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Forth provides the basic foundation needed for object-oriented programming, by the ease with which new data structures can be defined. This series of articles will focus on the most portable of data objects, and on sharing operations between related objects. This installment educates the reader about basic concepts and ways to evaluate objects and their operations.

## OBJECT-ORIENTED FORTH • RICK HOSELTON

15
Any computer language can produce object-oriented programs, some just make it easier than others. Languages like Smalltalk actually require an object approach. Forth can be extended easily to provide support for object programming; the author shares his own approach in code.

STEP-TRACING IN fig-FORTH • GENE THOMAS
20
F83 has a DEBUG utility that single-steps through definitions at the touch of a key. fig-FORTH and its derivatives can now have a similar utility in their systems. The criteria for the solution boiled down to this: the application must not require any editing. Vectored execution to the rescue!

## LINEAR AUTOMATA • ANDREAS CARL <br> 23

The idea for this program is from A.K. Dewdney, who wrote, "In a world of artificial computers, it is surprising to imagine that we might be surrounded by a variety of natural computers like water, wind, or wood...." Cellular automata can demonstrate the arithmetic abilities of natural systems. Experimenting with this Forth program helps to make the point clear.

## VOLUME EIGHT INDEX • MIKE ELOLA

26
A comprehensive reference guide to all issues of Forth Dimensions published during the volume eight membership year. See the FIG Order Form to order complete sets of back issues.

## THE BEST OF GENIE • GARY SMITH

 29Sunday Q\&A at the "Figgy Bar" is coming for Forth novices... And this column recaps some standardsmaking dialog from the GEnie Forth RoundTable. Get a taste of what a proposer to the ANSI committee goes through to prepare his proposal.
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## EDITORIAL

Charles Keane sent me a note on GEnie, to this effect:
"At it's last meeting, the ANS Forth Technical Committee (X3J14) voted to constitute itself as a Speakers Bureau for FIG Chapters, specifically on the subject of current standardization activity. It also designated y'r ob't servant as the clearinghouse for this effort. Interested chapters may contact me on GEnie (address C.KEANE), by phone (518-274-4774), or U.S. Mail (515-4th Avenue, Watervliet, NY 12189-3703)."

Invite a scapegoat to dinner, anyone? Seriously though, folks, this sounds like a great way to get a good, close look into the horse's mouth (so to speak). I suspect that any speaker from X3J14 could relate enough about the ANS process and technical tradeoffs to enliven and enlighten any meeting.

We have been looking for material related to object-oriented programming. There's a world full of people who think we'd be using objects, if we had any class. Mike Elola has kindly offered to bring objects to light in several articles. His first appears herein, and explains the fundamental concepts and terminology of objectoriented programming.

Like Forth, objects can be tough to appreciate without enough hands-on tinkering to provide, at the least, a gestaltic moment or two. To that end, Rick Hoselton provides F83 code that supports objectoriented programming. (Other versions are also welcome, and Mike Elola will be developing one with that series of articles.) He offers another view of the general subject, leaving it to the reader to develop some illustrations of the real usefulness of ob-
jects. We continue to welcome well-chosen examples and stories about object-oriented programming in Forth.

Any Forth programmer remotely interested in this topic must read Dick Pountain's book, Object-Oriented Forth. It's mandatory - even the introduction is good. Add it to your library even if you're just generally interested in Forth techniques, especially ones involving data structures (Academic Press, 1987).

I hope you can attend this year's Forth National Convention. Until this year, it always has been held in the vicinity of San Francisco. This was natural - most of its early organizers lived in that area, and the strong FIG chapters there supported it vigorously as volunteer staff and as attendees, speakers, and exhibitors. Not incidentally, some local FIG members also attended the business group and board meetings at which the convention was planned.

For years, there have been brief discussions about moving this keystone event of FIG's year to another locale. That would give local Forth programmers and vendors a chance to use the event as a showcase of their Forth-related work, and would provide the local technical community with a chance to learn about contemporary Forth products and practices. Besides, the inevitable infusion of techno-gossip and coderiddled repartee would give the local Forth community an infusion of ideas and a sense of perspective. But such discussions were usually short-lived, coming too late in the planning year and without local leadership or an actual plan.

Martin Tracy lives in southern California and is a member of the Board of Direc-
(Continued on page 38.)
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## ANS Process Offers Fairness

Dear Marlin:
This is in response to the letter from Gary Chanson ( $F D \mathrm{X} / 1$ ) regarding an American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard for Forth and the process which gets us there.

I am NASA's representative on the ANSI Accredited Standards Committee (ASC) X3J14. I have attended every hour of every meeting of X3J 14. I was as apprehensive and suspicious before the first meeting as anybody had a right to be. My motivation was and is simple: I am a Forth user with important applications in view, and feel I have a stake in the outcome of the standards process.

Gary's letter might have left some readers with the impression that this process has been commandeered by the big Forth vendors for their own purposes. Or that users have been left out. I would like to offer my testimony to the contrary.

By my own observation I can attest that X3J14 was formed in accordance with ANSI rules. It does, in fact, have an ANSI charter to draft a proposed ANSI standard. As far as I can tell, it is operating in scrupulous regard for the letter and the spirit of ANSI rules.

Now, regarding ANSI rules, these are well-honed and rather inflexible. Space does not permit a full run-down on them here, but they are basically concerned with full public scrutiny; with guarantees that all input from any source be considered; and with ensuring that adequate time intervals are allowed for public response to proposed standards. These rules have resulted from decades of experience in developing standards. They are designed to balance the
numerous interests that are always involved, whether the field is nuts and bolts or computer languages. In my judgment, these rules prevent chaos: by adhering to them, a standard can come into being; and a standard, by definition, reduces chaos. Further, the rules seem to be about the only realistic approach to achieve fairness.

Thus, even if they wanted to, or attempted to, the big Forth vendors probably would not have found it possible to commandeer the ANSI process.

The other misimpression that might have been left by Gary's letter is really just the flip side of the above concern - that users have no voice. Actually, the representation on X3J14 puts users in a near majority position. At my last count, there were nine producer members and 11 consumer members, with two others designated as "general interest." Membership, by the way (as has been stated widely and often), is completely open to anyone willing to pay the membership fee (\$175), and who is willing to work, put in the time, and travel to the meetings.

Certainly, none of us likes the idea of a new standard drafted in secret by a selfappointed clique and then handed down as though from on high. Gary's letter represents the opinions of the Boston [chapter of the] Forth Interest Group, to the effect that they are ticked off by the past and don't want it repeated. My message is simple: take advantage of the new rules and the new process; get involved; make photocopies of the technical proposal and comment forms published in Forth Dimensions X/1 and in Dr. Dobb' s Journal \#137 (April 1988) and submit proposals and comments; seriously consider the possibility of becoming a
member of X3J 14 to represent the points of view shared by you and your group. And be prepared in a year or so to get a copy of the draft proposed ANS Forth, study it, and comment on it formally. That, too, is part of the ANSI process. Your comments must receive due consideration and must be answered formally for the process to continue.

Perhaps the reaction expressed in Gary's letter stems from the way our existing standard, Forth 83 , was brought forth (or handed down?). That process, of course, was not the ANSI process. The rules were quite different. And with hindsight we can see they were not adequate to prevent dissatisfaction. I understand all this, but that was five years ago, and five years is an eon in the world of computers. Grudges someday must be laid aside and realities be consulted. And we do have new realities staring us in the face (e.g., 32-bit microprocessors which were not real five years ago), and more realities to face shortly (e.g., optical storage).

I believe that achieving ANS Forth will be an important event. It surely is inevitable. X3J 14 is working very hard to make it a high quality achievement, one that will indeed have the broad support of users such as myself. But once again, your contributions are more than welcomed. They are expected!

James L. Rash
NASA
Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland
\# 37 KERNEL.BLK
0 \Task Dependant USER Variables
03Apr 88 cws
1 USER DEFINITIONS
VARIABLE TOS ( TOP OF STACK )
VARIABLE ENTRY ( ENTRY POINT, CONTAINS MACHINE CODE )
VARIABLE MPAGE (MEMORY PAGE)
VARIABLE JUMP ( ADDRESS OF RESTART OR NEXT TASK )
VARIABLE LINK ( LINK TO NEXT TASK )
VARIABLE SPO ( INITIAL PARAMETER STACK )
VARIABLE RPO ( INITIAL RETURN STACK )
VARIABLE DP ( DICTIONARY POINTER )
VARIABLE \#OUT ( NUMBER OF CHARACTERS EMITTED)
VARIABLE \#LINE ( THE NUMBER OF LINES SENT SO FAR )
VARIABLE OFESET ( RELATIVE TO ABSOLUTE DISK BLOCK 0 )
13
14
15
Scr \# 22
CPU68000.BLK
\ Multitasking low level
03Apr88cws
LABEL (PAUSE) (S -- )
IP SP -) MOVE RP SP -) MOVE ( push ip, rp )
UP bank L\#) D7 MOVE D7 AO LMOVE ( load up )
SP AO ) MOVE ( $s p$ to tos ) 8 AO LONG ADDQ WORD
A0 ) D7 MOVE D7 AO LMOVE ( point to next task)
AO ) JMP C; ( jump to next task)
LABEL RESTART ( $S$ - )
SP ) + AO LMOVE ( pop return address, current link )
8 AO LONG SUBQ WORD AO UP bank L\#) MOVE ( get up)
A0 ) D7 MOVE D7 SP LMOVE ( restore stack )
SP ) + D7 MOVE D7 RP LMOVE (restore rp )
$S P$ ) + D7 MOVE D7 IP LMOVE (restore ip )
NEXT $C$;
ENTRY LINK ! ( I point to myself )
Scr \# 23 CPU68000.BLK
0 \ Manipulate Tasks 04Apr88cws
HEX
4EF9 CONSTANT JMPL\# $\$ op word for a long jump
4EB9 CONSTANT JSRL\# $\backslash$ op word for a long jump to subroutine
DECIMAL
: LOCAL (S base addr -- addr' ) UP @ - + ;
: @LINK (S -- addr ) LINK @ ;
: !LINK ( S addr -- ) LINK ! ;
: SLEEP (S addr -- ) DUP LINK LOCAL @ OVER JUMP LOCAL !
JMPL\# SWAP ENTRY LOCAL ! ;
: WAKE (S addr -- ) RESTART OVER JUMP LOCAL !
JSRL\# SWAP ENTRY LOCAL ! ;
: STOP (S --) UP © SLEEP PAUSE ;
: SINGLE (S --) ['] PAUSE >BODY ['] PAUSE ! ;
: MULTI (S -- ) UP @ WAKE (PAUSE) ['] PAUSE ! ;
15
(McBrien's screens.)

```
Soreen 210
(DISFORTHer primitives. Fetyped by GhriE MoErien 20 Sept 1987.
        Adapted from Hewlett Packard's g835 FORTH User's Manual.
        DISFORTH will decompile a Forth word into it.s oomporent.
        wordE or tell you if it is a USER, VARIABLE Or CODE
        definition. To ease typing, DISFORTH is renamed GEE j
1 VARIABLE STRINGLIST ] (.") [
z VAFIABLE TERMINATOFS ] ;S (;GOD) [
4 VAFIABLE BRANCHES ] (LOOF) (+LOOP) ERANCH OBRANCH
5 VARIAELE LITERALIST ] LIT (LOOF) (+LOOFG ERANCH OERANCH [
    ELEMENT? ( n \ list ELEMENT? pos) ( list is searohed for n)
    DUF 2+ SWAP @ 2 * OVER + SWAF
    OO I @ OVER = IF DFOP I O LEAVE THEN 2 +LOOF
    IF 0 THEN ;
Soreen 211
    ( DISFORTHER... Fage 2 of 3)
    : FRINT-WORD (pfaddr PRINT-WORD next addr )
        CR DUP U. (addresE)
        DUP@ DUP U.SFACE (ofa)
        SFACE DUF 2+ NFA ID. ( rame)
        DUP STRINGLIST ELEMENT? IF ( if inline string)
        SWAF z+ COUNT zDUF TYPE ( then type it out)
        + 2 - SWAP THEN
        DUP, COMPILE CFA=IF SWAP 2+ DUF @ 2+ NFA ID. SWAF THEN
        DUP LITERALIST ELEMENT? IF
        SWAF 2+ DUP @ ROT BFANCHES ELEMENT? IF OVEF + THEN U.
            ELSE DROP THEN 2+ ;
    PRINT-DEF (PFA PRINT-DEF)
        BEGIN DUP @ TERMINATORS ELEMENT? 0= WHILE
        PRINT-WOFD REPEAT PRINT-WORD DROF; - - 
```


## No TRAPs in

## His Multitasker...

Dear Marlin,
I want to thank you very much for publishing the article by Robert J. Eager, "Relocatable F83 for the 68000" (FD IX/ 6). I know that some people don't want to see such machine-specific articles in Forth Dimensions, but this one really helped me. I have a copy of F83 modified for the Atari ST by George Morison. Mr. Morison did a wonderful job of porting F83 to the Atari, with the same basic idea used by Mr. Eager. Unfortunately, both the single-step debugger and the multitasker caused the system to bomb, so I did without them. With the help of Mr. Eager's article, I was able to fix the debugger almost immediately, and used it to tackle the multitasker.

After hours of constant bombing, I de-
cided there must be something about 68000 traps that I just didn't understand. It occurred to me that I could add another couple of bytes to the user area to allow the use of the JSR instruction rather than the TRAP instruction. This means a little more complexity for the words WAKE and SLEEP, but the code works, is easy to understand, and avoids some extra stack popping required by the trap instruction, so it may even run faster. WAKE now puts a JSRL instruction into ENTRY and the address of RESTART into a new user variable called JUMP. SLEEP puts a JMPL instruction into ENTRY and the address of the next task (taken from LINK) into JUMP. The included code is specific to the 68000 but I imagine the same idea would work with any processor, but without requiring any knowledge of traps and exception vectors.

Let'scontinue to hear more about multi-
tasking in Forth Dimensions, and how about some articles about implementing multi-user Forth as well?

Sincerely,
Charley Shattuck
1509 Gerry Way
Roseville, CA 95661

## Visible Forth

## (with no exceptions)

Dear Editor,
With reference to Rich Franzen's"The Visible Forth" (FD IX/3), the EXCEPTIONS in screen 17 do seem to make the application rather non-portable, at least until the user has sorted out the addresses of the exception words.

Although I claim no originality for the application submitted, I have cleaned it up and gotten rid of one major typing error.

## (McBrien's screens, continued)

```
Screen 212
    ( DISFORTHER... Page 3 of 3)
    : DISFORTH (DISFORTH ccec eg: DISFORTH VLIST )
        CLS ( Clear the screen )
        CR [COMFILE], DUP NFA ID. (get PFA of cccc)
        DUF NFA C@ G4 AND IF." ...is ar IMMEDIATE word" THEN
        DUP CFA@ [, . CFA @ ] LITERAL = IF (colon definition )
            PRINT-DEF
        ELSE DUP CFA @ [ ' FENCE CFA @ ] LITERAL = IF
                ." ...i三 a USER variable. OFFSET = " @ . CR
        ELSE DUP CFA @ [ D CFA @ ] LITERAL = IF
                ." ...is a CONSTANT. VALUE = " @ . CR
        ELSE DUP CFA @ [ USER CFA @ ] LITERAL = IF
                ." ...is a VARIAELE. CONTENTS = " a . CR
        ELSE." ...is a CODE definition. " CR
                DROF THEN THEN THEN THEN CR ;
    : SEE EASE @ >R HEX DISFORTH R> EASE ! ; SEE VLIST )
Screen 231
    ( TRIAL... To test the resolving of a BRANCH
    : TRIAL 100 DO CR ." BRANGH TEST"
                        LOOP CR ;
```

TRIAL
580B 405 LIT A
$580 F$ 8CB 0
58 E 1584 (DO)
$58 \mathrm{E} 3 \quad 2025 \quad \mathrm{CR}$
58E5 A68 (.") BRANCH TEST
58F3 553 (LOOF) B108
58F7 2025 CR
58F9 596 ; 5
ok

| 5800 | 05 | 85 | 54 | 52 | 49 | 41 | CC | B7 | 58 | OA | 07 | 05 | 04 | OA | 00 | C8 | TRIAL 7 | U. . . K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 EO | 03 | 84 | 05 | 25 | 20 | 68 | 0 A | OB | 42 | 52 | 41 | 4 E | 43 | 48 | 20 | 54 | \% $h$. | BRANCH T |
| 58 FO | 45 | 53 | 54 | 53 | 05 | E3 | 58 | 25 | 20 | 96 | 05 | 04 | 44 | 55 | 40 | 50 | ESTS.cX\% | DUMP |
| 5900 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |  |
| 5910 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 0 E | 59 | 25 |  | Y\% |

```
Soreen 200
    (ELEMENT: Deoompile high level Forth definitions )
    1 VARIAELE STRINGLIST ] (.") [
    z VARIAELE TERMINATORS ] ;S (;CODE) [
    0 VARIABLE ERANCHES } {
    5 VARIAELE LITERALIST ] LIT (LOOP) (+LOOF) BRANCH OBRANCH [
    ( The cfa of a word along with it'E relevant list 'ELEMENT?'
        gives the address of the cfa in that list, 
    : ELEMENT: ( ofa \ list ___addr in the list)
        (0593 ERANCHES element? 7lEE ...in my syEtem)
        DUF 2+ SWAF @ 2 * OVER + SWAF
        DO I @ OVER = IF DROF I O LEAVE THEN 2 +LOOF
        IF O THEN ;
    15
    1E -->
ok
Screen 201
    ( FRINT-WORD More decompiling words)
    : PRINT-WORD ( pfa _mext pfa )
        CR DUF U. (print the pfa)
        DUP @ DUP U. SFACE (print the ofa)
        SFACE DUF 2+ NFA ID. (print the name)
        DUP STRINGLIST ELEMENT? ( if an inline string)
        IF SWAF 2+ COUNT ZOUP TYPE + 2 - SWAP ( typE it out )
        THEN DUP ' COMPILE CFA =
            IF SWAP 2+ DUP @ 2+ NFA ID. SWAP
            THEN DUP LITERALIST ELEMENT?
            IF SWAF 2+ DUF @ ROT BRANCHES ELEMENT?
                IF OVER +
                        THEN U.
        ELSE DROP
        THEN 2+ ;
    THEN 2+ ; -->
    15
    ok
```

Listing One is the original, semi-working version but, as can be seen, the BRANCH resolving is not correct according to the test word TRIAL. (Joke SEA TRIALs.) I am a novice Forth programmer and have been unable to cure this branching problem apart from the vicious hack in Listing Two, in which I removed the wORDS from VARIABLE BRANCHES simply because the branch address seemed to be double what it should be; so I removed the duplicate branch words, and it worked. The test word AA in Listing Two is resolved correctly. If anyone can throw some light on this slight problem, I - for one - would leam a little more. Also, I feel this version
would be more portable than Rich's: it originally came from a Hewlett-Packard 9835 application later modified for an HP 86, which uses an octal-based processor.

My system is basically a Forth-79 kernel, with additional words for an MS-DOS system running on a Hewlett-Packard 150. (Notice that screens' line numbers go from one through 16 , not zero through 15 . This does mean that . LINE is one off when used. Why don't people stick to a standard?

## Chris McBrien

1. Milton of Straloch

Newmachar,
Aberdeen, Scotland

## Errata and Improvements

to a 6502 Assembler
Dear Marlin,
While using the assembler I described in Forth Dimensions (IX/5), I have discovered several bugs. The first of these was due to my ignorance of some opcode procedures; the others were just errors.

There are a number of operations, such as LDA, for which the lists of available addressing modes include:

Absolute, X
Absolute, Y
Zero page, X
but not Zero page, Y.

I hadn't realized that the missing Zero page, $Y$ addressing mode could be invoked by using an absolute address reference to zero page (e.g., 00 E 1 ). In trying to modify the assembler to automatically compile an absolute zero-page address when appropriate, I found a few more bugs. So I decided to simplify the logic sequence and correct the screens. Screens $2,3,5,8$, and 9 have small changes; screens 4 and 6 have massive changes based on use of the new words? LEGAL, ? ZP, and ? IMM.

The suggested improvement is the use of equates. One of the conveniences of a conventional assembler is the provision for using names for addresses; e.g.:
COUT EQU \$FDED
TEMP EQU \$E1
In the Forth assembler, COUT and TEMP can be defined as constants, but are needed only temporarily. If such constants are defined (either before or after the assembler is loaded) after space has been allotted for the assembler and before the dictionary pointer has been reset to the top of the core vocabulary, they will be available to the assembler but will be forgotten along with it when the vocabulary linkage is changed after assembly is complete.

Sincerely,
Chester H. Page
1707 Merrifields Drive
Silver Spring, Maryland

```
ASSEMBLER SCR # 1
    \ Assembly sample Z7JUNB7CHP
    Conventional format
        LDA #0
        LDY #$80
    L1 STA 300,Y
        DEY
        BPL L1
        JMF NEXT
    \ Format for this assembler
    \ ASSEMBLE TEST
    \ 0 # LDA, 80 # LDY, 101 300 ,Y STA, DEY, 101 BPL, GONEXT
    \ END
    -->
15
AESEMIBLER SCR # 2
    HEX
    VOCABULARY ASSEMBLER
    ASSEMBLER DEFINITIONS
    UARIABLE MODE
    UARIABLE MODE.KEY
    \ The allowable numbers of labels and references is controlled
    V in the rest of this screen
    14 ARRAY LABEL.TABLE \Provide for 20 labels, and
    CREATE REF.TABLE 0, 0, 56 ALLOT \ for 30 references
    VARIABLE REF.POINTER
    : CLEAR.TABLES 15 1 DO O I LABEL.TABLE ! LOOP
        REF.TABLE 3 + REF.POINTER ! ;
    UARIABLE LONG.ADDR
    -->
15
ASSEMBLER SCR # 3
```



```
ASSEMBLER SCR # 4
    \A is a given address 09MAR88CHP
    \ is address returned by opcode mnemonic
    : PLEGAL ( C---C) DUP 1+ Ca MODE.KEY a AND FF AND
    ABORT" Illegal Opcode" DUP Ca 20 = \ Check for ,A
        O= IF OVER 100 U< 0= IF MODE.KEY O OC AND
        ABORT" Illegal Indirect" THEN THEN ;
    : ABS.ADDR DUP 1+ a MODE.KEY a DUP 3C AND
    ABORT" Illeqal address" DUP 40 = IF DROP DROP ELSE AND 200 =
        IF -1 MODE +! THEN & MODE +! THEN 1 LONG.ADDR! ;
    : ?ZP ( C---C) MODE.KEY a 20 = 0= IF OUER 100 U< 0=
    OVER 1 + C\ MODE.KEY a ONER OR 262 = SWAP 3F = OR OR
        IF ABS.ADDR THEN THEN ;
    : ?IMM DUP 1+ ఏ MODE.KEY 2 ANO 100 = IF -2 MODE +! THEN ; -->
    5 \ Special treatment of immediate with CPX, CPY, STX, or STY,
```

```
ASSEMBLER SCR % 
    0, 09MAR88CHP
    LABEL.SAUE FF AND DUP LABE
    ABORT" Duplicate label"
    HERE SWAP LABEL.TABLE ! ; Save label address
    LC1 SPa SO 4 - = IF SWAP LABEL.SAVE THEN ;
LC2 SPa SO 6 - = IF ROT LABEL.SAVE THEN
COMPILE.ADDRESS (A---)
        DUP FFOO AND 100= \ Is it a label?
        IF HERE REF.POINTER a 0 ONER C! \ Full address label needed
                1+ ! \ Save compilation address
                3 REF.POINTER +! \Advance for next entry
            THEN LONG.ADDR a
            IF , ELSE C, THEN ; \ Compile absolute address or 2P byte
    -->
ASSEMBLER SCR # 6
    0 \ CREATE operators for defining mnemonics
                                    OMMAR88CHP
    Multimode opcodes
    : M/CPU CREATE 2 ALLOT C, , DOES> 0 LONG.ADDR ! LCZ PLEGAL
        ?ZP ?IMM
            Ca MODE Ca ADD.TABLE + Ca + C, \ Adjust opcode
    MODE.KEY a 20=0= IF COMPILE.ADDRESS THEN ZP ;
    Single-made opcodes
    : CPU CREATE 2 ALLOT C, DOES> LCI CZ C, 2P ;
    : BRANCHES CREATE 2 ALLOT C, DOES> LC2
    Ca C, C,
    HERE 1- REF.POINTER a 1 ONER C! \ Branch offset needed
    1+ 1 S Save compilation address
    3 REF.POINTER +! ZP ; \Aduance for riext entry
-->
```

SEMBLER SCR \# 7

```
Second pass replaces stored label targets
21JUL87CHP
: SECOND.PASS
        BEGIN -3 REF.POINTER +! REF.POINTER a DUP 1 + a
            \ Find label compilation address
    DUP WHILE DUP Ca DUP LABEL.TABLE \ \ Label addrese
        3 ROLL Ca \ word-or-byte flag
        IF 2 PICK-1- Vffset
            DUP ABS 7F >
IF DROP CR ." Branch to " 100 + . ." is too far"
    " (or label ismissing)" SP! QUIT
                THEN ROT C!
    ELSE ROT !
    THEN DROP REPEAT DROP DROP ;
--
```

15
ASSEMBLER SCR \# 8
0 ( Definitions of mnemonics
O8MAR88CHP
1006061 M/CPU ADC, 006021 M/CPU AND, 0060 Cl M/CPU CMP,
$2006041 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{CPU}$ EOR, $0060 \mathrm{OI} \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{CPU}$ ORA, $0060 \mathrm{E} 1 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{CPU} \mathrm{SBC}$,
$3006081 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{CPU}$ STA, 0060 A1 M/CPU LDA,
$4025 E 02 \mathrm{M} C P U$ ASL, 025E $42 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{CPU}$ LSR,
$5025 \mathrm{E} 22 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{CPU}$ ROL, $025 \mathrm{E} 62 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{CPU}$ ROR,
6 027E C2 M/CPU DEC, 027E E2 M/CPU INC,
7016 F EO M/CPU CPX, 016 F CO M/CPU CPY,
80360 AZ M/CPU LDX, $016 E$ AO M/CPU LDY, $027 D 82 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{CPU}$ STX,
9 007E B0 M/CPU STY, 007F $20 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{CPU}$ BIT, $003 F 40 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{CPU} \mathrm{JMP}$,
000 CPU BRK, 18 CPU CLC, D8 CPU CLD, 58 CPU CLI, BE CPU CLU,
1 CA CPU DEX, 88 CPU DEY, E8 CPU INX, C8 CPU INY, EA CPU NOP,
248 CPU PHA, 08 CPU PHP, 68 CPU PLA, 28 CPU PLP, 40 CPU RTI,
360 CPU RTS, 38 CPU SEC, F 8 CPU SED, 78 CPU SEI, AA CPU TAX,
4 A8 CPU TAY, BA CPU TSX, 8A CPU TXA, $9 A$ CPU TXS, 93 CPU TYA,
5 -->
(Letters screens continued on page 22.)
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# DESIGNING DATA STRUCTURES <br> MIKE ELOLA - SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 

Forth includes all the fundamental tools needed to create data objects. With these tools, you can create innumerable different kinds of such objects.

For this series of articles, our focus will be on the data objects that are most portable across CPUs of different bit widths, and on the ability to share operations between related types of objects. Without these concems for portability and pooling of operations, designing new data objects has been guided by two main criteria: the simplicity with which frequently associated operations can be implemented (which also affects the speediness of such operations) and the memory compactness of the layout (which often inversely affects the simplicity of the associated operations).

New designs should be evaluated with respect to all these criteria. In this discussion, the performance and compactness of the code will only be mentioned when new design approaches threaten to compromise them too much.

The many topics about data objects include: how much data typing is supported by Forth; what constitues a Forth data type; how portability issues converge with data typing issues; and how data typing can be implemented. Another topic which is often treated too lightly is the choice of action (specified following DOES $>$ ) in user-supplied, data-declaration routines. This action is adopted by all data objects created with the parent declarator. Throughout this text, I will refer to this behavior as the "default" or "initial" operation.

## The Quest for Reliable Object Designs <br> To be able to talk about goals such as

"reliability," several basic terms must be understood precisely.

Objects are binary representations of numbers, dates, letters, or other abstractions. The individual bits that comprise the object are usually grouped into larger units, which can represent more than a Boolean on/off state. These bit-groupings help to structure the object.

## "An object is simply a collection of properties."

The design of objects encompasses more than structure alone. Each structural component of an object is invested with a particular interpretation, which gives rise to the properties exhibited by the object. An object can be thought of as a collection of structural components and their associated interpretations. A more portable, or im-plementation-independent, way to view an object is simply as a collection of properties. For example, a signed integer has a sign property. The sign property arises from a particular component of the object, such as the interpretation of the most significant bit.

Identifying each context in which the object is intended to be used will help to determine the properties of the object. For example, assume you have to store phone numbers. While a sign bit would not serve any useful purpose in this context, a "work" or "home" discriminator might be useful. A 32-bit signed integer object could still be used for storing the numbers, but the object would not have a sign property. Instead, the
bit normally associated with a sign could be interpreted as a work/home flag, an altogether different property.

Operations act upon an object by taking advantage of known properties of the object. For example, a multiply operation uses the sign bits of its operands to determine the sign bit of the result. This way, the properties of the result are consistent with the properties of the input objects.

If we know the properties of the resultant object, we may say that the object is reliable with respect to the operation. When the result is an object with unknown properties, the operation is unreliable with respect to the object. For example, a string concatenation operation is reliable when it properly accounts for the maximum-length property of the string into which the result is stored. By designing operations which respect the invariant properties of objects, we make our data objects "reliable." (See Reliable Data Structures in $C$ by Thomas Plum for a more detailed discussion of this subject.)

The process of object design requires careful judgments about all the properties and operations an object should support. These properties and operations cannot be considered separately. The necessity for specific operations determines the choice of properties for an object. Likewise, the choice of properties impacts the operations that can be reliably performed upon an object. A string storage operator cannot reliably store a string unless the string variable includes a "maximum length" property, so that it can at least report error conditions arising from space limitations. So the design of objects alternates between consideration of the operations to be supported and consideration of
the structural components that reliably support those properties.

## Forth Data Types

Forth is a typed language, in terms of having many objects that share the same properties. Accordingly, operators and objects must be correctly paired. For example, the EMIT operation is only useful when applied to the correct object. The Forth programmer must oversee the proper matchup between operations and objects. Other languages also expect you to make the proper matchups, but they can provide a warning when you have made a mistake. Forth provides no such warning, unless you add the necessary code to make this possible. But Forth's lack of built-in type checking does not imply an absence of data types or any special dislike of data types.

The topic of Forth data types is often a sensitive one. Most authorities would say that the absence of strongly enforced data typing is bad. What they are really saying is that most programmers cannot keep track as well as the computer can of what they declare, and this is inarguably true. But by overcompensating for human frailty with strictly enforced type checking, languages become too confining.

Few languages besides Forth will let you make the final decision about whether an operator and an operand will be suitable for one another. So sets of operations (COUNT, -TRAILING, and TYPE for example) can be intermixed in ways that support a variety of objects, and with much greater efficiency in Forth than in most other languages.

## Properties of <br> Forth Data Objects

The properties of an object arise out of the unambiguous and stable interpretations we associate with each bit and byte of an object. Properties also include other facts about an object, such as a length (including component parts) and the layout. The layout properties of a multi-part object involve the order of the parts and their offsets from the stant of the object.

These shared properties of data objects give rise to data types like integer variables, ASCII character codes, arrays, etc. As a designer, you need little more than consistency among a group of objects to establish data types. (Because it encapsulates a group of properties, even one instance of an
object establishes a data type.)
"Variable" is a convenient label for a group of similar objects. The word variable is used to identify a type of object without redescribing it. The term helps to displace phrases like " 16 -bit, signed integer value." ("Variable"refers to the variable data type - the group of objects with the properties we commonly associate with Forth variables. VARIABLE refers to the Forth routine that creates instances of variables.)

In Forth, named data objects have a parent code field address (CFA) associated with them. Although the CFA (and associated DOES $>$ phrase) is more a behavioral inheritance of the data object, that behavior is tightly bound to the object. As such, this behavior can be loosely considered a property of the object. If you think of the CFA as a physical subcomponent of the object, this idea gains more respectability.

The behavior of a constant is to return the value with which it was declared. That action can be considered an operation, rather than a property. Here's why: to design the initial operation for a constant, first you must take into account the width of the stored datum, so that the correct fetch operator can be engaged. Therefore, the width of the object is the fundamental property.

A data object need not have any operational property, as in the case of user variables. Memory has been allocated for user variables without a nearby CFA and label. Other examples of objects without built-in operational properties are disk buffers and headerless tables.

## Reusability of Operations

Provisions for data typing block the compilation of an incorrecttype of operator for an object, or else report a fatal error at run time. (Some compilers will perform type conversions automatically to avoid this error, but that digresses...) In objectoriented languages, provisions for objects assist in the selection of the correct type of operation through hierarchical data typing; if the current operation type is not found, an appropriate parent type operation may be selected. This operator-selection mechanism is called inheritance.

Careful design of Forth data objects also allows operations to be reused by different objects. The reusability of operations has received recent attention due to its introduction in object-oriented versions of established languages. In Forth, however, this
kind of inheritance mechanism is overkill. When objects inherit operations, they are "enabled" for use. Without data abstraction or data type enforcement, Forth operations are always enabled for use with any object; but the selection mechanism is that creature known as the programmer.

Objects which have identical properties can be directly manipulated by a common set of operations. Objects may also be designed that share certain properties and not others. In such cases, you can often use a subset of the operations for both types of objects - particularly, the operations which engage only the property or properties shared by the different objects.

For example, an array of characters can be one object printed by TYPE, and a counted-string is another object that can be printed by TYPE when preceded by COUNT. You could also say that TYPE only works on one object (an array of characters), and it is incidental that the object may be part of another object. Whatever view you take, an array of characters is the property shared by both these objects, and at least that much can be clearly stated.

For this discussion, I will not consider parts of objects to be distinct objects. Rather, I encourage the reader to think of such a subcomponent as a distinct property. Any such properties can be shared by one or more different objects. In other words, treat the properties of an object as traits that must be individually accounted for by the applicable operations. Because TYPE only addresses the property of an array of characters, it can be applied to a variety of objects with that component property. (A side-effect of making operations property oriented rather than object oriented is that strict data type enforcement becomes more difficult.)

By designing objects to share important properties, we will also be able to design reusable operations for those objects. So a design strategy for data objects and their supporting operations may be:

When designing data objects that are closely related to one another, choose layouts that are as regular (standard) as possible, which results in shared layout properties. Similarly, choose the initial operations so that their functionality dovetails with pre-existing operations. (Efficiencies are more likely to be realized when objects have as many shared
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```
properties as possible.)
```

Such a strategy should yield a robust subset of general operations, as well as a minimal subset of object-specific operations.

## Categorizing Operations

There are a couple of ways to categorize operations: as memory based or stack based. Since strings cannot be placed on the stack, string operations are memory based (although parameters for such string operations may be passed on the stack).

Later, we will categorize operations as object sensitive (or object specific) and object insensitive. An object-insensitive operation could be applied to many distinct, but related objects. TYPE was shown to be an object-insensitive operation which can be used across different string objects.

## Tiers of Operations

A user variable is structured differently than a variable or a constant. The initial operation of a user variable fetches an address that points to the associated value. The net effect is the same as with a variable (yielding the address of a cell). However, a different initial operation was required, in order to account for the different layout property of a user variable: a pointer resides where the value would normally be. Once the address is placed on the stack, the fetch and store operators (@ and!) can be used with either type of variable. So those operators are objectinsensitive, because they can be used with several types of objects.

To be precise, these different kinds objects ultimately make reference to a cell and, therefore, exhibit cell properties. The cell is one of three tiers (or supertypes) of basic objects in Forth. Other tiers of operations are based upon the double and the character (or byte).

After the value associated with a cell or character value has been fetched onto the stack, other of the cell-oriented tier of operations can be applied, such as add, subtract, logical AND, logical OR, etc. Ultimately, many different objects are manipulated by the same set of Forth operators. In this way, Forth derives increased efficiency and compactness.

The ease with which different object layouts can be homogenized for use with a
(Continued on page 38.)

Once upon a time, while the sorceror was away, his apprentice magically made a broom carry water from a well into the house. When the job was done, the apprentice didn't know how to stop the magic broom, so he chopped it into a thousand pieces. But then, each piece began to carry water. The house was flooded, and the apprentice almost drowned. The sorceror himself had to straighten out the mess.

To write a complicated program, you definitely need to "chop it into pieces." But, as the sorceror's apprentice discovered, chopping up a problem just any old way sometimes makes it worse! You need a technique for breaking programs into manageable pieces.

How can you structure a program so that it is as simple as possible? Well, every useful program mimics some activity or event. An inventory program may simulate a warehouse operation; a game program might simulate an airplane flight or a poker hand. A program can't be simpler than the event it simulates. When your program's structure precisely matches the structure of the event it mimics, you have avoided useless complexity.

Events can be naturally divided into objects. For instance, an airplane flight is made of objects such as a plane, a pilot, and an airport. These objects act in ways determined by their natures. Airports stay in one place, planes must take off before they can land. Objects interact. When a pilot manipulates a plane's controls, he "sends a message" to the plane to bank or to climb. Complicated objects can be made of simpler objects. A plane can be considered to be made of an engine, control surfaces, etc.

Matching a program's structure to an
event's objects is called object-oriented programming. Some supporters of objectoriented programming believe that programs should treat everything, even each location in memory, as an object. In practice, "object orientation" is a matter of degree. Object programming is a style or philosophy, as much as a formula.

Any computer language can be used to produce object-oriented programs, but some make it easier than others. Some programming languages, like Smalltalk, actually require an object approach. Forth is not a likely choice to rigidly enforce a programming discipline, but it can easily be extended to provide object programming support.
> "Object programming is a style or philosophy, as much as a formula."

## Objectives

Following Forth's minimalist philosophy, the routine should be brief. It should meet the common goals of object programming, and allow programmers to extend and customize it for their own use. The routine must not interfere with the current capabilities of Forth - the goal is to enhance the powers of Forth, not to bury them.

The routine must define objects to handle their own data with their own routines (methods). An object may exchange information with other objects by sending
and receiving messages. It shouldn't directly access or change other objects' data. An object "obeys" or "acts on" messages by executing corresponding methods.

The routine must define methods for the objects. A method is a routine an object uses to manipulate its data. Executing a method is the way an object responds to a particular message. The same message may be used in different ways by different objects. For example, two objects named GOLFBALL and TRUCK might have different methods for the message DRIVE. The phrase DRIVE GOLFBALL would cause a completely different action than the phrase DRIVE TRUCK.

The routine should support late binding. Early binding means the system needs to know which object is to receive a message at the time it compiles the message call. With late binding, the application can wait until run time to decide which object should receive a message. The phrase

## ENTERMETHOD DECEMBER?

## IF ANNUALOBJECT

## ELSE MONTHLYOBJECT THEN

would not work in an early binding system.
The routine should support inheritance. Sometimes, a group of objects can respond to the same group of messages with the same methods. And sometimes, a group of objects must share some data with each other. It's convenient to describe such groups of objects as classes. Common methods and common data can be described just once for the entire class, instead of once for each object. This is called inheritance.

Object Forth must execute quickly. If it is not fast, it is just not useful.
(Text continued on page 34.)
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$\vdots$
$\vdots$
3
$4 \pm * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ~+~$
The foilowing routine is piaced in the oublic
6 comain. : Eive uy permssion for it to be used for ariy lesitindite purpose, free of charge.
I frake no wawanty of any kjen for this routine, anc beer ro resoinsithlity watever for its use.

Fick F. Hoseitom
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I Loading
1
2 CREATE AMPLICATIDA
3
4 E 9 THRU 1 Object tools
5 io:3 THRU I Testing-Demonstration
6
7
8 \S
9
16 This is a routine to assist FORTH programmers who
1 mant to produce "OBJECT ORIENTED" code. That phrase seews to mean different things to different people. Here 15 what it mearis to me.
: 4
:5

```
        2
```

        2
    8 ACTICN
8 ACTICN
!
!
2 CODE ACTION {Obj MSg - !
2 CODE ACTION {Obj MSg - !
AX POP WPFD 6 | | ADD
AX POP WPFD 6 | | ADD
BEgIN [W] K %OV 2[W] AX [MO D= LNTIL
BEgIN [W] K %OV 2[W] AX [MO D= LNTIL
4*HADD [W] INE END-CODE
4*HADD [W] INE END-CODE
\S
\S
9: ACTINN (05j m5q - ) \ Just like the CODE
9: ACTINN (05j m5q - ) \ Just like the CODE
SUPP 6 + | locate METHOD poiriter
SUPP 6 + | locate METHOD poiriter
BEGIN SOUP 2+g= NNTIL \ search for equa! MESSAGE
BEGIN SOUP 2+g= NNTIL \ search for equa! MESSAGE
4+NIF EXECITE : I execute the METMOD

```
    4+NIF EXECITE : I execute the METMOD
```
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- Sata Strictures:

| DFFSET | \#EYTES | METHOD format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | rext olaer brother meTHOD pointer |
| $こ$ | $E$ | MESSAGE Mumber |
| 4 | $n$ | METHID's cocie |


| TFFSET | \#EYTES | DEJECT format |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 | father OBJECT address |
| $\overline{2}$ | 2 | youngest son OBJECT address +4 |
| 4 | 2 | next older brother OBJECT adiress + 4 |
| 5 | 2 | youngest METHOD address |
| 8 | $n$ | optional local data |

1 Loading
a "FORGETable" cefinition
The wordset to maike DBJECTs and MEHODS wori. A demonstration.
This routipe is writter to work with LAXAN 1 PERPY's F83. Dther FIRTH implementations will probably reoure some chances. Especia:ly, check YETHOD: ACTIDN and ?CREATE.

> IE
> IACTION
This word finds the wESSAGE on the given OBJECT's WETHOD-list and performs the corresponding METHOD

[^0]

## 6

```
MEHOD) ( -- m5g ) \ Gujlu a MESSAGE
CREATE HERE MIES\ AC* ;
TCREATE (-msg) ( Get MESSAGE namber.
    IN EL WORO FIND
    IF NIF \BMDY ELSE EROP \SN! (METHOD! THEN ;
(MEHOD:) ( -- )
    TCREAE \Be sire MESSAGE exIsts
```



```
        [': II STERAL, I Enter colon definition
        CSP j; I compile this mem0D
        7
\ MASTER METHOD'5
i
2 I The system's base METHOD
3 (METHOD:) PACHOR ." I don't understamD" ;
' ANCHOR IEODY C'+ 'MSG!
\ EuIIding a METHOD is a METHOD
(METHOD:) METHOD: ( -- )
    METHOD:) :
I Fuilomg a rew ORJECT is a METHCD for the pament
MAGTER METGOD: OBJECT: ( - )
    BTEATE OBSECT):
```

5
8
a 1. WETHOSS
: . NETHOD ( IInik -- )
CR JU E U. R DUP EU.H

5 MASTER METHOD: , METHODS ( - )
EASE HEX 6 ) UBJECT
BEGIN G DUP WHILE DLP METHOD REDEAT
BRSE ! :
8
5

## 20

1 (METHOD:)
Euid a NESSAGE neader, and leave the PFA on the stack. At rur time, the MESSAGE will oct.

If a MESGAGE has not beer defined, defire it.
Either way, leave the MESSAGE number (parameter fielo address) or the stack. 陉CAREFU rot to use a name for a PESSASE that has aiready been used for anything but a message:

Get (or preate) the reSSAGE number.
Compile this EESSAGE number and link up the METHOD chain. Compile the code for this METHDD.

## 21

I MASTER METHDDS
ANCHOR is always at the end of the fithod chair.
Its $\operatorname{HESSAGE}$ number is set by ACT to the current MESSAEE. So, if ACT firds no other matching $N E T H D D$, it uses this ore.

This is the default METHOD for building METHOD's. OBJECT's can have a different METHDD: if you define one.

This is the defacit METHOD for defining DEJECT's. You may define a different OBJECT: to buld nore complek types of OEJET's.
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1. METHODS

Display the name of a METHOD.

Dispiays ail the WEThD's that have beer cefined for the current OHJECT.
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Artificial intelligence applications are currently showing great promise when developers focus on easy-to-use software that doesn't require specialized expensive computers. Forth's design allows programmers to modify the Forth language to support the unique needs of artificial intelligence. Papers are invited that address relevant issues such as:

Programming tools for AI
Multiusers and multitasking Management of large memory spaces Meeting customer needs with Forth AI programs
Windowing, menu driven or command line systems
Captive Forth systems-operating under an OS
Interfacing with other languages
Transportability of AI programs
Forth in hardware for AI System security
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Completed papers are due by October 15, 1988. For registration information call the Forth Interest Group business office at (408) 277-0668 or write to FORML.
Asilomar is a wonderful place for a conference. It combines comfortable meeting and living accommodations with secluded forests on a Pacific Ocean beach. Registration includes deluxe rooms, all meals, and nightly wine and cheese parties.

User's of Laxen and Perry's F83 have a stepping utility invoked by the word DEbug. It steps through definitions, displaying the stack contents at each step every time a key is pressed. Users of figFORTH and its derivatives have no such utility inherently resident in their systems.

During a meeting of the central Arkansas chapter of the Forth Interest Group, someone noted how nice it would be if figFORTH definitions could be stepped through; they suggested redefining ; (semi-colon). Those with a fair degree of Forth experience - and perhaps even novices, after a moment's reflection - will see that attempting to define
: ; KEY DROP ;
will result in a problem as soon as the first semi-colon is encountered by the compiler. Even if the above definition was renamed to [ ; ] and additions made it a workable replacement for ; , a lot of editing work would be required to insert the new word when needed and to remove it when done. When I started this project, I made a rule: the finished application must not require any editing when it is used.

## The Solution

The solution I finally arrived at uses : (colon) rather than ; (semi-colon), and vectored execution "tricks" the colon into being redefined. This has three advantages over the other methods I tried. First, no debugging word needs to be edited in and out. Second, stepping mode can be toggled on and off without recompiling. Third, the colon itself does not have to be recompiled.

Listing One is the step-trace application. Listing Two contains a few support words, which must be loaded before the
code in the first listing if your system doesn't already have them.

Now let's examine the step trace code, beginning with screen three of Listing One. The word DEBUG is executed and the routine to be debugged is recompiled. Executing DEBUG replaces the value in the first PFA address (COL : ADR) of : (colon) with the CFA of [:] (STEP:VAL). The definition of [: ] beginning on line 18 will now be used when a colon is encountered in definitions compiled after DEBUG execution. The remaining PFA addresses in the definition of : will not be executed because of the R> DROP on line 25 .
> " [:] will now be used when : (colon) is encountered..."

The stepping function will be taken care of when STEP? iscalled by $[:]$ (STEP?'s CFA was pushed into [:] on line 23). When STEP? is called (see line 7), the variable DO-STEP? is checked to see if words are to be stepped; if so, it uses the top of the return stack to display the name of the word and displays the contents of both stacks. STEP? then stops and waits for a keypress (line 11). If the keypress is a B (or b), BREAK is executed; otherwise, the next word is stepped. (See FD V/1 for a full explanation of the BREAK/GO tool in screen two of Listing Two.)

Here is how : (colon) would look if decompiled before execution of DEBUG:

```
: : ?EXEC !CSP
    CURRENT CONTEXT
    CREATE (;CODE)
        HERE 2- ! ] ;
        IMMEDIATE
```

    And, after executing DEBUG:
    : : [:] !CSP
CURRENT CONTEXT !
CREATE (;CODE)
HERE 2- ! ] ;
IMMEDIATE;

But, because of the construction of [: ], the debug version of : acts as if it were defined like:
: : [:] ; IMMEDIATE
Thus, through the magic of vectored execution, we are able to toggle between two alternate versions of : (colon), compiling under whichever we choose.

The default state of STEP? is off. After compiling a routine for use with Debug, the word STEP is executed to toggle to the stepping mode. STEP may be called as often as desired. Whenever you are unsure whether compiling is set to normal or to debug, invoke ? STEP to find out. Of course, the normal compiling condition of the colon is restored by RESTORE:COL.

A display of the return stack contents is of little value unless there is an easy way to identify the word to which those numbers (PFA return addresses) belong. While in the BREAK state, or at any time when not executing, the word NAME on screen four of Listing One will provide the needed information. Feeding any valid address from a parameter field to NAME will produce the name of the word to
which that parameter field belongs. Sometimes the return stack contains items like DO LOOP indices. Giving NAME an invalid PFA has never crashed my system, but a memory check location in addition to DEF-END could be added to stop NAME when the bottom of the dictionary is reached. It is also well to remember that a return stack number may be equivalent to a PFA address and yet not actually be one. A DO LOOP index, for example, may be equal to some PFA address. Actually, NAME will respond correctly when given any address from a word's dictionary entry, except the last PFA address containing the CFA of ; S (EXIT). In that case, NAME will produce the name of the following word in the dictionary.

Four words in the step-trace application are intended to be executed from the keyboard: STEP, STEP?, RESTORE: COL, and DEBUG. The words NAME, BREAK, GO, . $S$, and . RP are independent of the step trace in the same way as words like $R>$ and DROP.

When you are debugging the step-trace application itself, avoid crashes by executing RESTORE : COL before forgetting and recompiling. After the step trace is up and running, crashes will not occur if you forget to RESTORE : COL and recompile the routine you are debugging.

## Compatibility

The definition of NAME assumes that each dictionary entry's LFA is followed by its NFA. If the NFA comes first in your system, change the $4+$ on line 57 to $2+$.

The definition of . RP assumes that the return stack grows downward in memory; an adjustment will be necessary for systems in which that stack grows upward.

Ibelieve the definition of [:] will work in most systems, even if the : colon is defined differently than in fig-FORTH. If not, you will need to decompile your colon. Using that decompilation, insert the code on lines 21-25 at the appropriate place.

> Gene Thomas edits the Comment Line, the newsletter of the Central Arkansas FIG Chapter, and is a registered polysomnographic technologist at the Sleep Disorders Center at the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences.

## Listing One.




## (Page's screens, from page 11.)

```
ASSEMBLER SCR # g
    \ More mnemonics and special definitions
    09MAR88CHP
    9 0 ~ B R A N C H E S ~ B C C , ~ B O ~ B R A N C H E S ~ B C S , ~ F O ~ B R A N C H E S ~ B E Q ,
    30 BRANCHES BMI, DO BRANCHES BNE, }10\mathrm{ BRANCHES BPL,
    50 BRANCHES BUC, }70\mathrm{ BRANCHES BUS,
    : JSR, SPa SO 4 - = IF SWAP LABEL.SAUE THEN DUP 20 C.,
    DUP 200 U< SWAP 100 U< 0= AND IF REF.POINTER a DUP O SWAP C!
    If 100 < addr < 200 then it is a label
        1+ HERE 2-SWAP ! S Save compilation address
        3 REF.POINTER +! THEN ;
    : ,SSPa so 4 - = IF SWAP LABEL,SAVE THEN, ;
    C,, SFa SO 4 - = IF SWAP LABEL.SAVE THEN C, ;
    END SECOND.PASS CURRENT 3 CONTEXT ! ?EXEC PCSP ; IMMEDIATE
    GONEXT ['] NEXT >BODY JMP, ;
    : " >BODY JSR, ; --> \ Useful in composite primitiues
    5 \ e.g., ASSEMBLE PROGRAM * A* B % C GONEXT END
ASSEMBLER SCR # 10
    \ Assembler concluded
    19JUN87CHP
    FORTH DEFINITIONS
    : PRIM -2 ALLOT HERE 2+ , ;
    : ASSEMBLE ?EXEC CREATE ASSEMBLER PRIM
    [ ASSEMBLER ] CLEAR.TABLES ZP !CSP ;
IMMMEDIATE
DECIMAL
```

(Letters continued on page 32.


ANDREAS CARL - BERLIN, WEST GERMANY

The idea for the following program is from A.K. Dewdney in Scientific American (German edition, July 1985). He writes, "In a world of artificial computers, it is surprising to imagine that we might be surrounded by a variety of natural computers like water, wind, or wood. Such natural systems don't calculate in a conventional way, of course, but their structure makes arithmetic abilities a hidden possibility. Stephen Wolfram, physicistat the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton, is as advocate of this thesis. He is of

## "Cellular automata can calculate and simulate natural systems."

the opinion that a turbulent fluid or a growing plant are built of simple elements, but in the whole are so complicated that behavior cannot be reduced to mathematical terms. This irreducibility means they can store, transfer, and process information - they can calculate!"

To demonstrate the arithmetic abilities of natural systems, he uses cellular automata. In looking for cellular automata which can both calculate and simulate natural systems, Wolfram confines himself to the simplest automata, those of one dimension.

These linear automata are defined by two constants and a set of rules, which define the transition from one generation of cells to the next. $k$ gives the number of

states a cell can have; usually, it is two (to be or not to be). $r$ is the radius; it determines the number of adjacent cells that will influence the subsequent state of a cell.

A table of rules gives the state of the next generation for every possible configuration. For example, for $k=2$ and $r=$ 2 , there might be a rule which determines that a configuration like 0-1-0-1-1 leads the middle cell to become 1. For simplicity's sake, we can refrain from rules that Wolfram calls "total." Thus, a cell's next state depends only on the sum of the current states of all cells within radius $r$. In the example above, the sum can be between zero and 5 , so a table of rules might look like:

## Sum:

Next state:

| 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

If you read the next-state line as a binary number (e.g., 10100 , which is $20=2^{2}+2^{4}$ ), you get the code of the rules. So this "linear automaton" is characterized by $k=2, r=2$, $\operatorname{code}=20$. There are 64 different codes (tables of rules) for $k=2$ and $r=2$.

Now, if you apply this automat to the starting pattern 10111011, you will see that it moves like a signal to the right. In the world of this automat, it is a signal. Try to find other signals, patterns which produce or consume signals, and see what happens when two signals hit each other.

Try the automaton with $k=2, r=3$, and code $=88$ on the starting pattern 1111111111011 . This is the famous cannon by J.K. Park: a signal-producing pattern which "shoots" to both directions every 119 generations. Good luck hunting for patterns!

## Glossary

It should be fairly easy to convert the accompanying fig-FORTH screens into a dialect which will run on your computer. All you need is a Forth system with graphics capabilities.

PLOT ( $\mathrm{x} \mathrm{y}-\mathrm{-}$ )
Plots a point at the coordinates $(x, y)$.
?PLOT ( x y--f)
Returns a flag, depending on whether a point is set or not.

## SUMME

Calculates the sum of states for a given $x$ coordinate.

REIHE
Compares SUM with KODE to decide whether to plot a point for any of the 320 x coordinates.

Calculates the new generation for any of the 199 y coordinates.

SET
Sets a starting pattern into the first row $(y=0)$ of the graphics display (bit map). (The address provided in the definition of this word is specific to the Commodore64 on which it was written.)

## AUTO

```
#SCR 01
0 ( LINEAR AUTOMAT )
I
2 O varIABLE Y O varIABLE SLM
3 O VARIABLE RADIUS O VARIABLE KODE
4
5: DUAL 2 BASE ! ;
6
7: SUMHE RADIUS E DUP 1+ SNAP -1 * DO 2DUP SHAP I + SHAP
8 ?PLOT 0= IF ELSE SUM E 2 * SUM! ENDIF
9 LOOP 2DROP;
1 0
11: REIHE 320 O DOI Y E I SUM! SUMME
12 SUM E KODE E AND
13
14
15
```

\#SCR 02
0 ( CONT. )
1
2 : AUTO 1990 DO I Y ! REJHE LOOP ;
3
4 : SET 256 /HOD 8352 C! 8360 C! DECIMAL ;
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

# Real-Time Programming Convention 

November 18-19, 1988<br>Grand Hotel, Anaheim, California

## Call for Presentations

The 1988 Real-Time Programming Convention will be held at the Grand Hotel in Anaheim, California, and is sponsored by the Forth Interest Group.

The theme of this year's convention is Real-time Programming Systems. The invited speakers are Jef Raskin, head of the original Macintosh development team and inventor of the Canon Cat, and Ray Duncan, well-known author and expert on IBM PC Operating Systems. Both speakers have made extensive use of Forth, a language especially suited to real-time applications.

There is a call for presentations on topics in the following areas:

## Programming Environments

Real-time Operating Systems
Language-oriented RISC machines
Parallel Processing
Languages for Data Acquisition and
Analysis
Robotics and Real-time Device Control

## Intelligent Devices

Intelligent Instrumentation
Working Neural Nets
Adaptive devices
Software Peripheral Controllers

## Applications

Aerospace
Medical
Laboratory
Machine-vision
Digital Signal Processing
Robotics
Automation
Instrumentation

Presentations may be either talks or demonstrations. Talks are limited to fifteen minutes. Please submit an abstract of the talk and a request for any audio-visual assistance by October 15. Demonstrations may accompany the talk or appear separately throughout the convention. Please send a description of the demonstration and its requirements by October 15.

Abstracts and descriptions should be sent to: Real-Time Programming Convention, Forth Interest Group, PO Box 8231, San Jose, CA 95155.
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A comprehensive reference guide to all issues of Forth Dimensions published during the Volume VIII membership year. (Special thanks to indexer Mike Elola of San Jose, California.) See the FIG Order Form to order complete sets of back issues.
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## F83 USERS

PVM83 is a complete Prolog extension to Laxen and Perry F83. It handles the primary data structures of strings, numbers, logical constants, logical variables, compound predicates, and lists. PVM83 is designed to add productlvity and flexibllity. It is fully interactive between Prolog procedures, and Forth code. PVM83 is a compiled Prolog featuring fast execution times.

PVM83 is fully extensible. "Standard" definitions gives the programmer flexibility to design just those procedures needed for his application. PVM83 code can execute Forth words. F83 can call the PVM83 backtracking and problem solving capabilities.

PVM83 code is incrementally complled in higher memory segments than the F83 core, leaving room in the F83 kernal for the "standard" extensions or other F83 code that the programmer needs.

PVM83 is designed to keep the Forth philosophy of being both compiled, and interactive. You can type in procedures from the keyboard and test them, or supply source code from Forth block files, or text files Intersegment memory management source code included.

## PVM 83

only $\$ 69.95$
includes manual
requires
DOS 2.0 or higher 256K RAM
Concept 4
PO Box 20136
VOC Az 86341

# THE BEST OF GENIE 

$N$ews from the GEnie Forth RoundTable: Beginning July 10, the Sunday on-line meetings at the "Figgy Bar" will feature a question-and-answer session for novices, with Leonard Morgenstern as chair for these tutorial conferences.

Since it is not unusual to see 10 K or more of new messages on a given day, and this column is limited in size, you are only getting a peek at recent on-line activity. This time, the peek will be into the very lively standards category (Category 10).

Some still may not realize the X3/J14 Technical Committee has made the GEnie Forth RoundTable their home service. X3/ J 14 has the task of drafting a ANS standard Forth. Here, the very future of our language is being debated with a grand mix of knowledge, wisdom, and humor. This excerpt features a discussion centered around a proposal by Lee Brotzman. I hope it will encourage you to get involved.

## Category 10, Topic 23, Message 76

Wed Mar 23, 1988 S.W.SQUIRES [scott]
Lee, I have some of the same suggestions that Leonard does for your file words. How about:
OPEN ( addr - - file\#)
File\# could be a number or a handle or pointer or fcb or whatever would be in keeping with the specific computer/Forth system as long as it is consistent on that system. On a one-file limited system it would just leave the same number. Multiple files have been the norm for some time even in the simple Forth systems I've used. Typical case is reading in one file, manipulating it and writing it back out to another file.

CLOSE (file\# - - )
READ ( addr n1 file\# - - n2 )
WRITE ( addr nl file\# - n 2 )
SEEK and EILEPOS would require a file\# as well. Would it be more beneficial to provide pointers with the READ and WRITE commands? I.e., READ ( addr n1 file-offset file\# - - n2) The more primitive the words, the more flexible they could be. Same thing with flags - would it just be more straightforward to leave a flag after every disk operation?

How about a create-file function? You'd probably need to provide a size parameter as well as an addr of the naming convention to allow for systems with unexpandable file sizes.

How about a request for the file size? This would allow a program to set aside the correct buffer size and to use the size for any calculations. -Scott

Category 10, Topic 23, Message 77 Thu Mar 24, 1988 L.BROTZMAN Leonard and Scott,

Jerry Shifrin voiced the same concerns as yours when I uploaded my proposal to the East Coast Forth Board. I'll just reproduce my answer to him here:

Date: 03-23-88 (11:57) Number: 276 To: SYSOP Refer\#: 273
From: LEE BROTZMAN Read: YES Subj: HOST FILE ACCESS PROPOSAL Status: PUBLIC MESSAGE

Yes, Jerry, I purposely avoided the subject of multiple files since I think that trying to pass file handles, of reference numbers or whatever, is so system specific that it becomes very difficult to standardize. This proposal is hard enough to get
adopted as is; adding system-specific file handles would kill it for sure.

I don't agree that this proposal precludes multiple-file handling however, and let me explain why. I'll use Uniforth for my example, because that's what I know.

In Uniforth there is a user variable called FCB. FCB points to the file handle (file control block, reference buffer, whatever the OS in question uses) of the current open file. The value of $F C B$ is changed by a set of words called: CHANA, CHANB, etc. To open two files simultaneously, for example, one would do the following:

```
CHANA OPEN filel.fth
CHANB OPEN file2.fth
```

A word that copies a line of text from one file to another would be something like this:

```
: COPY-LINE
    ( copy a line of text )
    ( from CHANA to CHANB )
CHANA pad 80 RDLINE
    ( length - - )
CHANB pad swap WRLINE drop ;
```

where I have used the Uniforth words RDLINE and WRLINE instead of my proposed words READ and WRITE. The code would be the same in either case.

If the proposal were changed to include file handles, I would anticipate changes like the following:

OPEN (- - fcb)
Open a file and return the file handle.

## FUTURE

announces
Eight new products based on the NC4016

## Future Series products:

CPU board (available 2nd quarter 1988)

- NC4016 (5 MHz standard)
- Stack and data RAM
- Full 128 K bytes of paged main memory
- Power fail detect
- Automatic switching to on board battery backup at power fail
- Psuedo-serial port - full compatibility with CM-FORTH and SC-FORTH
- 16Kbytes of EPROM (SC-FORTH, SC-C and CM-FORTH available)


## Display/Debugger board (available 2nd quarter 1988)

useful for testing and debugging custom hardware

- Provides hexadecimal display of the data, address, and B-port
- Indicates status of reset, interupt, WEB, WED, and X-port
- Provides for free running and single step clocking
- Provides the ability to independently drive (write to) the data, address, and B-port directly with user data
1/O board (available 2nd quarter 1988)
for serial communication, interupt handling, event timing, time and date
logging and saving system state parameters
- Two RS232 serial ports
- Eight level prioritized interupt controller. Each interupt line is individually maskable and resetable. Current pending interupt status is readable.
- Real time clock with 2 K of non-volatile RAM
- Three 16 -bit timer/counters

Extended Memory board (available 3rd quarter 1988)

- Paged memory - 64 Kbytes segments, up to eight segments

Card Cage \& Power Supply (available 3rd quarter 1988)

- Rack mountable card cage with face plates for each slot
- $\pm 5$ volts and $\pm 12$ volts supplied
- 72 Pin backplane

Disk Drive Controller board (available 3rd quarter 1988)
-3-1/2 inch floppy and SCSI controllers (for hard disks)
Video board (available 4th quarter 1988)

- Will drive Apple Macintosh II high resolution ( $640 \times 480$ ) monochrome monitor and PC compatible monochrome monitors

A/D \& D/A board (available 4th quarter 1988)

- 12 bit, 1 MHz A/D \& D/A converters

Future, Inc. P.O. Box 10386 Blacksburg, VA 24062-0386
(703) 552-1347

Apple is a registered trademark of Apple Computer, Inc. Macintosh is a tradernark of Apple Computer, Inc. SC-FORTH and SC-C are products of Silicon Composers.

CLOSE (fcb--)
Close the file pointed to by the file handle.
READ ( fcb adr len1-- len2)
As before except with file handle.
WRITE ( fcb adr len1-- len2)
As before except with file handle.
SEEK, FILEPOS, and WREOF would be changed similarly. Frankly, I don't see much difference in the ultimate use of these words. Returning the file handles means they must be saved somewhere in a variable. So the COPY-LINE above would become:

COPY-LINE
FCB1 @ pad 80 READ
FCB2 @ pad swap WRITE drop ;
(In fact the definition of CHANA is something like: FCB1 @ FCB! and CHANB is FCB2 @ FCB ! for most, but not all operating system interfaces implemented.)

So you see, it isn't difficult to handle multiple files using the proposed word set. Perhaps I should say that in the proposal, in order to make clear what I already thought would be understood implicitly. I keep forgetting that other systems handle things in very different ways. Do you think I should also propose some standard means of file switching? It should be as generic as possible, because the manipulation of file control blocks is different for every operating system, while, in Uniforth at least, the ultimate top-level file operators like those above are uniform.

To continue, I would like to say that I prefer "file-switching" words like CHANA and CHANB to explicit references to file handles, because the explicit method is unnecessary and less self-documenting, and it follows the principle of "hiding data" ála Brodie's Thinking Forth.

Leonard, thanks for pointing out the deficiencies in language in my proposal. I see that it must be more carefully written to avoid misinterpretation. When I say CLOSE will "close the file currently open," I should say "...close the file on the current file I/Ochannel" -after I define what a file I/O channel is of course. :-)

The definition of READ should say that reading will stop "...when $n 1$ bytes of data
have been read, an end-of-file mark is encountered, or in the case of a variable..."

Finally, as I said above, my proposal isn't incompatible with "handles," it just assumed they are handled elsewhere (pun intended).

Scott, about file creation: much more than size and name go into file creation, like access method, logical record length, blocking factor, data type (binary, character, executable, etc.), protection, and on and on. That's a pretty big can of worms.

A request for file size is a good idea, and something I use a lot. I'll add it to the list.Lee

Category 10, Topic 23, Message 78
Thu Mar 24, 1988 L.BROTZMAN Greg,

Thanks for the tip on the proposal. I will try to amend the draft in light of the responses above and get it in the mail ASAP. While we're talking about proposals, I asked Martin Tracy whether discussion on my DO LOOP proposal could be postponed until the November TC meeting at Goddard Space Flight Center, since I plan to attend that meeting and would then be available to explain and answer questions. He said I should ask you, so I'm asking. (Actually, if there is a move afoot to go back to Forth-79 DO LOOPS, my proposal is obsolete, which is fine with me - I have no problems with the earlier DO structure).

Sorry about sounding irate re BLOCK in this topic. I really have nothing against BLOCK in host file operations, it has its place. I just don't think that it is a panecea.

My earlier postings about BLOCK in this topic have been (as far as I can recall without digging back into my log files) an effort to make it more compatible with the hosted environment, e.g. "undefined" block length, and releasing restrictions on buffer sizes. These are issues of little importance for standalone systems, but they could make life with BLOCK under an operating system a whole lot casier.

I don't think I ever said BLOCK wasn't suitable to access a database, just that it isn't the only suitable way. I expressed this explicitly in my last two messages, and I tried to be accommodating about saying that there are indeed times when BLOCK is the way to go - at least, that's what I wanted to say. (Damn electronic communications... bad E-mail, bad!)

Off the top of my head, the theoretical limit on throughput of a CD ROM drive is roughly 150 Kilobits/sec. I have not analyzed our system as to actual throughput (we have to make the disk first!), but if you have friends at JPL, the guy to ask there is Mike Martin of the Planetary Data Systems Group. He has produced two CD ROMs of astronomical images and character-table data, and has written software to support it on IBM PC/AT/XT clones under MSDOS. He told me that his throughput on the PC rivals that of an unloaded VAX reading from a hard disk, but VMS is such a dog that I won't venture to interpret that statement.

The FITS files will be random accesson the CD ROM. I would much prefer heavily indexed, flat text files but FIT̛S has been foisted on me by NASA. Our first disk is simply a test of the CDROM as storage and distribution medium, and FITS as a diskbased interchange format (currently, FITS is primarily for tapes, not disks, although several observatories have done some good work with disk-FITS already). The production schedule for this disk is too tight to allow more than minimal indexing for a few files (i.e., about 30 catalogs, totalling more than 50 files and 400 Mbytes; final selection isn't set until midMay). Subsequent disks, assuming that funding is continued, will include index files into the FITS formatted data, and more sophisticated data-base software. By that time, I hope to have the Forth software advanced enough to stave off the higherups that think it should be in C .

You're right that the slow seek times are a real pain. Users are more than willing to put up with it, however, to get up to 600 Mbytes of direct-access storage on their PCs , all in one place at a relatively low cost. Drives are running about $\$ 700$, and most CDROM application disks are about $\$ 100$ 200 - ours will be distributed for cost of media only, of course - $\$ 40-50$ at most. There are now a few vendors of drives that claim to cut the seek time by quite a bit, but I haven't seen the spec sheets yet. - Lee
P.S. Touché, JAX. A full-blown, Forthbased workstation environment couldn't end up any weirder or more esoteric than Unix, and that's pretty popular nowadays. Keep on trucking.

Category 10, Topic 23, Message 79
Thu Mar 24, 1988 S.W.SQUIRES [scott] Lee,

I'd still prefer an explicit means of selecting a file. This would allow a variable (or better yet a TO-type variable) with a descriptive name for that particular program. (i.e. SOURCE, DESTINATION, ACCOUNTS, etc.) The potential problem with using the CHANA / CHANB is that the FCB is set until it is changed again. By looking at the source code for a program that did file access, you'd have to look back and determine what set it the last time, if you didn't do it in the actual word doing the file access. Likewise, debugging could be confusing if FCB was set by a stray word. By passing the FCB (or file\#) explicitly, the program can actually become more readable. Also, the usage is up to the programmer and he can use arrays or other structures if he desires. -Scott

## Category 10, Topic 23, Message 80

 Fri Mar 25, 1988 J.SHIFRINLee, I know I'll get confused trying to respond here and on the ECFB, but I still don't think your files proposal is very solid. Nothing against UniForth, but I think the CHANA/CHANB approach is both a kludge and a bit bizarre. Also, I believe it falls apart in a multitasking enviroment. I don't care what's passed as a file identifier, but I think it should be a single stack item - an address or i.d. number which uniquely refers to something ( $\mathrm{FCB}, \mathrm{HCB}, \mathrm{DCB}$, filename), implementation dependent, to describe the file being operated on
[Sorry about the awkward prose - I hate the GEnie editor and didn't want to get into it for cleanup. Should've composed this offline!]

## Category 10, Topic 23, Message 81

Sat Mar 26, 1988 G.BAILEY1 [ATHENA]
Lee, your proposal (known as TP88-038) is in the pile for consideration at the May TC meeting, and I will state your request to postpone its consideration as a motion to commit it to the group that is working on control structure and looping issues. We will probably convene that group at least once in Rochester and it is probable that this group will not have concrete recommendations for some time. Unfortunately, it is difficult to indicate your willingness to
(McBrien's screens, from page 22.)

```
Soreeri 203
        1 (DISFORTH Decompile Forth words to their component words) )
        : DISFORTH (DISFORTH cocc)
        CF [COMFILE], DUF NFA IO. ( get PFA of cocc)
        DUP NFA C@ G4 AND ( check the preciderice bit )
        IF ." ...is an IMMEDIATE word."
        THEN DUP CFA @ [ '. CFA @ ] LITTERAL =
            IF FRINT-DEF (colon definition)
            ELSE DUP CFA@ [ FENCE CFA @] LITERAL =
                IF ." ...is a USER variable. OFFSET = " @ . CR
                ELSE DUP CFA @ [ O CFA @ ] LITERAL =
                IF ." ...is a CONSTANT. VALUE= " @ . CR
                ELSE DUF CFA [ USER CFA ] LITERAL =
                    IF." ...is a VARIABLE. CONTENTS= " @ . CR
                        ELSE ." ...is a CODE definition " CR
                                DROP THEN THEN THEN THEN ;
    : SEE DISFORTH ;
    ok
```



$5560 \quad 207 A \quad 65726 F 207072696 E 742074686973$ zero print this



$55 A O \quad O A \quad 27 \quad 54 \quad 48 \quad 45 \quad 4 E \quad 2 E \quad 2 E \quad 2 E \quad 20 \quad 72 \quad 65 \quad 67617264$. THEN... regard
55 BO 6 C 6573732077686174207072696 F 7420 1ess what print
$550074686973206 C \quad 696 E 6525209605884449$ this line\% ...DI
ok
AA
$554 \mathrm{C} 2025 \quad \mathrm{CR}$
$554 \mathrm{E} 4 F 5$ OBRANCH 557 B
5552 AGS (.") IF... non zeroprint this line
55752025 CR
5577 4E4 BRANCH 559F
$557 B$ AG8 (.") ELSE... if zero print this line
559 D 2025 CR
559 F AG8 (.") THEN... regardless what print this line
55CF 2025 CR
55СВ 596 is ok
Screen 202
1 (FRINT-DEF More DISFORTHer words )
2
: PRINT-DEF ( pfa -- ) (word is decompiled from that pfa)
BEGIN DUP @ TERMINATORS ELEMENT? $0=$ WHILE
PRINT-WORD REFEAT FRINT-WORD DROF ;
(AA is a test word for SEE to cheok the branches are resolved
corectiy)
: $A A\left(n^{(n)}\right)$
CR
IF." IF... non zero print this line" CR
ELSE." ELSE... if zeroprint this line" CR
THEN." THEN... regardless what print this line" CR ;



## Evaluation

I searched for an object-Forth support routine that met these objectives, but I didn't find any that really suited me. Neon provides some fine object tools, but it has changed so much that it isn't Forth any more. Vocabulary-based implementations of object Forth can be slow, and none that Iexamined support late binding. So, I wrote my own object Forth.

Rather than supporting an explicit class construction, this routine supports inheritance by causing each object to be the "son" of some other object. "Brother" and
"cousin" objects can inherit data, data structure, and methods from the common "ancestors."

There don't seem to be any bugsleft, but there is a "feature" I don't like: it is easy to misuse a method. A method might be designed only to be inherited. It might not work at all with the original object, but it is still possible to make that request. Another warning: don't use a name for a message if that name has already been used for something else. There is very little error checking; when you ask for a mistake, you usually get one.

## Summary

Here is a fast, late binding, and free object-Forth support routine. It runs under Laxen and Perry's public-domain F83. Now you possess Forth, the world's most powerful programming language, and support for one of the world's most powerful conceptual tools: object-oriented programming.

Rick Hoselton is a professional Forth programmer with General Information Technologies, Inc. His work with Forth spans the last six of his seventeen years spent as a full-time computer professional.

YES, THERE IS A BETTER WAY A FORTH THAT ACTUALLY DELIVERS ON THE PROMISE

## HS/FORTH

## POWER

HS/FORTH's compilation and execution speeds are unsurpassed. Compiling at 20,000 lines per minute, it compiles faster than many systems link. For real jobs execution speed is unsurpassed as well. Even nonoptimized programs run as fast as ones produced by most $C$ compilers. Forth systems designed to fool benchmarks are slightly faster on nearly empty do loops, but bog down when the colon nesting level approaches anything useful, and have much greater memory overhead for each definition. Our optimizer gives assembler language performance even for deeply nested definitions containing complex data and control structures.

HS/FORTH provides the best architecture, so good that another major vendor "cloned" (rather poorly) many of its features. Our Forth uses all available memory for both programs and data with almost no execution time penaity, and very little memory overhead. None at all for programs smaller than 200kB. And you can resize segments anytime, without a system regen. With the GigaFor th option, your programs transparently enter native mode and expand into 16 Meg extended memory or a gigabyte of virtual, and run almost as fast as in real mode.

Benefits beyond speed and program size include word redefinition at any time and vocabulary structures that can be changed at will, for instance from simple to hashed, or from 79 Standard to Forth 83 . You can behead word names and reclaim space at any time. This includes automatic removal of a colon definition's local variables.

Colon definitions can execute inside machine code primitives, great for interrupt \& exception handlers. Multi-cfa words are easily implemented. And code words become incredibly powerful, with multiple entry points not requiring jumps over word fragments. One of many reasons our system is much more compact than its immense dictionary ( 1600 words) would imply.

## INCREDIBLE FLEXIBILITY

The Rosetta Stone Dynamic Linker opens the world of utility libraries. Link to resident routines or link \& remove routines interactively. HS/FORTH preserves relocatability of loaded libraries. Link to BTRIEVE METAWINDOWS HALO HOOPS ad infinitum. Our call and data structure words provide easy linkage.

HS/FORTH runs both 79 Standard and Forth 83 programs, and has extensions covering vocabulary search order and the complete Forth 83 test suite. It loads and runs all FIG Libraries, the main difference being they load and run faster, and you can develop larger applications than with any other system. We like source code in text files, but support both file and sector mapped Forth block interfaces. Both line and block file loading can be nested to any depth and includes automatic path search.

## FUNCTIONALITY

More important than how fast a system executes, is whether it can do the job at all. Can it work with your compuler. Can it work with your other tools. Can it transform your data into answers. A language should be complete on the first two, and minimize the unavoidable effort required for the last.

HS/FORTH opens your computer like no other language. You can execute function calls, DOS commands, other programs interactively, from definitions, or even from files being loaded. DOS and BIOS function calls are well documented HS/FORTH words, we don't settle for giving you an INTCALL and saying "have at it". We also include both fatal and informative DOS error handlers, installed by executing FATAL or INFORM.

HS/FORTH supports character or blocked, sequential or random I/O. The character stream can be received from sent to console, file, memory, printer or com port. We include a communications plus upload and download utility, and foreground/background music. Display output through BIOS for compatibility or memory mapped for speed

Our formatting and parsing words are without equal. Integer, double, quad, financial, scaled, time, date, floating or exponential, all our output words have string formatting counterparts for building records. We also provide words to parse all data types with your choice of field definition. HS/FORTH parses files from any language. Other words treat files like memory, nn@H and $n n!H$ read or write from/to a handle (file or device) as fast as possible. For advanced file support, HS/FORTH easily links to BTRIEVE, etc.

HS/FORTH supports text/graphic windows for MONO thru VGA. Graphic drawings (line rectangle ellipse) can be absolute or scaled to current window size and clipped, and work with our penplot routines. While great for plotting and line drawing, it doesn't approach the capabilities of Metawindows (tm Metagraphics). We use our Rosetta Stone Dynamic Linker to interface to Metawindows. HS/FORTH with MetaWindows makes an unbeatable graphics system. Or Rosetta to your own preferred graphics driver.

HS/FORTH provides hardware/software floating point including trig and transcendentals. Hardware fp covers full range trig, log, exponential functions plus complex and hyperbolic counterparts, and all stack and comparison ops. HS/FORTH supports all 8087 data types and works in RADIANS or DEGREES mode. No coprocessor? No problem. Operators (mostly fast machine code) and parse/format words cover numbers through 18 digits. Software fp eliminates conversion round off error and minimizes conversion time.

Single element through 4D arrays for all data types including complex use multiple cfa's to improve both performance and compactness. $Z=(X-Y) /(X+Y)$ would be coded: $X Y-X Y+/$ IS $Z$ (16 bytes) instead of: $X$ @ Y @ - X@Y@+/Z!(26 bytes) Arrays can ignore 64k boundaries. Words use SYNONYMs for data type independence. HS/FORTH can even prompt the user for retry on erroneous numeric input.

The HS/FORTH machine coded string library with up to 3D arrays is without equal. Segment spanning dynamic string support includes insert, delete, add, find, replace, exchange, save and restore string storage.

Our minimal overhead round robin and time slice multitaskers require a word that exits cleanly at the end of subtask execution. The cooperative round robin multitasker provides individual user stack segments as well as user tables. Control passes to the next task/user whenever desired.

## APPLICATION CREATION TECHNIQUES

HS/FORTH assembles to any segment to create stand alone programs of any size. The optimizer can use HS FORTH as a macro library, or complex macros can be built as colon words. Full forward and reverse labeled branches and calls complement structured flow control. Complete syntax checking protects you. Assembler programming has never been so easy.

The Metacompiler produces threaded systems from a few hundred bytes, or Forth kernels from $2 k$ bytes. With it, you can create any threading scheme or segmentation architecture to run on disk or ROM.

You can turnkey or seal HS/FORTH for distribution, with no royalties for turnkeyed systems. Or convert for ROM in saved, sealed or turnkeyed form.

HS/FORTH includes three editors, or you can quickly shell to your favorite program editor. The resident full window editor lets you reuse former command lines and save to or restore from a file. It is both an indispensable development aid and a great user interface. The macro editor provides reuseable functions, cut, paste, file merge and extract, session log, and RECOMPILE. Our full screen For th editor edits file or sector mapped blocks.

Debug tools include memory/stack dump, memory map, decompile, single step trace, and prompt options. Trace scope can be limited by depth or address.

HS/FORTH lacks a "modular" compilation environment. One motivation toward modular compilation is that, with conventional compilers, recompiling an entire application to change one subroutine is unbearably slow. HS/FORTH compiles at 20,000 lines per minute, faster than many languages link - let alone compile! The second motivation is linking to other languages. HS/FORTH links to foreign subroutines dynamically. HS/FORTH doesn't need the extra layer of files, or the programs needed to manage them. With HS/FORTH you have source code and the executable file. Period. "Development environments" are cute, and necessary for unnecessarily complicated languages. Simplicity is so much better.

## HS/FORTH Programming Systems

Lower levels include all functions not named at a higher level. Some functions available separately.
Documentation \& Working Demo
(3 books, $1000+$ pages, 6 lbs)
\$ 95
Student
Person
Professional $80 \times 87$, assembler, turnkey. \$245 $\$ 395$. dynamic strings, multitasker RSDL linker,
physical screens
Production ROM, Metacompiler, Metawindows

Level upgrade, price difference plus \$25
OBJ modules
$\$ 495$
Rosetta Stone Dynamic Linke \$ 95
Metawindows by Metagraphics (includes RSDL)
Hardware Floating Point \& Complex
Quad integer sotware floating point
Time slice and round robin multitaskers $\quad \$ 75$
GigaForth (80286/386 Native mode extension) \$295.
HARVARD SOFTWORKS

POBOX 69 SPRINGBORO, OH 45066 (513) 748-0390

## FIG CHAPTERS

The FIG Chapters listed below are currently registered as active with regular meetings. If your Chapter listing is missing or incorrect, please contact Kent Safford at the the FIG office's Chapter Desk. This listing will be updated in each issue of Forth Dimensions. If you would like to begin a FIG Chapter in your area, write to the Chapter Desk for a "Chapter Kit and Application." Forth Interest Group, P.O. Box 8231, San Jose, California 95155
U.S.A.

- ALABAMA

Huntsville Chapter
Tom Konantz (205) 881-6483

- ALASKA

Kodiak Area Chapter
Horace Simmons (907) 486-
5049

## - ARIZONA

Phoenix Chapter
4th Thurs., 7:30 p.m.
Dennis L. Wilson (602) 9567578

- ARKANSAS

Central Arkansas Chapter
Little Rock
2nd Sat., 2 p.m. \&
4th Wed., 7 p.m.
Jungkind Photo, 12th \& Main
Gary Smith (501) 227-7817

- CALIFORNIA

Los Angeles Chapter
4th Sat., 10 a.m.
Hawthome Public Library
12700 S. Grevillea Ave. Phillip Wasson (213) 649-1428

## North Bay Chapter

2nd Sat., 10 a.m. Forth, AI
12 Noon Tutorial, 1 p.m. Forth South Berkeley Public Library
George Shaw (415) 276-5953
Orange County Chapter
4th Wed., 7 p.m.
Fullerton Savings
Huntington Beach
Noshir Jesung (714) 842-3032
San Diego Chapter
Thursdays, 12 Noon
Guy Kelly (619) 454-1307
Sacramento Chapter
4th Wed., 7 p.m.
1798-59th St., Room A
Tom Ghormley (916) 444-7775
Silicon Valley Chapter
4th Sat., 10 a.m.
H-P Cupertino
Bob Barr (408) 435-1616
Stockton Chapter
Doug Dillon (209) 931-2448

## - COLORADO

Denver Chapter
1st Mon., 7 p.m.
Clifford King (303) 693-3413

## - CONNECTICUT

Central Connecticut Chapter
Charles Krajewski (203) 344-
9996

## - FLORIDA

Southeast Florida Chapter
Coconut Grove Area
John Forsberg (305) 252-0108

## Tampa Bay Chapter

1st Wed., 7:30 p.m.
Terry McNay (813) 725-1245

## - GEORGIA

Atlanta Chapter
3rd Tues., 6:30 p.m.
Western Sizzlen, Doraville
Nick Hennenfent (404) 393-3010

## - ILLINOIS

## Cache Forth Chapter

Oak Park
Clyde W. Phillips, Jr. (312) 3863147

## Central Illinois Chapter

Urbana
Sidney Bowhill (217) 333-4150

## - INDIANA

Fort Wayne Chapter
2nd Tues., 7 p.m.
I/P Univ. Campus, B71 Neff Hall Blair MacDermid (219) 7492042

## - IOWA

Central Iowa FIG Chapter
1st Tues., 7:30 p.m.
Iowa State Univ., 214 Comp. Sci.
Rodrick Eldridge (515) 294-5659

## Fairfield FIG Chapter

4th Day, 8:15 p.m.
Gurdy Leete (515) 472-7077

## - MASSACHUSETTS

Boston Chapter
3rd Wed., 7 p.m.
Honeywell
300 Concord, Billerica
Gary Chanson (617) 527-7206

## - MICHIGAN

Detroit/Ann Arbor Area 4th Thurs.
Tom Chrapkiewicz (313) 3227862

## - MINNESOTA

MNFIG Chapter
Minneapolis
Even Month, 1st Mon., 7:30 p.m.
Odd Month, 1st Sat., 9:30 a.m.
Vincent Hall, Univ. of MN
Fred Olson (612) 588-9532

## - MISSOURI

Kansas City Chapter
4th Tues., 7 p.m.
Midwest Research Institute
MAG Conference Center
Linus Orth (913) 236-9189

## St. Louis Chapter

1st Tues., 7 p.m.
Thornhill Branch Library
Robert Washam
91 Weis Drive
Ellisville, MO 63011

- NEW JERSEY

New Jersey Chapter
Rutgers Univ., Piscataway
Nicholas Lordi (201) 338-9363

- NEW MEXICO

Albuquerque Chapter
1st Thurs., 7:30 p.m.
Physics \& Astronomy Bldg.
Univ. of New Mexico
Jon Bryan (505) 298-3292

## - NEW YORK

FIG, New York
2nd Wed., 7:45 p.m.
Manhattan
Ron Martinez (212) 866-1157

## Rochester Chapter

Monroe Comm. College
Bldg. 7, Rm. 102
Frank Lanzafame (716) 462-
3398

- NORTH CAROLINA

Raleigh Chapter
Frank Bridges (919) 552-1357

## - OHIO

Cleveland Chapter
4th Tues., 7 p.m.
Chagrin Falls Library
Gary Bergstrom (216) 247-2492

## Dayton Chapter

2nd Tues. \& 4th Wed., 6:30 p.m.
CFC. 11 W. Monument Ave.
\#612
Gary Ganger (513) 849-1483

## - OKLAHOMA

Central Oklahoma Chapter
3rd Wed., 7:30 p.m.
Health Tech. Bldg., OSU Tech.
Larry Somers
2410 N.W. 49th
Oklahoma City, OK 73112

## - OREGON

Willamette Valley Chapter 4th Tues., 7 p.m.
Linn-Benton Comm. College
Pann McCuaig (503) 752-5113

- TENNESSEE

East Tennessee Chapter
Oak Ridge
2nd Tues., 7:30 p.m.
Sci. Appl. Int'l. Corp., 8th Fl
800 Oak Ridge Turnpike
Richard Secrist (615) 483-7242

- TEXAS

Austin Chapter
Matt Lawrence
PO Box 180409
Austin, TX 78718

## Houston Chapter

3rd Mon., 7:45 p.m.
Intro Class 6:30 p.m.
Univ. at St. Thomas
Russell Harris (713) 461-1618

- UTAH

North Orem Chapter
Ron Tanner
748 N. 1340 W.
Orem, UT 84057

## - VERMONT

Vermont Chapter
Vergennes
3rd Mon., 7:30 p.m.
Vergennes Union High School
RM 210, Monkton Rd.
Hal Clark (802) 453-4442

- VIRGINIA

First Forth of Hampton Roads
William Edmonds (804) 898 4099

## Richmond Forth Group

2nd Wed., 7 p.m.
154 Business School
Univ. of Richmond
Donald A. Full (804) 739-3623

## - WISCONSIN

Lake Superior Chapter
2nd Fri., 7:30 p.m.
1219 N. 21st St., Superior
Allen Anway (715) 394-4061
MAD Apple Chapter
Bill Horton
502 Atlas Ave.
Madison, WI 53714

## INTERNATIONAL

- AUSTRALIA

Melbourne Chapter
1st Fri., 8 p.m.
Lance Collins
65 Martin Road
Glen Iris, Victoria 3146
03/29-2600
Sydney Chapter
2nd Fri., 7 p.m.
John Goodsell Bldg., RM LG19
Univ. of New South Wales
Peter Tregeagle
10 Binda Rd., Yowie Bay 2228
02/524-7490

- BELGIUM

Belgium Chapter
4th Wed., 8 p.m.
Luk Van Loock
Lariksdreff 20
2120 Schoten
03/658-6343
Southern Belgium Chapter
Jean-Marc Bertinchamps
Rue N. Monnom, 2
B-6290 Nalinnes
071/213858

- CANADA

Northern Alberta Chapter
4th Sat., 1 p.m.
N . Alta. Inst. of Tech.
Tony Van Muyden (403) 962-
2203
Southern Ontario Chapter
Quarterly, 1st Sat., Mar., Jun.,
Sep., Dec., 2 p.m.
Genl. Sci. Bldg., RM 212
McMaster University
Dr. N. Solntseff (416) 525-9140 x 3443

## Toronto Chapter

John Clark Smith
PO Box 230, Station H
Toronto, ON M4C 5J2

## Vancouver Chapter

Don Vanderweele (604) 9414073

- ENGLAND

Forth Interest Group-UK
London
1 st Thurs., 7 p.m.
Polytechnic of South Bank
RM 408
Borough Rd.
D.J. Neale

58 Woodland Way
Morden, Surry SM4 4DS

## - FRANCE

French Language Chapter
Jean-Daniel Dodin
77 Rue du Cagire
31100 Toulouse
(16-61)44.03.06

## - HOLLAND

Holland Chapter
Adriaan van Roosmalen
Heusden Houtsestraat 134
4817 We Breda
3176713104

## - ITALY

FIG Italia
Marco Tausel
Via Gerolamo Forni 48
20161 Milano
02/435249

- JAPAN

Japan Chapter
Toshi Inoue
Dept. of Mineral Dev. Eng.
University of Tokyo
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo 113
812-2111 x7073

- NORWAY

Bergen Chapter
Kjell Birger Faeraas, 47-5187784

- REPUBLIC OF CHINA
(R.O.C.)

Ching-Tang Tzeng
PO Box 28
Lung-Tan, Taiwan 325

## - SWEDEN

SweFIG
Per Alm
46/8-929631

- SWITZERLAND

Swiss Chapter
Max Hugelshofer
ERNI \& Co., Elektro-Industrie
Stationsstrasse
8306 Bruttisellen
01/833-3333

## SPECIAL GROUPS

- NC4000 Users Group

John Carpenter (415) 960-1256

## NOW FOR IBM PC, XT, AT, PS2 AND TRS-80MODELS 1,3,4,4P The Gifted Computer

1. Buy MMSFORTH before year's end, to let your computer work harder and faster.
2. Then MMS will reward it (and you) with the MMSFORTH GAMES DISK, a $\$ 39.95$ value which we'll add on at no additional charge!
MMSFORTH is the unusually smooth and complete Forth system with the great support. Many programmers report four to ten times greater productivity with this outstanding system, and MMS provides advanced applications programs in Forth for use by beginners and for custom modifications. Unlike many Forths on the market, MMSFORTH gives you a rich set of the instructions, editing and debugging tools that professional programmers want. The licensed user gets continuing, free phone tips and a MMSFORTH Newsletter is available. The MMSFORTH GAMES DISK includes arcade games (BREAKFORTH, CRASHFORTH and, for TRS-80, FREEWAY). board games (OTHELLO and TIC-TACFORTH), and a top-notch CRYPTOQUOTE HELPER with a data file of coded messages and the ability to encode your own. All of these come with Forth source code, for a valuable and enjoyable demonstration of Forth programming techniques.
Hurry, and the GAMES DISK will be our free gift to you. Our brochure is free, too, and our knowledgeable stalf is ready to answer your questions. Write. Better yet, call 617/653-6136.
 and a free gift! GREAT FORTH:
MMSFORTH V2.4 $\qquad$
The one you've read about in FORTH: A TEXT \& REFERENCE. Available for IBM PC/XT/AT/PS2 etc., and TRS-80 M.1, 3 and 4
GREAT MMSFORTH OPTIONS:
FORTHWRITE. . $\$ 99.95^{*}$
FORTHCOM ........................... 49.95
DATAHANDLER ....................... 59.95
DATAHANDLER-PLUS* ............. 99.95
EXPERT-2.............................. 69.95
UTILITIES
49.95
*Single-computer, single-user prices; corporate site licenses from $\$ 1,000$ additional. $31 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ format, add $\$ 5 /$ disk; Tandy 1000 , add $\$ 20$. Add S/H, plus 5\% tax on Mass. orders. $\mathrm{DH}+$ not avail. for TRS-80s.
GREAT FORTH SUPPORT:
Free user tips, MMSFORTH Newsletter, consulting on hardware selection, staff training, and programming assignments large or small.
GREAT FORTH BOOKS:
FORTH: A TEXT \& REF
THINKING FORTH
Many others in stock.

## MILLER MICROCOMPUTER SERVICES

 61 Lake Shore Road, Natick, MA 01760(617/653-6136, 9 am-9 pm)

## (Data Structures, from page 14.)

tier of operations is a virtue of Forth. Charles Moore preserved a great deal of flexibility by manipulating values in steps: an object in memory is fetched to the stack, converted to a cell-length object (or multiples thereof), processed by whatever postfix operators are available to the object's supertype (you might say there are only two types at this point: doubles and cells), and then stored with the correct operators (back to three data types).

## Conclusion

Other languages don't support the creation of new data types; they leave you with a small vocabulary of basic types and methods from which to build compound types such as records. The basic type vocabulary also tends to be a minimal one. Each of the few basic types available is usually quite different from the others. Contrast this with Forth, where you can create a dozen string data types if you need them. And within twelve different applications, you may find yourself needing them.

Forth doesn't presume to have discovered all the basic data objects or types needed to solve your problems. With its limited but extensible base, Forth provides the opportunity to create just the data type or data object you need.

Copyright © 1988 by Mike Elola. All rights reserved.

Mike Elola is a published Forth programmer and a full-time writer at Apple Computer. Over the years, Mike feels, Forth has tricked him into believing that he is a computer scientist.

## (Editorial, from page 3.)

tors of the Forth Interest Group. Under his leadership, the 1988 Forth National Convention ("The 1988 Real-Time Programming Convention," featuring banquet speaker Jef Raskin and keynote speaker Ray Duncan) will be held November 18 19 in Anaheim, California (across from Disneyland). Los Angeles and Orange County have local FIG members with the expertise, professionalism, and energy to lend to an exciting event. We are looking forward to traveling there, and hope to see you there. Bring along your computer and favorite language, too: the black-belt programmers contest offers a $\$ 1000$ prize write to FIG for a copy of the rules.
-Marlin Ouverson
Editor

## (GEnie, from page 31.)

accept the Forth-79 definition in our audit trail, and unless someone generates a proposal to that effect there is no way it can even be considered. If you consider the Forth-79 loop behavior to be equally desirable, there is absolutely nothing wrong with submitting a separate proposal to that effect. There are plentiful cases where a submitter finds two mutually exclusive changes equally acceptable, and in such a case two proposals are easier to work with than would be a single proposal outlining two possibilities. Cheers -Greg.


## Experience:

- $>5$ yrs programming, 3 yrs Forth
- Realtime instrumentation \& Control
- Linear algebra, Z transforms, state space
- Strong hardware background


## Interests:

- Software Product design
- Applications language development
- Automotive or aerospace laboratories
- Relocating to Ventura, California

Send resume to:
Digalog Corporation • PO Box 3315 • Ventura, CA 93006-3315 • 805/644-9928


Be the first to put our mystery gizmo through its paces and win $\$ 1000$ ! Use any computer, any software. The showdown is at the Real-Time Programming Convention, Nov 18-19th, Anaheim, Calif. For complete rules, write:
Programming Contest, Forth Interest Group, PO Box 8231, San Jose, CA 95155.

## Forth Interest Group

P.O.Box 8231

San Jose, CA 95155


[^0]:    This high-ievel cefinition coes the same thma. It is provided for documertation, and for those who systems that aren't 8086 family jasec. The soeec 1055 dees not seem to be critical.

