 & \text { (run-time) }
\end{array}
$$

A constant which leaves (during assembly) the machine address of the return point which, at run-time, will write the accumulator (as high-byte) and the bottom machine stack byte (as low-byte) over the existing data stack 16 -bit value ( $n l$ ).
--- (assembly-time)
Used in a code definition in the form:

$$
\text { RP) LDA, or RP) } 3+\text { STA, }
$$

Address the bottom byte of the return stack (containing the low byte) by selecting the,$\times$ mode and leaving $n=\$ 101$. $n$ may be modified to another byte offset. Before operating on the return stack the $X$ register must be saved in XSAVE and TSX, be executed; before returning to $N E X T$, the $X$ register must be restored.

## --- n (assembling)

Identical to BOT, except that $\mathrm{n}=2$. Addresses the low byte of the second 16 -bit data stack value (third byte on the data stack).

THEN,

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \\
\text { addr } 2 & --- \text { (run-time) } \\
\text {--- (assembly-time) }
\end{array}
$$

Occurs in a code definition in the form:

> cc IF, <true part> ELSE, <false part> THEN,

At run-time THEN, marks the conclusion of a conditional structure. Execution of either the true part or false part resumes following THEN,. When assembling addr and 2 are used to resolve the pending forward branch to THEN,.

UNTIL, --- (run-time) addr 1 cc --- (assembling) Occurs in a CODE definition in the form:
BEGIN, . . . cc UNTIL,

At run-time, UNTIL, controls the conditional branching back to BEGIN,. If the processor status bit specified by cc is false, execution returns to BEGIN,; otherwise execution continues ahead.

At assembly time, UNTIL, assembles a conditional relative branch to addr based on the condition code cc. The number 1 is used for error checking.

## --- addr (assembling)

Used in a code definition in the form:

> UP LDA, or UP )Y STA,

A constant leaving at assembly time the address of the pointer to the base of the user area. i.e.,

HEX 12 \# LDY, UP)Y LDA,
load the low byte of the sixth user variable, DP.
--- addr (assembling)
Used in a code definition in the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { W } 1+\text { STA, or W } 1 \text { - JMP, or } \\
& \text { W )Y ADC, }
\end{aligned}
$$

A constant which leaves at assembly time the address of the pointer to the code field (execution address) of the Forth dictionary word being executed. Indexing relative to $W$ can yield any byte in the definition's parameter field. i.e.,

## 2 \# LDY, W )Y LDA,

fetches the first byte of the parameter field.

Specify 'indexed indirect $X^{\prime}$ addressing mode for the next opcode generated.

XSAVE --- addr (assembling) Used in a code definition in the form:

XSAVE STX, or XSAVE LDX,
A constant which leaves the address at assembly time of a temporary buffer for saving the $X$ register. Since the $X$ register indexes to the data stack in z-page, it must be saved and restored when used for other purposes.


```
SCR # }8
    O (M/CPU, MULTI-MODE OP-CODES
    : M/CPU <BUILDS C, , DOES>
            DUP 1+ @ 80 AND IF 10 MODE +! THEN OVER
            FFOO AND UPMODE UPMODE IF MEM CR LATEST ID.
            3 ERROR THEN C@ MODE C@
            INDEX + C@ + C, MODE C@ 7 AND IF MODE C@
            OF AND 7 < IF C, ELSE , THEN THEN MEM ;
                1C6E 60 M/CPU ADC, 1C6E 20 M/CPU AND, 1C6E CO M/CPU CMP,
                1C6E 40 M/CPU EOR, IC6E AO M/CPU LDA, 1CGE 00 M/CPU ORA,
                1C6E E0 M/CPU SBC, 1C6C 80 M/CPU STA, ODOD 01 M/CPU ASL,
                OCOC C1 M/CPU DEC, OCOC E1 M/CPU INC, ODOD 41 M/CPU LSR,
                ODOD 21 M/CPU ROL, ODOD 61 M/CPU ROR, 0414 81 M/CPU STX,
                0486 EO M/CPU CPX, 0486 C0 M/CPU CPY, 1496 A2 M/CPU LDX,
                0C8E AO M/CPU LDY, 048C 80 M/CPU STY, 0480 14 M/CPU JSR,
                8480 40 M/CPU JMP, 0484 20 M/CPU BIT,
    85
    ( ASSEMBLER CONDITIONALS WFR-79MAR26 )
    : BEGIN, HERE 1 ; IMMEDIATE
    : UNTIL, ?EXEC >R 1 ?PAIRS R> C, HERE 1+ - C, ; IMMEDIATE
    : IF, C, HERE 0 C, 2 ; IMMEDIATE
    : THEN, ?EXEC 2 ?PAIRS HERE OVER C@
            IF SWAP ! ELSE OVER 1+ - SWAP C! THEN ; IMMEDIATE
    : ELSE, 2 ?PAIRS HERE 1+ 1 JMP, C SWAP HERE OVER 1+ - SWAP C! 2 ; IMMEDIATE
    : ELSE, 2 ?PAIRS HERE 1+ 1 JMP, C SWAP HERE OVER 1+ - SWAP C! 2 ; IMMEDIATE
    - NOT 20 + ( REVERSE ASSEMBLY TEST )
    90 CONSTANT CS' (ASSEMBLE TEST FOR CARRY SET )
    DO CONSTANT 0= ( ASSEMBLER TEST FOR EQUAL ZERO )
    10 CONSTANT 0< (ASSEMBLE TEST FOR LESS THAN ZERO)
    90 CONSTANT > ( ASSEMBLE TEST FOR GREATER OR EQUAL ZERO )
                            ( >= IS ONLY CORRECT AFTER SUB, OR CMP, )
    86
    USE OF ASSEMBLER WFR-79APR28 )
    : END-CODE (END OF CODE DEFINITION *)
            CURRENT @ CONTEXT ! ?EXEC ?CSP SMUDGE ; IMMEDIATE
    FORTH DEFINITIONS DECIMAL
    : CODE
            ?EXEC CREATE [COMPILE] ASSEMBLER
            ASSEMBLER MEM !CSP ; IMMEDIATE
    ( LOCK ASSEMBLER INTO SYSTEM)
        ASSEMBLER CFA ; CODE 8 + ! (OVER-WRITE SMUDGE )
    LATEST 12 +ORIGIN ! ( TOP NFA )
    HERE 28 +ORIGIN ! (FENCE )
    HERE 30 +ORIGIN ! ( DP )
    , ASSEMBLER 6 + 32 +ORIGIN ! (VOC-LINK )
HERE FENCE !
```


## APPLICATIONS

## A TECHNICAL TUTORIAL: TABLE LOOKUP EXAMPLES

Henry Laxen<br>Laxen and Harris, Inc.

One of the problems with FORTH, as with every rich language, is that given an idea, there are many ways of expressing it. Some are more eloquent than others, but it takes practice and experience to create the poetry and avoid the mundane.

This article is written to illustrate 4 different ways of implementing a simple Table Lookup operation. The goal is the following: we want to create a FORTH word, named DAYS/MONTH which behaves as follows: Given an index on the stack which is the month number, such as 1 for January and 12 for December, we want to return the number of days in that month, in a normal year. Thus if we execute 6 DAYS/MONTH it should return 30, which is the number of days in the month June. I will use the Starting FORTH dialect in this paper, not fig-FORTH, so if you try to type in the examples, they probably won't work unless you are running a system that behaves as described in Starting FORTH (or the 79-Standard).

Our first attempt at solving this problem uses the FORTH word VARIABLE. The code is as follows:

VARIABLE 'DAYS/MONTH 22 ALLOT

| 31 'DAYS/MONTH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 'DAYS/MONTH | 2 | + |  |
| 31 'DAYS/MONTH | 4 | + |  |
| 30 'DAYS/MONTH | 6 | + |  |
| 31 'DAYS/MONTH | 8 | + |  |
| 30 'DAYS/MONTH | 10 | + |  |
| 31 'DAYS/MONTH | 12 | + |  |
| 31 'DAYS/MONTH | 14 | + |  |
| 30 'DAYS/MONTH | 16 | + |  |
| 31 'DAYS/MONTH | 18 | + |  |
| 30 'DAYS/MONTH | 20 | + |  |
| 31 'DAYS/MONTH | 22 | + |  |
| : DAYS/MONTH |  |  |  |
| 1-2* 'DAYS/M | ONT |  |  |

There is nothing significant about the : (apostrophe), I only prefaced the VARIABLE name with it because I want to use the word DAYS/MONTH later. Now, what happened is that VARIABLE allocated 2 bytes in the dictionary for the value of DAYS/MONTH. The 22 ALLOT then allocated another 22 bytes, for a total of 24 bytes, or $2 * 12$ celis. We next proceeded to initialize the values that were allocated by explicitly calculating the offsets and storing in the appropriate location. Finally, we defined DAYS/MONTH as a colon definition which performs arithmetic on the index, adds it to the start of the table, and fetches the result.
this that requires less typing and is also more general. We will first define a word called TABLE which will aid us in the creation of tables like the one above. What we will do is first place the initial values of the TABLE on the stack, together with the number of the initial values. Then, we will define TABLE to copy these into the dictionary. Here is how it works:

```
:TABLE (Nn Nn-1 ... Nl n ---)
    O DO, LOOP ;
CREATE 'DAYS/MONTH
    3130313031313031303128
        31 12 TABLE
    : DAYS/MONTH (INDEX .-.- VALUE)
        l- 2* 'DAYS/MONTH + @ ;
```

Now this is considerably less typing than the first way of doing it, but notice that I had to reverse the order of the days per month since that is the way stacks behave. I used CREATE instead of VARIABLE because it does not allocate any space for the initial value, but otherwise behaves just like VARIABLE. The access word DAYS/MONTH is identical to before.

I am still not satisfied, however, so let's try it yet another way. Instead of defining TABLE to add values to the dictionary with, (comma) why not just use , directly?

```
CREATE 'DAYS/MONTH
    \(31,28,31,30,31,30\)
        \(31,31,30,31,30,31\),
    : DAYS/MONTH (INDEX --- VALUE )
    1- 2* 'DAYS/MONTH + @ ;
```

Now we are getting somewhere.! If we simply use the FORTH word, (comma) to add the value to the dictionary, see how simple and readable it becomes. The values are just typed in and separated by commas!" Is it possible to improve even on this? Funny you should ask. There is a quality that can be abstracted from the definition of DAYS/MONTH, namely that of table lookup. Wouldn't it be nice if we didn't need to create that extra name 'DAYS/MONTH simply so we could access it later in our : definition. Well, that is where our friend CREATE DOES> comes in.

Instead of defining a particular instance of a TABLE, we will create a new Defining Word called TABLE, which acts as follows. It creates a new entry in the dictionary which when executed, uses the value that was placed on the stack as an index into itself and returns the contents of that location. It would be coded as follows:
: TABLE
CREATE ( -... )
DOES (INDEX --- VAL VE )
SWAP 1- 2* + @ ;
TABLE DAYS/MONTH

$$
31,28,31,30,31,30
$$

$$
31,31,30,31,30,31
$$

Now we have truly generalized the problem and solve it in an elegant way. We have defined a new data type, called TABLE, which is capable of defining new words. Part of the definition of TABLE was specifying the run-time behavior of the word being defined. This is the code following the DOES>. We then use the, (comma) technique discovered above to initialize the table. Note that DAYS/MONTH is now just a special case of TABLE, and is in fact defined by the new defining word TABLE.

The above examples illustrate the immense diversity available in FORTH. There is no obvious right or wrong, and the simplest and usually most general solution to a given problem must be discovered, usually by trial and error. FORTH's biggest virtue, in my opinion, is that it makes the trial and error process extremely efficient, and therefore, allows people to experiment and discover the best solution for themselves.

## HELP WANTED

Programmers needeed to produce new polyFORTH systems and applications. Two to three years extensive FORTH experience working with mini/micro computers and peripherals.

## Contact: Patricia Jones

FORTH, INC.
2309 Pacific Coast Highway
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254
(21.3) 372-8493

## fig-FORTH NOVA GROUP

Mr. Francis Saint, 2218 Lulu, Wichita, KS 67211, (316) 261-6280 (days) has formed a FIG Group to trade information and assistance between fig-FORTH NOVA users.

Pub. Comment: Hope to see a new, clean listing. How about some other specific groups!

THE GAME OF REVERSE M. Burton

REVERSE is a number game written in FORTH, primarily as an exercise in array manipulation. The object of REVERSE is to arrange a list of numbers ( 1 through 9) in ascending numerical order from left to right. Moves are made by reversing a subset of the list (from the left). For example, if the current list is

$$
234516789
$$

and four numbers are reversed, the list will be

$$
543216789
$$

then if five numbers are reversed, the game is won.

$$
123456789
$$

To leave a game that is in progress, simply reverse zero numbers.

## REVERSE Glossary

SEED
--
The number seed for the pseudorandom number generator. SEED is initialized as the REVERSE words are compiled, by hitting any key on the console.

MOVES
Keeps track of the number of moves made in a REVERSE game. If more than fifteen moves are made to win, you haven't got the hang of the game.

RND range -- random.number The pseudorandom number generator, courtesy of FORTH DIMENSIONS. RND generates random.number in the range 0 through range-1. RND is used to scramble the number list.

DIM $n$--
A defining word used in the form

$$
\mathrm{n} \text { DIM } x x \times x
$$

Produces an $n+1$ length word array named $x \times x x$, with elements 0 through n . For the REVERSE application, element 0 is not used.

Y/N -- flag
Solicits an input string from the console, then checks the first character of the string for an uppercase or lower-

228
( The Game of Reverse $[S E E D, ~ M O V E S, R N D, ~ D I M, ~ Y / N 1101281-M P B$ )
0 VARIABLE SEED (Seed for random number generator)
0 VARIABLE MOVES ( Number of reverses so far )
CR ." Please depress any key:" (Fertilize the seed ) KEY SEED I

A RND ( Random number generator range -- rnd* ) SEED @ 259 * $3+32767$ AND DUP SEED 132767 */

DIM ( Reserve an integer word array $n--$ ) <BUILDS $1+2$ * ALLOT

DOES> ;


229
(The Game of Reverse [Game instructions] 101281-MPB )
: INSTRUCT CR CR 18 SPACES ." The Game of REVERSE" $C R \quad C R$." Hould you like ingtructions? " $Y / N$
IF ." The object of the game is to arrange a random list"
$C R$. " of nine numbers into ascending numerical order in"
$C R$." as few moves as possible by reversing a subset of"
$C R$." the list. For example, given the random ligt," CR


$C R$." To quit the game, simply reverse $0 . " \mathrm{CR} C R$
THEN ;
-->

SCR * 230
( The Game of Reverse [ARRAY operations] 101281-MPB
9 DIM ARRAY ( Reserve a ten word array)
( Fetch an array word index -- array.value)
: A! 2 . ARRAY ( Store an array word array.value\index -- )
AINIT ( Initialize ARRAY -- )
101 DO I DUP A! LOOP ;
A. ( Print ARRAY -- )

CR ." The list is now..."
SPACES 101 DO I A@ 3 .R LOOP ; -->
fig-FORTH Version 1.15 M. Burton


[^1]
## THE 31 GAME

Written by Tony Lewis 11／81
The＂3l Game＂is an attempt to use FORTH fundamentals to produce an enter－ taining result．The object is to entice you into anlyzing both the game itslef and the methods used to produce it．The game buffs might wish to know that I have been an avid＂player＂（not gambler！）for over 30 years and have made extensive practica！ studies of various games．Any phone communication is welcome．I am two years behind in my written corres－ pondence；so sending me letters which require replies will prove futile．The pro－ gram is my first effort in FORTH．How－ ever，I have had extensive experience with six different main frame assemblers plus a little COBOL of the late 60＇s vintage． Any constructive suggestions on general style and technique are welcome，but I am not really interested in being told that I could have shaved 100 microseconds from my run time or saved fifteen bytes of memory．In fact，there are indeed extran－ eous＂Cr＇s＂which were included to get good hard copy，also．

This program was written in micro－ motion（c）FORTH－79 Version 1.2 to be run on a 48 K ＊Apple II．

Therefore，the following words are non－standard but included in the micro－ motion FORTH．

Home－position the cursor to the upper left corner of the CRT and clear the CRT to blanks．

CV and CH are used to position the input cursor anywhwere on the text win－ dow per Ex． 4 CV 10 CH moves the cursor to the 4th（pun）row 10th column of screen．

SETINV，SETFLASH，and SETNORM set flags in the Apple output subroutines which respectively cause all subsequent characters to be displayed on the text screen inverse，flashing and normal mode without affecting charcters already dis－ played．

In closing，I wish to thank Bill Ragsdale for his gracious support and I especially acknowledge the incredibly patient treat－ ment I received from Phil Wasson of Micromotion as he neatly led me through my FORTH initiation．

Tony Lewis
100 Mariner Green Dr．
Corte Madera，CA 94925
（415）924－1481
（415）924－4216（late hours）

[^2]
## SCR\＃5

：HOWTOS 1 HOME（ 31 GAME－TONY LEWIS）．＂ 31 GAME BY TONY LEWIS ＇31＇IS PLAYED WITH A DECK OF 24 CARDS CONTAININING GNLY THE ACES THRU SIXES． EACH OF TWO FLAYERS ALTERNATELY DRAWS CARDS FFOM THE DECK，ONE CARD AT A TIME， A RUNNING TOTAL IS KEPT OF THE COMBINED＂
SUM OF THE CARDS DRAWN．THE PLAYER WHO ARRIVES AT THE SUM OF 31 EXACTLY WINS． IF NEITHER PLAYER CAN MAKE 31 EXACTLY， THEN THE PLAYER WHO MUST GO OVER 31 LOSES！THE GAME MAY APFEAR TOO EASY．BUT IT IS DECEPTIVE．WHEN LOR IF？3 YOU HAVE

WON THREE GAMES，TRY TO BEAT THE PROGRAM FOR＇THE BIG BET＇BY TYPING IN＇B＇ RATHER THAN＇$Y$＇OR＇$N$＇WHEN＇NEW GAME？＇ COMES UF．THE BIG BET IS A TWO GAME SERIES．YOU GO FIRST IN GAME 1 AND
SERIES．YOU GU FIRST IN GAME I AND
SECOND IN GAME 2．YOU MAY BE SURFRISED： SECUND IN GAME 2．YOU MAY BE SURFRIS CR CR CR＂HIT ANY KEY TO BEGIN＂
KEY DROF HOME KEY DROF HOME 6 CV ：

## SCR\＃S2

（ WORDS OF WISDOM 31 BY TONY LEWIS） （ THE＇ANSWER＇PAGE IS NEXT．IT DUESN＇T REQUIRE ANY SKILL TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THE CONSTANTS REALL．Y ARE：THEY ARE ENCODED SO THAT YOU CAN ENTER AND COMPILE THE GAME WITHOUT DISCOVERING ITS PRINCIPLE． REMEMBER，THE FURPOSE OF THIS PROJECT WAS TGI GET YOL TO FIRST EXAMINE THE GAME BY PLAYING IT，THEN FIGURE OUT HOW TD APPROACH THE FROBLEM OF FROGRAMMING IT， AND FINALLY GO BACK AND COMPARE YOUR AND FINALLY GO BACK AND COMPARE YOUR METHODS TO MINE．THE GAME IS AMUSING
AND IS A LITTLE KNOWN CINCH BAR BET．IF AND IS A LITTLE KNOWN CINCH BAR BET．IF
YOU TAKE THE TIME TO ENTER IT ONTO YOUR YOU TAKE THE TIME TO ENTER IT ONTO YOU
FORTH DISC，YOU SHOULD HAVE FUN BOTH FORTH DISC，YOU SHOULD HAVE FUN BOTH
ANALYZING IT AND THEN ENTERTAINING COR ANALYZING IT AND THEN ENTERTAINING COR HUSTLING3 FRIENDS AND FAMILY WITH IT． OF COURSE WHEN PLAYING AT A BAR YOU MUST USE A REAL DECK OF CARDS AS IT WOULD PROBABLY TEND TO DISCOURAGE WAGERING IF YOU SHOULD BRING YOUR＇MICRO＇WITH YOU．） －－＞

## SCR\＃53

（ ENCODED CONSTANTS 31 BY TONY LEWIS
（ NOTE：THESE CONSTANTS ARE USED ONL．Y
TO CONCEAL THE SOLUTION OF THE GAME． NOT TO MAKE THE CODING HARD TO FOL＿LOW！）
－CONSTANT K1
o CONSTANT K2
O CONSTANT K3
o CONSTANT K4
HEX
：CODECONS

| CD | EF | ＊ | AB | BC | ＊ | － | 4108 | － |  | K1 | ！ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DC | FE | ＊ | BA | CB | ＊ | － | $4 \mathrm{SC7}$ | $\square$ |  | K2 | ！ |
| CE | ED | ＊ | AC | ED | ＊ | － | 3FB6 | － |  | k3 |  |
| EC | DE | ＊ | CA | DE | ＊ |  | 1FD8 | － |  | K 4 |  |

DECIMAL

SCR\＃54
（ SETUP AND UTILITY WORDS 31－TONY LEWIS） CREATE DECK
C）， $4,4,4,4,4,4,0$ ， VARIARLE CARDSUM VARIABLE GAMESWON
：NEWGAME（ FIFST．NEW DECK ） 7 1 DO I 2＊DECK＋ 4 SWAF ：LOOP 0 CAFDSIN ：HOME 4 CV ：
：SHOWDECK SUM CR CR
．＂THE DECK NOW CONTAINS＂
7 1 DG I（ NOT J！！，2＊
$\mathrm{DECK}+\mathrm{CQ}$（ DUCK O DO ）DUP
IF $1+1$ CR DO 3 －LOUP
ELSE DROP THEN
LOOP 12 CH
．＂the runtang total is＂Cardsum a ．：
：GADPLAY
（ Flag bad play） 0 CR CF
．＂EAD TYFE－IN＂SHOWDECKSLM ：

SCR\＃55
（ UTSLITY WORDS CONT． 31 EY TONY LEWIS）
：LHPDATEDECKSLIM（ 1 TO 6 NDW ON STACK） DUP 2＊DUP DECK＋CQ DUP（ANY LEFT？） IF 1－（ UPDATE DECK）SWAP DECK＋C！ CARDSLM CQ＋CARDSUM E！（ NEW SUM） 2 （ CARD－IN－DECK FLAG） ELSE DROF DROP DROP G THEN ：

SQRも5 6
THAINL．TNE WURDS
31 BY TONY LEWIS）
PLAYERMIGVE OR CR
．＂TVPE IN CARD 1 － 6 ＂KEY CR
49 －（FROM ASCII）DLP DUP DUP
（ CHECK VALID ENTRY）
7 〕
IF O＞
（ CARD $1-6$ ？ FF UPDATEDECKSLMM SWAF
HOME．＂YOUR CARD WAS A＂．DUF
HOME．；IS CARD IN THE DECK？）
$0=$（ IS CARD IN THE DECK？） IF DROF（ FLAG BAD PLAY）O
CR CR．＂CARD NOT IN DECK＂ CR CR－
SHOWDECK SUM SHOW
THEN
ELSE DROP DROP BADPLAY THEN
EL．SE DROP DROF DROP BADPLAY THEN ：

SLR\＃S7
（MAINLITVE WORDS CONT．3；EY TONY LEWIS） MVEARD CR CR
IF（ CHECK IST PIAAY SWITCH） KS RANDOR： $1+$ DUP LPDATEDECKSUM DROF ELSE KI DUF CARDSUM C．$~+~$
K2－K1 MED－DUP UFDATEDFCKSUM
O＝（ I FLAG OIN VALID CHOICE） IF DROP 1 DUF＇UPDATEDECKSUM IF DROP I DUT IJPDATEDECKSUM

ELSE DROF 2 UFDATEDECKSUM THEN（ FLAG＝CARD．SU NO DROF＇） THEN


SERESS\＆
MAINL．ANE WORDS CONT．Si BY TuNr LEWiS：
rGURECARE
EEGIN FiANERMOVE
－（i．LEGAt．Plar l－EAVES＂ON STALK） WHTIL SHOWDECK SUM 0（ PLAYER MADE LAST PLAY）：

YOUWIN CK CR SETINV
．＂OA RATS：YOU WIN．＂SETINORE
GAMESWOH CA $1+$ GAMESWON C：：

YDULOSE CR CR SETITNU
．＂YOU LIJSE．RETTER LIUCK NEXT THE．＂
SETVORF：

SCF\＃59
MAIRIL INE WORDS I ONT B E EV TONY I EWISI
－Northal S1 HOME z EV
NORTALE 31 HUME $\overline{C V}$ LV
DO YOU WANI FIRST PLAY TYFE Y UR N．＂
CR VEY $78=$（ Ni）
CR YEY $78=$（N）
IF I \＆ $1 S T$ MOVE MYCARD ELSE YOURCARD
THER O SWAF \＆SET UF LOOF，
BEGIM
IF TRUE FLAS SET ONN MVCAFD）
3 CAfonsum A

t．SE T1 CARUSUM CD
If：VO：VLISE 1才 ELSE VRULACARD is
THEN
THEN

31 CARDSLIN Co
If roth OSE $1+$
ELSE B1 CARDSUH R $2=$
if VOLWIN it ELSE O MrCARD is
THEN（WOT BST HOUE，
T1世EN
T：HFH
Urtili 0 （LuOF RACK IN MAINSA）：

```
SCR*SO
( MAITNLINE WORDS CONT. S1 BY TONY LEWIS
MYEIGBETI CR CF
    K1 DUP CAKDSUM Ca + K2 - K1 HOD -
        DUP UFDATEDECKSLIM O=
    IF DROF KS DUF UPDATEDECKSUM DROF
    THE!H ." MY PLAY IS " . SHOWDECKSUM 3
: MYBIGBET2 CF CR
    IF DUP UPDATEDECKSUM DROF
    ELSE K1 CARDSUM CQ K2-N1 MOD - DUF
        ##DATEDECKSUM O=
        IF DROP K4 DUP UPDATEDECKSUM DROF
        IF DKOF KA DUP UFDATEIELKSUM DROF
        THEN
    THEN ." MY PLAY IS ". SHOWDECKSUM 3 :
MHEN
SCR#61
( MAINLTNE WORDS CONT. SI BY TONY LEWIS)
: EIGBETI
    VOURCARD
    EFGIN
    1F ( TRUE FROM MYEIBEEII)
        3 CARDSUM Ca
        IF YOUWIN I (SET LOOF EXIT)
        FE.SE 31 CARDSUM CQ =
            CLSE 31 LARDSSM
            IF YOULOSE 1
            THEN
            THEN
        E:SEE (RETUFWH FROM YOURCARD)
        3: CARDSUM LबN
        IF %OULOSE I
        ELSE 31 SAFDSUM CQ =
            IF YOUWIN 1
            ELSE MVEIGEET1 O
            TH%N
        IHE=N
    IFDEN
UNTILL :
SURHS2
& HAINLIME WORDS LONT. SI BY TONY LEWIS)
: EIGEETZ
        3 MYBIGHET2
        GEGIN
        If 3l CARDSUM CO =
        IF YOULUSE I
        ELSE YOURCARD O
        THEN
        ELSE 31 CAFDSUM CA <
            IF YOLlGOSE 1
            ELSE O (NOT 15Y) MYBIGBET2O
            THEN
        THEN
    UNT IL :
SCK#53
(MAIHLINE WORDS CONT. Z1 BY TONY LEWIS)
: EIGBET 5 HOME
WEICOITE TO "RIG EET", THE FINAL PHASE DF
    THE 31 GAME. TWO GAMES WILL BE PLAAVED.'
CR -" VOU WILL. GO FIRST IN GAME ONE AND
1 WILL GO FIRST IN GAME TWO. GOOD LUCK."
    BIGBET1
    CF: CR 
    ." HIr ANYY HEY AND I WILL BEGIN GAME 2."
    CHI ANY KEY RNDD I
CR KEY DROF NEWGAME 
SEIFLASH -" EI
    B1GBET2
CR
CR
    IF SO, THEN SEE IF YOU CAN FIGURE OUT"
CR
WHO WINS WITH A IST EARD OF ONE OR TWO.
IT'S A TOUGH COMBINATORIAL. PRORLEM!:'
1 (SET FINAL EXIT IN MAINS1) : --)\(\dot{8} \dot{S}^{\prime \prime}\)
```

SCR\#6. 4
( PLAY THE GAME OF 31 BY TONY LEWIS)

- MAINSI (LOGIC SHELL) O GAMESWON BEGIN CR CR *" NEW GAME? "
." TYPE Y OR N OR E(BIG BET)."
CR CR KEY DUF 78 - ( CHECK FOR N)
(FALSE LEAVES 78 ON STACK FOR 'UNTIL') IF NEWGAME $\sigma S=(B)$
IF GAMESWON CQ 2 >
IF EIGEET
ELSE HOME O ." YOU HAVE WON "
GAMESWON CQ DUF . ." GANE" $1=$
IF -" :"
ELSE - "
." YOU MUST WIN 3 gAMES TO "
- "Play 'big bet"."

THEN
ELSE NORMAL 31
THEN
THEN
UNTIL :
: 31GAME HOWTOS1 CODECONS MAIN31 :
OK
CODECONSA MAINS1
NEW GAME? TYPE Y OR $N$ OR B (BIG EEET).
DO YOU WANT FIRST FLAY? TYPE Y OR N.

MY PLAY IS 2
THE DECK. NOW CONTAINS
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
22
$\begin{array}{llll}3 & 5 & 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 5 & 5 & 5 & 5\end{array}$
is 66 THE RUNINING TOTAL IS 2
TVPE IN CARD 1 - 6
YOUR CARD WAS A 3
THE DECK NOW CONTAINS
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
222
3
4
4
4
$\begin{array}{llll}4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 5 & 5 & 5 & 5\end{array}$
s 6 S THE RUNNING TOTAL IS 5
MY PLAY IS 5
THE DECK NOW CONTATHS
\(\left.\begin{array}{lllll}THE DECK NUW CUNTAINS <br>

1 \& 1 \& 1 \& 1\end{array}\right]\)| 2 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 |  |
| 3 | 3 |
| 3 |  |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 |  |
| 6 | 6 |
| 5 | 6 |$\quad$ THE RUNNING TOTAL IS IO

TYPE IN CARD 1 - -
YOUR CARD WAS A O


## TYPE IN CARD 1 - ${ }^{-}$ YOUR CARD WAS A ${ }^{\circ}$

THE DECK NOW CONTAINS
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { THE } & \text { DECK } \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 5 & 5 & 5\end{array}$
666
THE RUNNING TOTAL IS 20

My PLAY IS 4
THE DECK NOW CONTAINS
$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { TME } & \text { DE } \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & \end{array}$
33
$\begin{array}{lll}4 & 4 & 4 \\ 5 & 5 & 5\end{array}$
5 os THE R:UNNING TOTAL is 24
TVFE IN CARD $1-6$
YOUR CARD WAS A 5
THE DECK NOW CONTAINS
$\begin{array}{lc}\text { THE DE } \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}$
222
$\begin{array}{lll}3 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 & 4\end{array}$
55
6 65 THE RUNTAING TOTAL IS 29
MY FLAY IS 2
THE DECK NOW CONTAITSS
$\begin{array}{lll}1 & \text { i } & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 & 4\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}4 & 4 & 4 \\ 5 & 5 & \end{array}$
is s THE RUNVING TOTAL IS 32
YOU LOSE. EETTER LUCK NEXT TIME.
NEW GAHE TYPE Y OR N OR ESAHIG EET,
YOU HAVE WOHI o GAMES.
YOU MUST WJIV GAMES TO PLAY 'BIG RET'.
NEW GAME? TVPE $Y$ OR $N$ OR E(BIG BET).
GF.

## FORTH CLASSES

## LAXEN AND HARRIS, INC. <br> 24301 Southland Drive <br> Hayward, CA 94545 <br> (415) 887-2894 <br> > Introductory classes > Process control > Applications programming <br> <br> Introductory classes <br> <br> Introductory classes <br> <br> Process control <br> <br> Process control <br> <br> Applications programming <br> <br> Applications programming <br> Systems level programming

GREG STEVENSON
Anaheim, CA
(714) 523-4202

Introductory classes

KNOWARE INSTITUTE OF TECH-
NOLOGY
Box 8222
Stanford, CA 94305
(408) 338-2720

Introductory classes
Graphics classes

INNER ACCESS CORPORATION
Belmont, CA
(415) 591-8295

Introductory classes

FORTH, INC.
2309 Pacific Coast Highway
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254
(213) 372-8493

Introductory classes
Advanced classes

## SIMULATED TEKTRONICS

## 4010 GRAPHICS

 WITH FORTHby Timothy Huang
Portland, OR 97211
In this article, I am going to tell a true story. For those people wh think FORTH is a religion, they might just consider this to be my testimony.

Last November, I had access to a very little known, but well built microcomputer -- MX 964/2 by Columbia Data Products, Inc. of Maryland. This little machine has two Z-80A CPUs. One is for the Host and the other for terminal. There are 64 K of RAM in the Host, and 32 K of the Terminal RAM is dedicated to the $512 \times 256$ bit mapped graphics. It also includes a 9 " CRT, 2 double density drives, keyboard, 4 serial ports, and 4 parallel ports. Its all in one piece. It boots up with whatever operating system is on the disk after powered up and the carriage return key has been pressed. Beautiful isn't it?

However, there is a big problem, as with most microcomputer companies, the instruction manuals are terrible. And I mean terrible! Let me just give you one examle: "For this information, please see figure __", only to find there was no such figure and no page number.

Graphics are one of the most important features with this machine. $512 \times$ 256 bit mapped graphic is the best that can be expected under the price allowance. There are quite a few well known microcomputers on the market claiming High Resolution Graphics. But those High Resolution ones are just like a big blob compared with the individual pixel that bit mapped. So, I have a nice machine with all the fancy graphic capabilities, but lacking the key to open it. Anxiety mounts up quickly.

I have a friend who's an excellent 8080/Z80 assembly programmer. He implemented UCSD Pascal for a microcomputer. Naturally, since he was the first one, it seemed logical to seek his help. With a poorly written computer manual

```
Soreen # 10
```



```
    FOKTH DEFINITIONS DECINAL
        GOTOXY ( a % --- )
            6 MAX 2J MiN 33 - SWA?
            O MA⿱ 7? MIN 20 -
            & EMIT SMIT EMIT
```



```
        RT-C 12 ENIT. EELL 7 ENK:.
        CLREOS 23 EMIT CENLOL 22 EM:N
        CLREINE 22 EM:%,
Sczeer * :1
        , Sraphio Package - : %NK :2/08180,
        O VARIAELE g O VARIABLZ Y O VARIABLE L O VAFIABLE :M
    O VARIABLE X: O VARIABLE Y:
```



```
        LM is גMi% ( alear video meinory),
        WHITL ESC 97 EMIT. ELACK ESE :27 EN:O.
        O
        :
        %
    :5
    creen # 12 _ PDi: 12/09:00
        VECTOR (n Mo, Hi, Hi, )
        XGEN (LOX,HiX m)
        y=Nn 32 (i,Y EMIT HiY_ i4 + EM:7
        YGEN }\mp@subsup{\}{32+}{(\mp@subsup{L}{0}{\prime}Y,HiY EMIT - )
        PRE-CUT ( n,m-m)
            VECTOR YGEA VEOZOR ZGEN
        YAGE ( enter atphá iroa vector)
            E3C rF
Scieen # 10
    CGraphic Packaqe % ODH :2:09/40
        INIT RAGE GS S2 EMAT FG EMI% 32 ZK:O &G NKI%
        ENDRAW
            IU:%.
    JRAW ( & y - - )
            DUPY S SWh: JUR X SWAP BRE-OUT
        GMOVE (AX , \cdots
    12
    :S
```


(we at least knew that the graphic part simulates Tektronics 4010), he spent a whole week just trying to draw one mere square along the edges of the CRT. Seemingly it would be an easy job, but even so it never came near to what he would have liked. Later on, I spent a couple of weeks twiddling with Microsoft BASIC compiler and it also produced lousy results.

At the same time, I received my 8080 fig-FORTH listing. So, I typed the whole 60 K of assembly listing with the lousiest text editor (i.e., ED. COM). It was a monumental job. Nevertheless, I had the figFORTH up and running.

By now, I was very desperate to get it going. Equipped with the FORTH power and the poor manual, I set forth to try the graphics again. Again, I sought help from a friend who works for Tektronics and is experienced with FORTH. With FORTH, the whole task turned into a very simple job, compared to the previous attempts we had with the assembly and BASIC. Thus, now I am steadfast in my belief in FORTH.

Screen 10 and 11 sets up the variables and the Columbia M×964 hardware dependent words. The $X$-coordinate starts at the lower left corner as 0 , far right as 1023, while $Y=0$ starts at the lower left corner to the top as 779. Screen 12 to 14 defines the basic words, which draw the line, move the cursor, relative draw and move. Screen 15 defines the words to draw a square and the erasing of it. Screen 16 lets me draw many squares.

I know that there are still a lot of nice words that can be written, such as, to draw triangles, curve lines, etc. But, from this small exercise, I am totally convinced the FORTH is the one I will use from now on.


## A VIDEO VERSION OF MASTER MIND

## David Butler

 Dorado SystemsThe writing of this program served as my introduction to FORTH. Using the figFORTH Installation Manual, I stumbled my way through the basic concepts of FORTH and eventually arrived at this video Master Mind game. The game is derived completely from the original board version of Master Mind, therefore, all credit for the game itself goes to the Invicta Game Company.

The program contains many of the functions found in video editors, including cursor management and character collection. The sequence of this computer version of the game is as follows: After displaying the directions, the program prompts the player to enter his skill level. Then a 'secret code' is generated with the help of the player tapping the space bar. The screen is cleared, and a 'mask' of the Master Mind playing board is displayed. The cursor lands at the location where the player is to begin entering his guess. The program retains control of the cursor, responding to the player's key strokes. Backspacing and tabbing are allowed, en-

```
SCR # 18
    (Master Mind in Forth by David A. Butler [AB-17nov80)
-->
                                    David A. Butler.
            33300 Mission Blvd
            Apt 126
            Union City, CA. }9458
            (415) 487-6039
****** A note about style: If there is anr, it is an accident.
    This was my first application in Forth, so it mar lack
    some elesance.
***** Requiremerits: A video displar 80 * 24 characters,
                                    cursor addressing and clear screen
                                    functions.
# 19
( Master Mind -notes- DAB-17nov80)
-->
This is an implementation of Master Mind by Invicta.
The same is very popular because it is easr to learn and a
challenge to plar. There is a bit of luck to it, but it is
mainly an exercise in losical deduction. A "secret" code is
senerated, and it is "cracked" by analyzins a set of clues.
            Those familiar with the orisinal board same will have no
difficulty adjustins to the computer version. To newcomers,
follow the directions carefullr and rou will have it in no
time. The Forth version is functionally identical to the
board version. It is written in fis-Forth, and has been rum
successfully on 6502, 8080, Z80, and 68000 processor.s. It
is a sood demonstration prosram as well as an enjovable same.
# 20
(Master Mind set up some variables DAB-17roveO)
: TASK ; (FORGETTABLE MARKER )
O VARIABLE COLORS 28 ALLOT COLORS 3O BLANKS
O VARIABLE SCODE 2 ALLOT O VARIABLE GUESS 2 ALLOT
O VARIABLE SECRET 2 ALLOT
O VARIABLE BLACKER O VARIABLE WHITER
& VARIABLE #COLGRS
3 VARIABLE CUR.ROW 23 VARIABLE CUR.COL
1 VARIABLE XLQC 1 VARIABLE YLOC O VARIABLE DONE.
-->
# 21 Master Mind set up - cont. LAB-17nov80)
: C.CONSTANT ." YELLOWRED BLACK GREEN WHITE BLUE " ;
O VARIABLE COLOF.KEY & ALLOT ("colors" tabile)
(Use the summ of the ASCII code of the first 3 letters )
( i.e. BLUE = "B"+"L"+"U"=66+76+85=227 (
2 3 4 ~ C O L O R . K E Y ~ C ' ~ 2 1 9 ~ C O L O R . K E Y ~ 1 + ~ C ! ~
207 COLOR.KEY 2 + C! 222 COLOR.KEY 3 + C!
232 COLOR.KEY 4 + C! 227 COLOR.KEY 5 + C!
    96 COLOR.KEY & + C!
    222 COLOR.KEY 3 + C!
    O VARIABLE #ATTEMPTS (used to keeP score)
-->
                                    LAB-17nov80 }
```

```
SCR
    22
    # 2
    ( Master Mind prompt and randomize DAB-17riovBO
    (These definitions set the random values for the same,
: NEWCOUNT ( [COLOR# + !] ) DUIF #COLORS @ <
    IF 1+ ELSE DROF 1 THEN ;
: RANDI 1 BEGIN NEWCOUNT ?TERMINAL UNTIL KEY UROF ;
:ASK.FOR.FANLOM ."To randomize, tap space bar 4 times."
    4O DO RAND I SCODE + C! LOOP CR ;
: ASK.FOR. LEVEL
    CR ." Level }1\mathrm{ or 2 ? " KEY DUIF EMIT KEY EMIT
        50= IF 7 #COLORS ! ELSE & #COLORS ! THEN CR ;
-->
# 23
Master Mind translate color to numeric DAB-i/novBO )
: COLOR.FIND ( [COLOR#] ----LJ TYPES COLOR FROM #)
    1-6* C.CONSTANT }3++6\mathrm{ TYPE;
TRAANSLATE.cODE
            ( converts color # from SCODE to COLOR. KEY)
            ( numeric value in array "SECRET" )
4 O DO SCODE I + CE 1 - COLOF.KEY + EE SECRE!
        I + C: LOOF;
    J F\ FO FP> [COMPILE] R SWAF \Fi SWAF \F SWAF >F ;
    *
    Master Mind cursor motion DAB-17nov80,
    Of course, CRT dependent. Here is Heath: )
                                    ( *** start CRT dependent wards #***)
CURSOFi ( [Y] [X]--[] ABSOLUTE CURSOR FOSITION )
        31 + SWAF 31 + 89 27 EMIT EMIT EMIT EMIT ;
: CLEAR ( CLEAR CRT SCREEN ) 27 EMIT 69 EMl1 ;
HOME (FUT CURGOR AT HOME POSITIUN) O O WNGOR ;
    end at Cfi dependent words *** )
: SHOW.COLORS ( IISPLAY OOLOR GHOICES )
    7 1 \mathrm { DO } \mathrm { I } \mathrm { 2 } \mathrm { + } \mathrm { 5S } \mathrm { CURSOR } \mathrm { I } \mathrm { COLOR.FINII } \mathrm { LOOP }
    #COLORS @ 7 = IF 9 5% CURGOR ." \angleELANK`" ELSE THEN
    1258 CURGOR ." TAB between colors,"
    13 58 CURSOR ." RETUFN to set clues." %
-->
# 25
    Master Mind board lavout mask maEm-17noveO )
    BAR ." ;" ; : DASH ." -" ; (EOAFD SYMBOLS )
- TITLE 21 SPACES
    ." ===== M ASTER M IND =====" ;
: LASHER 2 21 CLIRGOR BAR 32 O DO ." ~" LIOP EAR IR *
: CLINE DUP 21 CLIFSOR BAR 54 CURSOR BAR :
SPACER 21 CURSOR " ", SAOER ;
: HIDDEN 3 23 CURSOR." xXxxxx xxxxxxx xxxxxxx xxxxxxx" ;
: DISPLAY. BOARD
    CLEAR TITLE DASHER HIDDEN 24 3 DO I CBLOCK 2 +LOOF
        SHOW.COLORS ;
-->
```

```
# 26
    Master Mind cursor trackins definitions DAB-17nov80)
: X XLOC & ; : Y YLOC e ;
XBUMMP }\times52
        IF 23 DUF CUFi.COL ! XLOC !
        ELSE 1 XLOC +! X CUR.COL & }8+
            IF X CUR.COL ' THEN
        THEN ;
    INBUMMPX X 23 = IF 52 XLOC ! ELSE -1 XLOC +! THEN ;
; TAB CUR.COL & 47 =
        IF 23 CUR.COL
        ELSE 8 CUR.COL +
    THEN CUR.COL & XLOC : DROP Y }\times\mathrm{ CURSOR ; -->
27
    Master Mind character collection/editing LAB-17nov80 )
: BACKSFACE X CUR.cOL e =
    IF DROP
        ELSE UNEUMPX Y X CURSOR SPACE Y X CURSOR DROF
            32 COLORS }x+23-C
        THEN ;
: PROCESS ( [CHAR] -- [] FROCESSES CHAR, MANAGES DURSOR )
    DUP EMIT COLORS }X+23 - C! XBUMP Y X CURSOR; 
GET.DHAF KEY DUF 127 =
            IF BACKSPACE ELSE [UUP 9 =
            IF TAB ELSE LUF 13=
            IF 1 DONE : DROP
                ELSE PROCESS THEN THEN THEN ;
-->
    Master Mind suess / row section DAB-17novBO )
    INITIAL 2 * 3 + DUF YLOC ! OUR.FOWW ! 23 23 XLOC
        COR.COL ! Y X CUFSOR
        300 LO 32 1 COLORS + E: LUOP ;
: GET.COLORS INITIAL O LONE ! BEGIN GET.GHAF DONE & LNNTIL. ;
PARSE.GUESS 4 O DO I S * COLIRS + Ce
        I 8 % COLORS 1+ + Ce
        I & * COLORS 2 + + Ce
        + + I GUESS + C! LOOF ;
-->
# 29
Master Mind Clue seneration [AB-17novs0 )
: CLUE.CHECK
    O BLACKEF ! O WHITER ! ( INITIALIZE COUNTS )
    4O DO
        SECRET I + CE GUESS I + CE = ( CHECK FOR DIRECT HIT )
        IF I BLACKER +1 O I GUESS + C!
        THEN LOOP
        4 O LO GUESS I + Ce O > IF , IF NO HIT )
            4.0 DO
            GUESS I + CE SECRET I + CE = ( CHELK FOR WHIIE )
            IF 1 WHITEF + ! 1 I GIESS + E! LEAVE
            THEN
            LOOF THEN
        LOOP ;
-.->
```



## TRANSFER OF FORTH SCREENS BY MODEM

Guy T. Grotke<br>Forth Gear<br>San Diego, CA

Here is a simple but hopefully useful set of definitions for serial transfer of FORTH screens between machines. Several of us in the San Diego FIG are interested in sharing software, but we have been unable to do so because of all the different disk formats in use. While only a few had access to similar machines, we took a poll and found that more than $90 \%$ had RS-232 ports. The following two screens permit unidirectional transfer with a modem over telephone lines at 300 baud or hardwired at 19,200 baud. The definitions are not particularly sophisticated. There is no error checking or ack/nack with retry. Since it is source code which is being transferred, some editing will probably be necessary anyway, so such safeguards aren't worth the effort to write them.

There are four definitions which are entirely system dependent in each screen. These are SOUTPUT, COUTPUT, SINPUT, and CINPUT. Respectively, they direct output to the serial port, output to the console, input from the serial port, and input from the console. If your system doesn't use I/O flags or vectors, you may have to write serial port drivers and point KEY and EMIT to them for SOUTPUT and SINPUT. In screen 80, these four words are defined for an APPLE running a serial interface in slot two (driver at \$C200). In screen 58, they are defined for an Ohio Scientific with the normal serial port found in the personal models. These are examples of vectored and flagged I/O redirection.

The remaining definitions should be quite universal among fig ( and other ) systems. Screen 80 contains all that is necessary to receive screens under the control of the sender. FINISHED and RECEIVE simply redirect input and output. The word $P$ redefines the fig editor word $P$ to do the same thing except with I/O redirection. Note that these three definitions are simple and fool-proof enough that they could be sent to another computer if that computer was first told to accept all input from the serial transfer line. Once these definitions were compiled by the receiving system, screen transfer could begin. In screen 58, the word WAIT waits for anything to be sent back from the receiver with a carriage return on the end. The word OK is defined just in case the receiver sends one or more OK's back to the sender during transfers. SEND-SCREEN will send a screen to the receiver, one line at a time, by emulating a user entering lines with the receiver's line editor. First SEND-SCREEN asks the receiver to list the screen being sent.

This insures that the proper disk blocks are resident. After the LIST, the receiver will reply "OK" followed by a carriage return. WAIT makes the transmitter wait for this carriage return. This is the only handshaking needed. Each line's text is sent preceded with the letter $P$ and a space, and followed by a carriage return. WAIT causes the transmitter to wait for the receiver to reply "OK" after each line is sent. SEND is a multi-screen transmitter. Note that the range of screens received and recorded on disk will correspond exactly to the screen numbers sent.

If that is inconvenient, a variable containing an offset or starting receiver screen number could be added.

The proof that it works is before you: the different screen formats and distant screen numbers reflect the fact that screen 58 was written on my OSI and sent to my APPLE to be printed. I have used these definitions to send a 6502 assembler, a database manager, and several hundred data entries between my machines with no trouble.

```
SCR # 58
    ( Serial Screen Transfer -- sending GTG 7-02-81
    HEX
    : SOUTPUT 3 2322 C: ; ( SEND OUTPUT TO SERIAL + CONSOLE
    : COUTPUT 2 2322 C! ; ( SEND OUTPUT ONL.Y TO CONSOLE
    : SINPUT 1 2321 C: ; GET INPUT FROM SERIAL
    ; CINPUT 2 2321 C! ; ( GET INPUT FROM CONSOLE
    : SOUT SOUTPUT CINPUT ; : SIN COUTPUT SINPUT ;
    :OK ; : WAIT SIN QUERY ;
    : SEND.SCREEN < SCR# ---> nothing left
                SOUT DUP . ." COUTFUT LIST GOUTPUT " CR WAIT
                10 O DO I SOUT . ." P " I OVER .LINE CR
                WAIT CINPUT ?TERMIMAL. IF LEAVE THEN LOOP ;
    : SEND <FIRST SCR# / LAST SCR# --> nothing left
            1+ SWAP DO I SEND.SCREEN ?TERMINAL IF LEAVE THEN LOOF
            SOUT CR WAIT SOUT ." FINISHED " CR COUTPUT ;
    DECIMAL is
# 80
    ( CONSOLE/SERIAL I/O )
    FORTH DEFINITIONS HEX
    : UNLINK FDFO 36 ! FD1B 38 ! ;
    : SOLITPUT C200 36 !
    : COUTPUT FDFO 36 ! ;
    = SINFUT C200 38 ! ;
    : CINFUT FD1E 38 ! ;
EDITOR DEFINITIONS
    = FINISHED CINPUT COUTPUT FLUSH ;
    : F COUTFUT F SOUTPUT ;
    : RECEIVE COUTPUT SINPUT ;
FOFTH DEFINITIONS EDITOR
DECIMAL
    ; S
```


## HELP WANTED

Part-time - New York-New Jersey Area
Assist internationally known sound artist, Max Neuhaus, develop additional software for micro computer controlled sound synthesis system. FORTH controlling 32 synthesizers from CRT Light Pen Terminal.

Moderate fees, travel possibilities, hardware experience preferred.
Send information or resume to:
Max Neuhaus
210 5th Avenue
New York, NY 10010

Independent FORTH programmers to implement Marx FORTH for TRS-80, Apple, $C P / M$ and other systems. Royalties paid for best implementation with most enhancements. Great opportunity for the competitive programmer who, like me, would like to make a living at home and not have to move to California to do it.

Contact:
Marc Perkel
Perkel Software Systems
1636 N. Sherman
Springfield, MO 65803
(417) 862-9830

## PRODUCTS REVIEW

SORCERER-FORTH<br>by Quality Software

For about a year, I have been using an excellent version of fig-FORTH tailored for the Exidy Sorcerer. It is a product of Quality Software, 6660 Reseda Bivd., Suite 105, Reseda, CA 91335.

FORTH for the Sorcerer implements Release 1.1 of 8080 fig-FOP.TH. It includes a full screen editor and input/output routines for the keyboard, screen, and both serial and Centronics printers. The Sorcerer's excellent graphics are also available.

Disc storage is simulated in RAM. A 32 K Sorcerer can hold 14 screens--with 48 K , up to 30 screens. Tape-handling routines are provided, to move data to and from the simulated disk space. The CP/M disk interface routines are present, but not implemented.

One of the nicest features of Quality Software's FORTH is its documentation. The 126-page manual is well-written, and relatively complete. It includes sufficient information for a FORTH neophyte, though it does not delve too deeply into system operations.

Quality Software permits--even en-courages--users to market application programs incorporating Sorcerer FORTH. They do ask that written permission be obtained frist, but promise that permission will normally be granted after review of a sample of the program.

I highly recommend this excellent product, and ask that you include it in your periodic listing of available software.

## C. Kevin McCabe

1560 N. Sandburg Terr. \#4105
Chicago, IL 60610
(312) 664-1632

## A COMPARISON OF TRANSFORTH <br> WITH FORTH <br> Insoft <br> Medford, OR

A question we've been hearing a lot lately is "How does TransFORTH compare with fig-FORTH?" In structure, TransFORTH is similar to most version of FORTH, but is is not a FORTH-79 Standard System. The major differences are outlined in this paper.

## Floating-point numbers

In TransFORTH, the stack itself contains floating-point numbers, with 9 digits of accuracy. No special sequences are required to retrieve floating-point values. Words are available for storing or retrieving single bytes and two-byte cells, but all values are stored on the stack in
floating-point format. Numbers can be as large as 1E38, and as small as 1E-38.

## Transcendental functions

The floating-point format mentioned above makes TransFORTH a natural language for transcendental functions. Functions included in the system which are not found in most versions of FORTH include: sine, cosine, tangent, arctangent, natural logarithm, exponential, square root, and powers.

## Data structures

TransFORTH contains words that will store or fetch 5-byte floating-point values, 2-byte cells, and single bytes from any location in memory. TransFORTH does not have the fig-FORTH <BUILDS, DOES> construction, but instead uses a powerful built-in array declaration. Arrays can either fill space in the dictionary, or be located absolutely in memory. Arrays with any number of dimensions may be declared, and each dimension can have any length, within the limits of available memory.

## Strings

Strings are merely arrays (of any dimension and size) with an element length of one. Each character occupies one byte, i.e., one element of the array. Built-in string functions included.

## Disk access and the editor

TransFORTH does not use the virtual memory arrangement found in most versions of FORTH. Instead a standard DOS 3.3 format is used, and files are called from the disk by name.

TransFORTH includes a straightforward line-based text editor. The editor is not added to the dictionary as a list of defined words, but is included as a separate module callable from TransFORTH. DOS text files are used for saving source files. This means that any text editor that uses DOS text files may be used for editing TransFORTH programs. In addition, TransFORTH program data may be shared with other programs and languages.

## Grahics

Two graphics utilities along with a couple of graphics demo programs are included on the system diskette. One utility contains high-resolution graphics and Turtlegraphics commands, and the other has low resolution graphics commands. The graphics capabilities are added to the system by compiling these utilities into the dictionary. The hi-res package includes a call to a module which allows text and graphics to appear together anywhere on the screen.

## Vocabulary

TransFORTH is a single-vocabulary
system. Related programs can be grouped together in disk files, rather than in separate vocabularies. (Multiple vocabularies find their most usage in multi-user systems.)

## Compilation and speed

All entries in TransFORTH are compiled directly into 6502 machine language for greater speed. No address interpreter is used. Even immediate keyboard entries are compiled before being executed. This means that routines can be tested at the keyboard for speed before being added as colon definitions.

TransFORTH is fast. It is not as fast an integer versions of FORTH, because it handles 5 bytes with every stack manipulation instead of two. TransFORTH programs will run faster than similar Applesoft programs, and show a great increase in speed when longer programs are compared.

While TransFORTH works much like Fig-FORTH, the differences between the two become readily apparent under closer examination. FORTH programmers will pick up TransFORTH with little trouble, but nearly all FORTH programs will require translation into TransFORTH to take advantage of its powerful features. These features are accessible with a minimum of work from the user, bringing a FORTH-like environment into the realm of practical scientific and business programming for the first time.

## EDITOR'S RESPONSE TO TRANSFORTH

The above material is extracted from explanatory sales material from the program vendor. Commentary we have indicated from TransFORTH users can be summarized:

1. This implementation should be named as one of the CONVERS group of languages, as it compiles to assembly language rather than threaded code.
2. It is easier to add floating point math to FORTH, than to alter TransFORTH to use integers for execution speed improvements. Why not both?
3. If the implementor had done his DOS 3.3 interface using the standard FORTH word BLOCK, an immense gain in value would result. Direct access and DOS compatibility.
4. <BUILDS DOES> probably could be added but apparently the implementor doesn't know how or chooses to deprive his customers of this powerful structure. Arrays are definitely not equivalent technically or philosophically.

In conclusion, it appears that TransFORTH is a reverse POLISH BASIC, with names rather than labels. A small amount of additional effort would have built upon FORTH, rather than strip out major attributes.--ed.

## NEW PRODUCTS

## FLEX-FORTH

Complete compiler/interpreter, assembler, editor, operating system for:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { APPLE II computers } & \$ 25.00 \\
\text { KIM computers } & \$ 21.00
\end{array}
$$

FLEX-FORTH is a complete structured language with compiler, interpreter, editor, assembler and operating system for any APPLE II or APPLE II + computer with 48 K and disk or KIM with 16 K of memory. Most application programs run in less than 16 K starting at 1000 HEX and often as little as 12 K , including the FLEXFORTH system, itself.

This is a full-featured FORTH following the F.I.G. standard, and contains a 6502 assembler for encoding machine language algorithms if desired. The assembler permits macros BEGIN...UNTIL, BEGIN...AGAIN, BEGIN...WHILE... REPEAT, IF ...ENDIF, and IF...ELSE... ENDIF. Editor and virtual memory files are linked to the Apple DOS 3.2. An application note for upgrading to DOS 3.3 is included. Object code on disk with user manual sells for $\$ 25.00$. (APPLE) or on cassette with user manual for $\$ 21.00$ (KIM).

A complete source listing is available to purchasers of FLEX-FORTH for $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 . 0 0}$. The source is valuable in both showing how FORTH works and in giving examples of FORTH code and integrated assembly code.

Order from: GEOTEC, 1920 N. W. Milford Way, Seattle, WA 98177. Be sure to specify machine.

## MARX FORTH V1. 1

Perkel Software Systems 1636 N. Sherman Springfield, MO 65803
(417) 862-9830

Enhanced Z80 fig-FORTH implemented for Northstar System enhancements include link fields in front of name for fast compile speed; dynamic vocabulary relinking; case; arguments-results with 'to' variables: and more. 79-Standard package includes easy to use screen editor.

## Price: $\$ 75.00$

Smart assembler, meta-compiler and source code (in FORTH) sold separately. Call for information.

## TWO NEW PRODUCTS FROM LAXEN AND HARRIS, INC.

Laxen and Harris, Inc.
24301 Southland Drive
Hayward, CA 94545
(415) 887-2894

1. Working FORTH Release 2.1
"Starting FORTH" compatible FORTH software for a 8080 or Z80 computer system with the CP/M (TM) operating system.

Copyright (C) 1981 by Laxen and Harris, Inc. All rights reserved.

This FORTH implementation is compatible with the popular book "Starting FORTH" by Leo Brodie. It is intended to be a companion to the book to aid learning FORTH. It is also a complete environment for developing and executing FORTH programs. It contains:

Compilers
Disk operating system
Full names stored, up to 31 characters
String handling
Enhanced error checking
16-bit and 32-bit integer arithmetic and input/output
This is a single-user, single-task system which is not ROM-able as supplied. Floating point arithmetic and CP/M file access are not supported.

This system as supplied runs cornfortably in a 8080 or Z80 computer system with at least 32 K bytes of RAM memory, at least one floppy disk drive ( $8^{\prime \prime}$ single density, single sided, soft sectored format is assumed), and the "BIOS" part of the $C P / M$ operating system. The use of a printer is supported but not required. This software may be easily modified to use other memory sizes or disk formats. It requires 14 K bytes of memory which includes 4 K bytes of disk buffers.

This FORTH system was adapted from the fig-FORTH model but is not fully compatible with that language dialect. It is also not fully compatible with the FORTH-79 Standard. The three dialects are similar, but the Starting-FORTH version has advantages over the other two.

Price: $\$ 33.00$ - plus $\$ 2.00$ - Postage and Handling
$C P / M$ is a registered trademark of Digital Research, Inc.

## 2. Learning FORTH

Learning FORTH is a computer aided instruction package that interactively teaches the student the fundamentals of the FORTH programming language and philosophy. It consists of a set of FORTH screens that contain program source code and instruction text. It is based on the book, "Starting FORTH," by Leo Brodie. It will run with any Starting FORTH compatible system, as well as figFORTH system. The manual is only one page long and describes how to load the system. After that, everything is self explanatory. It is supplied on $8^{\prime \prime}$ single density diskettes in IBM 3740 format. The price is $\$ 33.00$ if ordered together with the Working FORTH Disk. Please add $\$ 2.00$ for shipping and handling, and allow at least 3 weeks for delivery.

Note: Buy both for $\$ 55.00$ plus $\$ 2.00$ postage and handing.

## POLYMORPHIC FORTH

Abstract Systems, etc.
1686 West Main Road
Portsmouth, RI 02871
(401) 683-0845

Ralph E. Kenyon, Jr.
Product Description: FORTH (PolyMorphic fig-FORTH 1.1.0). 8080 figFORTH 1.1 without asmb. or Editor (uses PolyMorphic resident editor.)

A demo application which computes a table of values for a general quadratic equation is included.

PolyMorphic Systems 8813, 8810 needs only 16K. Documentation on FORTH not included.

Manual: documentation covers particular implementation details for figFORTH to interface to the PolyMorphic Systems Microcomputer. Sorted VLIST included.

Implementation document available separately. Separate document available for cost of postage. Product data available on PolyMorphic SSSD 5" diskette format. 4 copies sold to date. Price: $\$ 40.00$, includes shipping, diskette, (R.I. residents add 6\% sales tax). Warranty limited to replacement of a diskette damaged in shipment. (We'll try to fix any bugs discovered.) Orders shipped out within 3 days of receipt (usually next day).

## HEATH H89 FORTH <br> MCA <br> 8 Newfield Lane

Newtown, Conn. 06470
MCA announces the availability of FORTH for the Heath H89 computer. MCA FORTH is 8080 fig-FORTH Vl.l configured to run on a single disk H 89 with 32 K or more of memory, utilizing HDOS 1.6 or later.

MCA FORTH provides the standard FORTH facilities plus the following special features: HDOS file manipulation capability, a control character to restart FORTH (recover from loops), on-line tailoring of FORTH facilities (e.g., num~ ber of disk buffers), ability to hook to separately assembled routines, and use of Heath DBUG.

Items supplied with FORTH include the fig-Editor, an 8080 structured assembler, and two games provided as examples of FORTH programming.

The documentation supplied with MCA FORTH is suitable for experienced FORTH programmers; however, a bibliography of documentation for beginners is provided.

MCA FORTH is available from MCA on a $5-1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ disk for $\$ 25$ including documentation. Documentation is available for $\$ / 4.00$. (Conn. residents please add sales tax).

## NEW PRODUCTS FROM INNER ACCESS CORPORATION

1. Fig-FORTH compiler/interpreter for PDP-11 for RT11, RSX11M or standalone with source code in native assembler. Included in this package are an assembler and editor written in FORTH and installation documentation. Price: $\$ 80.00$

This is available on a one $8^{\prime \prime}$ single density diskette only.

Reference Manual for PDP-11 figFORTH above. Price: $\$ 20.00$
2. Fig-FORTH compiler/interpreter for CP/M or CROMEMCO CDOS system comes complete with source code written in native assembler. Included in this package are an assembler and editor written in FORTH and installation documentation. Price: $\mathbf{\$ 8 0 . 0 0}$

All diskettes are single density, with 5$1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ diskettes in 128 byte, 18 sector/track format and $8^{\prime \prime}$ diskettes in 128 byte, 26 sector/track (IBM) format.

Released on two 5-1/4" diskettes with source in 8080 assembler.

Released on one $8^{\prime \prime}$ diskette with source in 8080 assembler.

Released on two 5-1/4" diskettes with source in Z 80 assembler.

Released on one $8^{\prime \prime}$ diskette with source in Z80 assembler.

Manual for CP/M (or Cromemco) figFORTH above. Price: $\$ \mathbf{2 0 . 0 0}$
3. METAFORTH ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Cross-compiler for $\mathrm{CP} / \mathrm{M}$ or Cromemco CDOS to produce 79-Standard FORTH on a target machine. The target can include an application without dictionary heads and link words. It is available on single density diskettes with 128 byte 26 sector/track format. Target compiles may be readily produced for any of the following machines:

CROMEMCO-all models
TRS80 Model II under CP/M
Northstar Horizon
Prolog Z80
Released on two 5-1/4" diskettes or on one $8^{\prime \prime}$ diskette.

## Price: $\$ 450.00$

4. Complete Zilog (AMD) Z8002 development system that can be run under $\mathrm{CP} / \mathrm{M}$ or Cromemco CQQS. System includes a METAFORTH ${ }^{\top M}$ cross compiler which produces a Z8002 79Standard FORTH compiler/interpreter for the Zilog Z8000 Development Module. Package includes a Z8002 assembler, a Tektronix download program and a number of utilities.

Released on two 5-1/4" diskettes or on
one $8^{\prime \prime}$ diskette.

## Price: $\$ 1,450.00$

5. Zilog Z8002 Development Module figFORTH ROM set. Contains 79-Standard FORTH with Z8002 assembler and editor in 4 (2716) PROMS. Price: $\$ 280.00$

## CODE9

Arthur M. Gorsiki
2240 S. Evanston Avenue
Tulsa, OK 74114
(918) 743-0113

CODE9 is a M6809 Assembler for use with any fig-FORTH system. It features all M6809 addressing modes except long relative branch instructions. It performs syntax error checking at assembly time. Memory requirements: 4.75 K bytes free RAM above FORTH. CODE9 is distributed as a commented source listing and manual. Price: $\$ 20.00$

## PET-FORTH <br> by

Datatronic $A B$
Box 42094
S-126 12 Stockholm Sweden
(0)-8-744 5920

Peter Bengtson
Product Description: Extended figFORTH for the Commodore CBM/PET computer series.

Screen editor, utilizing the special CBM screen editing possibilities for compactness and ease of use, macro-assembler, double-precision extensions, CRT handling, random numbers, real-time clock, a very complete string package, IEEE control words, integer trig functions.

An expansion disk (coming soon) will contain floating point arithmetic including complex numbers, transparent overlay control words for data and program segments, a file system, and more. A METAFORTH compiler will shortly be available.

Runs on CBM 8032 plus an 8050 dual disk drive. Other configurations coming: 4032, 4040, VIC, and MicroMainF rame.

8032 version runs in 32 K only. 4032 versions will run in either 16 or 32 K .

Manual Description: 322 pages, including all source code.

Complete introduction to FORTH. Special chapters cover the asembler, <BUILDS and DOES>, IEEE handling, strings etc.

Manual is available separately.
Separate purchase price is $\$ 40.00$. This is not creditable towards later purchase.

Product/Ordering Data: Shipped as diskette and an accompanying security ROM, holding part of the Kernel.

Currently, there are approximately 75 installations, after 2 months on the market.

Price: $\$ 290.00$ Includes diskette, ROM, manual, shipping and taxes.

PET-FORTH, as all other Datatronic software, carries a life-time guarantee. All future versions will be distributed to the registered owners without any cost whatsoever.

Delivery is immediate.
US dealers are invited. UK sole distributor is Petalect Electronic Services Ltd, 33/35 Portugal Road, Woking Surrey. You may also order directly from us.

## Diskette of FORTH Application Modules from

Timin Engineering Company 9575 Genessee Avenue, Ste. E-2 San Diego, CA 92121
(714) 455-9008

The diskette of FORTH application modules, a new product by Timin Engineering, is a variety package of FORTH source code. It contains hundreds of FORTH definitions not previously published. Included on the diskette are data structures, software development aids, string manipulators, an expanded 32-bit vocabulary, a screen calculator, a typing practice program, and a menu generation/selection program. In addition, the diskette provides examples of recursion, <BUILDS...DOES> usage, output number formatting, assembler definitions, and conversational programs. One hundred screens of software and one hundred screens of instructional documentation are supplied on the diskette. Every screen is in exemplary FORTH programming style.

The FORTH screens, written by Scott Pickett, may be used with Timin FORTH or other fig-FORTH. The price for the diskette of FORTH application modules is $\$ 75.00$ (if other than $8^{\prime \prime}$ standard disk, add $\$ 15.00$ ). To order the FORTH modules, write Timin Engineering Company, 9575 Genesee Avenue, Suite E-2, San Diego, CA 92121, or call (714) 455-9008.

## AUDIO TAPES OF 1980 FORML CONFERENCE AND 1980 FIG CONVENTION

1. FORTH-79 Discussion, 200 min . Price: $\$ 35.00$
2. Purpose of FIG, 37 min . Price: $\$ \mathbf{1 0 . 0 0}$
3. Charles Moore, 63 min . Price: $\$ 15.00$
4. FORTH, Alan Taylor, 47 min . Price: $\$ 15.00$

## Complete set $\$ 65.00$ <br> edu-FORTH

1442-A Walnut Street, 非32
Berkeley, CA 94709

The following vendors have versions of FORTH available or are consultants．（FIG makes no judgment on any products．）

## ALPHA MICRO

Professional Management Services
724 Arastradero Rd．\＃109
Palo Alto，CA 94306
（415）858－2218
Sierra Computer Co．
617 Mark NE
Albuquerque，NM 87123

## APPLE

IDPC Company
P．O．Box 11594
Philadelphia，PA 19116
（215）676－3235
IUS（Cap＇n Software）
281 Arlington Avenue
Berkeley，CA 94704
（415）525－9452
George Lyons
280 Henderson St．
Jersey City，NJ 07302
（201）451－2905
MicroMotion
12077 Wilshire Blvd．非506
Los Angeles，CA 90025
（213）821－4340
CROSS COMPILERS
Nautilus Systems
P．O．Box 1098
Santa Cruz，CA 95061
（408）475－7461

## polyFORTH

FORTH，Inc．
2309 Pacific Coast Hwy．
Hermosa Beach，CA 90254
（213）372－8493
LYNX
3301 Ocean Park \＃301
Santa Monica，CA 90405
（213）450－2466
M \＆B Design
820 Sweetbay Drive
Sunnyvale，CA 94086

## Micropolis

Shaw Labs，Ltd．
P．O．Box 3471
Hayward，CA 94540
（415）276－6050

## North Star

The Software Works，Inc．
P．O．Box 4386
Mountain View，CA 94040
（408）736－4938
PDP－11
Laboratory Software Systems，Inc．
3634 Mandeville Canyon Rd．
Los Angeles，CA 90049
（213）472－6995
OSI
Consumer Computers
8907 LaMesa Blvd．
LaMesa，CA 92041
（714）698－8088

Software Federation
44 University Dr．
Arlington Heights，IL 60004
（312）259－1355
Technical Products Co．
P．O．Box 12983
Gainsville，FL 32604
（904）372－8439
Tom Zimmer
292 Falcato Dr．
Milpitas，CA 95035

## 1802

FSS
P．O．Box 8403
Austin，T× 78712
（512）477－2207
$6800 \& 6809$
Kenyon Microsystems
1927 Curtis Avenue
Redondo Beach，CA 90278
（213）376－9941
TRS－80
The Micro Works
P．O．Box 1110
Del Mar，CA 92014
（714）942－2400
Miller Microcomputer Services
61 Lake Shore Rd．
Natick，MA 01760
（617）653－6136
The Software Farm
P．O．Box 2304
Reston，VA 22090
Sirius Systems
7528 Oak Ridge Hwy．
Knoxville，TN 37921
（615）693－6583
6502
Eric C．Rehnke
540 S．Ranch View Circle 非61
Anaheim Hills，CA 92087
Saturn Software，Ltd．
P．O．Box 397
New Westminister，BC
V3L 4 Y7 CANADA
8080／Z80／CP／M
Laboratory Microsystems
4147 Beethoven St．
Los Angeles，CA 90066
（213）390－9292
Timin Engineering Co．
9575 Genesse Ave．\＃\＃E－2
San Diego，CA 92121
（714）455－9008
Application Packages
InnoSys
2150 Shattuck Avenue
Berkeley，CA 94704
（415）843－8114
Decision Resources Corp．
28203 Ridgefern Ct．
Rancho Palo Verde，CA 90274
（213）377－3533

## 68000

Emperical Res．Grp．
P．O．Box 1176
Milton，WA 98354
（206）631－4855
Firmware，Boards and Machines
Datricon
7911 NE 33rd Dr．
Portland，OR 97211
（503）284－8277
Forward Technology
2595 Martin Avenue
Santa Clara，CA 95050
（408）293－8993
Rockwell International
Microelectronics Devices
P．O．Box 3669
Anaheim，CA 92803
（714）632－2862
Zendex Corp．
6398 Dougherty Rd．
Dublin，CA 94566
Variety of FORTH Products
Interactive Computer Systems，Inc． 6403 Di Marco Rd．
Tampa，FL 33614
Mountain View Press
P．O．Box 4656
Mountain View，CA 94040
（415）961－4103
Supersoft Associates
P．O．Box 1628
Champaign，IL 61820
（217）359－2112
Consuitants
Creative Solutions，Inc．
4801 Randolph Rd．
Rockville，MD 20852
Dave Boulton
581 Oakridge Dr．
Redwood City，CA 94062
（415）368－3257
Go FORTH
504 Lakemead Way
Redwood City，CA 94062
（415）366－6124
Inner Access
s17K Marine View
Belmont，CA 94002
（415）591－8295
John S．James
P．O．Box 348
Berkeley，CA 94701
Laxen \＆Harris，Inc．
24301 Southland Drive，非303
Hayward，CA 94545
（415）887－2894
Microsystems，Inc．
2500 E．Foothill Blvd．，\＃102
Pasadena，CA 91107
（213）577－1471

## FORTH INTEREST GROUP MAIL ORDER

|  |  | FOREIGN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AIR |  |  |

\$

## FOREIGN AIR

 \$27\$25\$55\$35$\$ 35$$\$ 35$
BYTE Magazine Reprints of FORTH articles, 8/80 to 4/81\$10\$12

FORTH Programmer's Reference Card. If ordered separately, send a

MAIL STOP/APT (If company address)
ORGANIZATION

ADDRESS $\qquad$
CITY
VISA \#
Expiration Date
STATE ZIP $\qquad$ COUNTRY $\qquad$ _MASTER CHARGE \#
(Minimum of \$10.00 on charge cards)

Make check or money order in US Funds on US bank, payable to: FIG. All prices include postage. No purchase orders without check.

ORDER PHONE NUMBER: (415) 962-8653

## Support Your Local FIG Chapter



## FORTH INTEREST GROUP

P.O. Box 1105

San Carlos, CA 94070


[^0]:    i.e., $\quad 0=$ of ASSEMBLER when you want $0=$ of FORTH

[^1]:    fig-FORTH Version 1.15
    M. Burton

[^2]:    ＊Apple is a registered trademark of Appie Computer，Inc．

